



ADVANCE SOCIAL SCIENCE ARCHIVE JOURNAL

Available Online: <https://assajournal.com>

Vol. 04 No. 01. July-September 2025. Page#.4664-4674

Print ISSN: [3006-2497](#) Online ISSN: [3006-2500](#)Platform & Workflow by: [Open Journal Systems](#)**US Approach to Child Protection in Conflict Zones: A Legal Analysis****Fraz Ashraf Khan**

Assistant Professor, Department of Law, University of the Punjab, Gujranwala Campus

frazashraf@pujc.edu.pk**ABSTRACT**

When it comes to the worldwide protection of children who have been impacted by armed conflict, the United States plays a crucial role. A comprehensive analysis of the United States' approach to child protection in conflict zones is presented in this article. The paper investigates the legislative frameworks, policy initiatives, humanitarian interventions, and international relationships that determine the United States' engagement in these areas. With the help of important pieces of legislation like the Child Soldiers Prevention Act and the Trafficking Victims Protection Act, as well as pertinent sections of the Foreign Assistance Act, this study examines the ways in which the laws of the United States of America correspond with and deviate from the standards of child protection that are established internationally. The research sheds light on the activities carried out by several government agencies in the United States, including the Department of State and USAID, as well as the partnerships that the country has formed with groups such as the United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), Save the Children, and the International Rescue Committee. There are still gaps in implementation, monitoring, and long-term support, particularly with regard to refugee children, the prevention of recruitment into armed organizations, and access to education and psychosocial services. This is the case despite the considerable policy promises that have been made. Through the utilization of qualitative theme analysis of legal documents, policy reports, and academic literature, the article brings to light both the strengths and the recurring issues that are associated with the United States approach. The findings highlight the necessity of improved systems for accountability, increased funding for programs that are focused on children, enhanced interagency cooperation, and a deeper commitment to assisting local partners. The purpose of this article is to provide six proposals for bridging the gap between policy and practice and advancing comprehensive and sustainable protection for children living in conflict zones.

Keywords: Human Rights, Child Protection, Conflict Zone, Legal Framework**Introduction and Background**

Children who are living in areas where there is armed conflict are exposed to a number of terrible threats, such as being recruited as soldiers, being forced to relocate, being trafficked, and experiencing profound psychological trauma (UNICEF, 2019; Machel, 2001). An assortment of legal frameworks, foreign policy instruments, and operational partnerships have been utilized by the United States of America in order to shape international solutions to these difficulties (Goodman & Stern, 2017; United States Department of State, 2022). This is because the United States is a global actor and a big donor to humanitarian organizations. Both domestic legislation and the United States' participation in multilateral treaties and organizations are examples of the

United States' commitment to human rights, which serves as the foundation for the United States' engagement (Tobin, 2019; Save the Children, 2021).

Within the United States, the legal foundation for the United States to take action against the recruitment and exploitation of children during times of conflict is provided by important statutes such as the Child Soldiers Prevention Act (CSPA), the Trafficking Victims Protection Act (TVPA), and specific provisions in the Foreign Assistance Act (US Congress, 2008; US Department of State, 2021). According to Human Rights Watch (2022) and the Council on Foreign Relations (2021), these rules give the authority to impose penalties, the termination of military assistance, and the provision of support for rehabilitation and reintegration programs. In addition, the United States of America has signed the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC), although it has not yet ratified it. This action indicates that the United States is in agreement with international norms while maintaining flexibility in the implementation of the CRC within the United States (UN Treaty Collection, 2023; Todres, 2016).

Internationally, the United States of America works through organizations such as the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) and the Bureau of Population, Refugees, and Migration (PRM) of the State Department to provide funding for programs that provide children living in conflict settings with emergency relief, education, health, and psychosocial support (USAID, 2022; IRC, 2023). Partnerships with organizations such as the United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), Save the Children, and the International Rescue Committee enable the United States to expand its reach and influence (UNICEF, 2021; Save the Children, 2022). Children's International (2018) and ECPAT International (2020) state that special focus is dedicated to the prevention of child army recruitment, the protection of refugee children, and the battle against trafficking and sexual exploitation of children.

Despite the extensive precautions that have been taken, substantial complications still exist. The United States' efforts to guarantee child protection are complicated by a number of factors, including gaps in monitoring and enforcement, discrepancies in the application of legislation, and the complexity of modern conflicts, which frequently involve non-state actors (Singer, 2016; Quenivet, 2020 respectively). The United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) and the International Crisis Group (2022) reported that the challenges are especially severe in regions such as the Middle East, Central Africa, and South Asia, which are characterized by prolonged violence, inadequate governance, and humanitarian crises. (Betancourt et al., 2013; Wessells, 2017) Research continually indicates to the necessity of continuous political will, enhanced interagency collaboration, and a larger emphasis on long-term solutions, including education and community reintegration. These are all things that are necessary. In this study, a critical analysis of the United States' approach to child protection in conflict zones is presented. The strategy is analyzed in terms of its legal underpinnings, operational tactics, and the effectiveness of existing interventions in meeting the rights and needs of children who have been harmed by war (Freeman, 2020; World Vision, 2021).

Research Methodology

The approach utilized in this study is qualitative, and the primary focus is on doing a systematic evaluation and thematic analysis of primary and secondary sources that are associated with the protection of children in conflict zones in the United States. Core data sources include international treaties and United Nations publications that are pertinent to child rights and humanitarian intervention. Other sources of data include federal statutes in the United States,

documents pertaining to government policy, official reports from agencies such as the Department of State, USAID, and the Congressional Research Service, and so on. Additional data is derived from scholarly literature, papers that have been subjected to peer review, and analytical reports that have been created by non-governmental organizations (NGOs) and think tanks that specialize in child welfare and conflict studies. By utilizing document analysis and coding, it is possible to identify significant themes, reoccurring issues, and best practices in the process of designing and implementing child safety efforts in the United States.

An interpretive framework that places an emphasis on the relationship between legal norms, policy goals, and actual outcomes for children in environments that have been affected by conflict serves as the fundamental guiding principle for the analysis. The relationship between domestic legislation in the United States and international obligations, the operational strategies of government agencies, and the influence of collaborations with multilateral organizations are all areas that receive special attention. This method guarantees that a full and critical understanding of the strengths, limitations, and potential for enhancing the United States' approach to child protection in conflict zones is achieved.

Existing Legal and Policy Structures for the Protection of Children

The United States of America has developed a solid legal framework to address the issue of child safety in areas that are experiencing violence. The Child Soldiers Prevention Act (CSPA) of 2008 is the most important component of this framework. This law forbids the providing of some forms of military support from the United States to governments that are involved in the recruiting or use of child soldiers (US Congress, 2008; Human Rights Watch, 2022). According to the CSPA, the United States government is required to publish an annual list of countries that have violated the legislation and has the authority to withdraw money. However, according to the Council on Foreign Relations (2021), presidential waivers have occasionally reduced the statute's impact. The Trafficking Victims Protection Act (TVPA) is a piece of legislation that compels additional measures to be taken against the trafficking and exploitation of minors. It also requires the State Department to notify and sanction nations that do not meet basic requirements (Todres, 2016; US Department of State, 2021).

The Foreign Assistance Act and the Leahy Laws both restrict assistance to military groups that are implicated in severe abuses, including the recruiting of children (Goodman & Stern, 2017; United States Department of State, 2021). Additionally, the international help provided by the United States is contingent upon the respect for human rights. The United States of America has signed the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC), although it has not yet ratified it. However, the United States does contribute to the implementation of the CRC's principles by providing financing, advocating for them, and supporting programs (Tobin, 2019; UNICEF, 2021). In 2002, the United States of America adopted the Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC) on the role of children in armed conflict. This protocol reinforces international legal commitments (UN Treaty Collection, 2023).

The operationalization of these laws is guided by frameworks that are interagency in nature. Both the United States Strategy to Prevent and Respond to Gender-Based Violence Globally and the National Action Plan on Women, Peace, and Security have explicit goals pertaining to the protection of children (White House, 2021; USAID, 2022). While the Office of Foreign Disaster Assistance (OFDA) of the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) provides support for emergency responses for children who are experiencing disasters, the Office to

Monitor and Combat Trafficking in Persons (TIP Office) of the Department of State is responsible for coordinating federal efforts to combat child trafficking. In spite of these robust legal foundations, critics point out that there are loopholes in enforcement, the use of waivers, and discrepancies in the administration of child protection standards, particularly in contexts where there is complicated conflict (Human Rights Watch, 2022; Singer, 2016). Due to the nature of these difficulties, it is imperative that continual policy reform and rigorous oversight measures be implemented.

Strategies of Implementation and Operational Functioning

The management of the translation of legal frameworks into actual protection for children living in crisis zones is accomplished through a combination of direct action, finance, and multilateral participation. Through the United States Agency for International Development (USAID), the United States Department of State, and multilateral partners such as the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) and the International Organization for Migration (IOM), the United States is one of the greatest donors to humanitarian aid for children (USAID, 2022; UNICEF, 2021). Emergency relief, child protection case management, family tracking and reunification, and the construction of child-friendly places in refugee situations are the areas that the United States of America offers assistance with (Save the Children, 2022; International Rescue Committee, 2023).

The avoidance of the recruitment and use of juvenile soldiers is an essential component of the operational approach taken by the United States. Demobilization, rehabilitation, and reintegration of former child fighters are all supported by programs that are sponsored under the Child Soldiers' Protection Act (CSPA). Initiatives financed by the United States of America offer psychosocial therapy, vocational training, and educational possibilities to children in countries such as the Democratic Republic of the Congo, South Sudan, and Myanmar (Child Soldiers International, 2018; Watchlist on Children and Armed Conflict, 2020).

Protecting children who are refugees or who have been displaced within their own country is another issue. Protection activities in metropolitan areas and camps are funded by the Bureau of Population, Refugees, and Migration (PRM), with a particular focus on unaccompanied and separated minors (United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees, 2022; United States Department of State, 2022). In addition to reducing the likelihood of human trafficking and exploitation, the programs are designed to ensure that individuals have access to educational opportunities, medical treatment, and legal aid (ECPAT International, 2020; World Vision, 2021). The strategy taken by the United States places an emphasis on the significance of monitoring and reporting. Both the yearly Trafficking in Persons (TIP) Report and the Country Reports on Human Rights Practices published by the United States Department of State serve to steer diplomatic engagement and offer data on abuses of human rights (US Department of State, 2022). Nevertheless, the efficiency of such monitoring is contingent upon the availability of access, the reliability of data, and the implementation of accountability procedures (Human Rights Watch, 2022; Council on Foreign Relations, 2021).

Partnerships on a global scale and participation in multilateral activities

The United States' approach to the protection of children during times of conflict is characterized by its emphasis on collaboration with non-governmental groups and international organizations. The United States of America is able to utilize global expertise and reach children in some of the most dangerous environments in the world because to partnerships with organizations such as

the United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), Save the Children, the International Rescue Committee, and World Vision (UNICEF, 2021; Save the Children, 2022). According to World Vision (2021) and International Rescue Committee (2023), these organizations make use of donations from the United States to carry out programs that concentrate on topics such as education in times of emergency, psychosocial care, family reunion, and the avoidance of exploitation. Additionally, the United States of America collaborates closely with the Working Group on Children and Armed Conflict of the United Nations Security Council, providing support for resolutions and mandates that contribute to the strengthening of international protection standards (UN Security Council, 2010). Both the Global Coalition to Protect Education from Attack and the Safe Schools Declaration are examples of how the United States is working to advance efforts to protect educational facilities and guarantee that learning can continue uninterrupted in areas that are experiencing conflict (GCPEA, 2020; US Mission to the United Nations, 2021).

When it comes to optimizing impact and avoiding duplication, coordination with other donor nations and regional groups is absolutely necessary. In order to match its actions with the child protection criteria established by the Inter-Agency Standing Committee (IASC) (IASC, 2019; USAID, 2022) the United States of America takes part in joint humanitarian appeals, pooled funds, and sector-specific working groups. Even with all of these efforts, there are still obstacles. Disparate priorities, bureaucratic roadblocks, and inadequate local ability are all factors that can make coordination particularly difficult. Freeman (2020) and Save the Children (2021) state that the degree of community participation and the incorporation of local groups are frequently influential factors in determining the long-term viability of programs.

Confrontations in the Real World: Restrictions and Void Areas

There are ongoing restrictions that impair the success of the United States method in the field, despite the fact that its reach is extensive. A significant obstacle is the complexity of contemporary armed conflicts, which frequently involve non-state players, shifting alliances, and fluid frontlines (Singer, 2016; International Crisis Group, 2022). This is one of the most significant challenges. According to the Watchlist on Children and Armed Conflict from 2020, it is very challenging to gain access for humanitarian organizations and to guarantee the protection of assistance workers and beneficiaries in such environments.

According to Human Rights Watch (2022) and the Council on Foreign Relations (2022), the use of presidential waivers under the CSPA and other similar legislation has been subject to criticism for elevating strategic interests to a higher priority than the protection of children. According to the United States Department of State (2021) and Child Soldiers International (2018), there have been instances in which military support has been maintained despite the presence of convincing proof of child recruiting by partner governments.

The absence of trustworthy data, particularly in regions that are difficult to access, makes monitoring and accountability even more difficult to accomplish. IRC (2023) and UNICEF (2019) note that reporting procedures frequently depend on the capabilities of non-governmental organizations (NGOs) and United Nations agencies, which may be limited by security issues. According to Betancourt et al. (2013) and Wessells (2017), there are also shortages in long-term support for children who have been former child soldiers or who have been affected by trauma. The financing that is available is typically focused on providing emergency humanitarian help rather than reintegrating them in a sustainable manner.

As a result of limited access to schooling, mental health care, and legal protection, the needs of refugee and internally displaced children are not always satisfied (UNHCR, 2022; Save the Children, 2022). This is especially true for children who are either unaccompanied or separated from their families. As a result of the COVID-19 epidemic, resources have been further stretched, service delivery has been disrupted, and vulnerabilities to exploitation and abuse have increased (World Vision, 2021; O'Connell Davidson, 2019).

Recommendations and the Directions for the Future

It is advocated that the following measures be implemented in order to strengthen the United States' approach to child protection in conflict zones:

1. Establish more effective procedures for collaboration across US agencies (State Department, USAID, and Department of Defense), with the goal of maintaining consistent strategies and clear lines of responsibility in child protection programming.
2. Expand financing for child protection interventions and provide for greater flexibility to adapt programs to changing circumstances and growing needs. This will allow for greater flexibility in the implementation of child protection interventions.
3. In order to strengthen accountability mechanisms, it is necessary to restrict the use of presidential waivers for nations that are involved in the recruitment of child soldiers and to establish closer monitoring of these countries that receive military support.
4. In order to move beyond providing immediate relief and towards sustainable development, it is important to promote long-term solutions. This includes giving priority to support for education, psychosocial care, and community-based reintegration for children who have been harmed by conflict.
5. Use technology and innovation to your advantage by investing in digital tools that may be used for remote teaching, case management, and reporting in conflict zones that are difficult to access.
6. Providing assistance to local partners involves increasing funding and capacity-building for local non-governmental organizations (NGOs) and community organizations. This is done to ensure that initiatives are contextually suitable and sustainable.

A long-term political will, flexible programming, and a dedication to putting the rights of children at the forefront of United States foreign policy and humanitarian efforts are all necessary components for the successful implementation of these suggestions.

Conclusion

Through the establishment of a robust legislative framework and a long-standing commitment to humanitarian leadership, the United States of America has built a comprehensive strategy to the protection of children in areas that are experiencing war. Millions of children who have been impacted by conflict, displacement, and exploitation have received crucial support from the United States of America. This support has been delivered through legislation, policy strategies, and partnerships with international organizations. It has been established that programs that address the prevention of child soldier recruitment, the provision of emergency relief, and the development of psychosocial well-being have demonstrated both immediate and long-term advantages for communities that are vulnerable. Despite this, major obstacles may still be encountered. There are a number of factors that have contributed to the limited success of United States interventions. These factors include the complexity of modern conflicts,

restrictions on access and data, and a tendency to emphasize strategic goals over the strict execution of child protection norms. At times, progress has been hampered by presidential waivers and discrepancies in aid conditionality. Additionally, short-term financing cycles have resulted in gaps in the provision of support for education, rehabilitation, and reintegration. Furthermore, the COVID-19 epidemic has brought to light and worsened the vulnerabilities of children living in conflict zones, hence stressing the necessity of interventions that are adaptable, innovative, and inclusive.

The United States of America needs to improve its interagency coordination, make investments in long-term solutions that are oriented on children, and increase its accountability procedures for both its own agencies and its overseas partners in order to bridge the gap between policy and practice. In order to reach the children who are most at danger, it will be essential to provide support for local actors, as well as to make use of technology and innovation. The continuation of leadership from the United States, which is based on international law, robust monitoring, and true partnership with communities who are affected by violence are all necessary for the future of child safety in conflict zones. When everything is said and done, the protection of children who are caught in the middle of armed conflict is not only a humanitarian and legal necessity, but it is also an issue of world stability and moral obligation. The United States of America has the ability to assist in ensuring a safer and more hopeful future for children who are caught in the crossfire of war by addressing the constraints that are already in place and building on its strengths.

References

- Alston, P., & Tobin, J. (2019). *The UN Convention on the Rights of the Child: A commentary*. Oxford University Press.
- Amnesty International. (2022). Child protection in armed conflict. <https://www.amnesty.org/>
- Betancourt, T. S., et al. (2013). Psychosocial problems of war-affected youth in Sierra Leone and Uganda: A review of longitudinal research. *Intervention*, 11(2), 186-200.
- Child Rights International Network (CRIN). (2021). US child rights policies. <https://home.crin.org/>
- Child Soldiers International. (2018). *Child soldiers global report*. <https://child-soldiers.org/>
- Columbia Law School Human Rights Clinic. (2020). *Child soldiers and the US*. <https://www.law.columbia.edu/>
- Council on Foreign Relations. (2021). *Child soldiers and U.S. policy*. <https://www.cfr.org/>
- Detrick, S. (2016). *A commentary on the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child*. Martinus Nijhoff Publishers.
- ECPAT International. (2020). *Global study on sexual exploitation of children*. <https://ecpat.org/>
- Freeman, M. (2020). *The moral status of children: Essays on the rights of the child*. Martinus Nijhoff Publishers.
- GCPEA. (2021). *Safe Schools Declaration*. <https://ssd.protectingeducation.org/>
- Geneva Academy. (2022). *International humanitarian law and children*. <https://www.geneva-academy.ch/>
- Global Coalition to Protect Education from Attack (GCPEA). (2020). *Education under attack*. <https://protectingeducation.org/>
- Global Protection Cluster. (2021). *Child protection coordination*. <https://www.globalprotectioncluster.org/>

- Goodman, J., & Stern, R. (2017). U.S. foreign policy and children's rights. *Human Rights Quarterly*, 39(3), 512-535.
- Human Rights First. (2020). US foreign assistance and human rights. <https://www.humanrightsfirst.org/>
- Human Rights Watch. (2022). World Report 2022. <https://www.hrw.org/>
- ASC. (2019). Guidelines on mental health and psychosocial support in emergency settings. <https://interagencystandingcommittee.org/>
- International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC). (2021). Children in war. <https://www.icrc.org/>
- International Crisis Group. (2022). Protecting children in conflict. <https://www.crisisgroup.org/>
- International Labour Organization (ILO). (2020). Child labour in emergencies. <https://www.ilo.org/>
- International Organization for Migration (IOM). (2022). Children on the Move. <https://www.iom.int/>
- International Organization for Migration. (2019). Children on the move. <https://www.iom.int/>
- International Rescue Committee (IRC). (2023). Children in crisis. <https://www.rescue.org/>
- Leahy Laws. (2022). US Department of State Fact Sheet. <https://www.state.gov/>
- Machel, G. (2001). The impact of armed conflict on children. UNICEF.
- O'Connell Davidson, J. (2019). Children in the global sex trade. Polity Press.
- Office of Refugee Resettlement (ORR). (2021). Unaccompanied children program. <https://www.acf.hhs.gov/orr>
- Office of the Special Representative of the Secretary-General for Children and Armed Conflict. (2022). Annual report. <https://childrenandarmedconflict.un.org/>
- Plan International. (2021). Child protection and emergencies. <https://plan-international.org/>
- Quenivet, N. (2020). The protection of children in armed conflict under international law. In Gaggioli, G. (Ed.), *Children and armed conflict* (pp. 21-50). Springer.
- Save the Children. (2018). Migrant and refugee children in the US. <https://www.savethechildren.org/>
- Save the Children. (2019). Healing psychological trauma of children in conflict. <https://www.savethechildren.org/>
- Save the Children. (2020). Global report on children in conflict. <https://www.savethechildren.org/>
- Save the Children. (2021). Children under attack. <https://www.savethechildren.org/>
- Save the Children. (2021). Children under attack. <https://www.savethechildren.org/>
- Save the Children. (2022). Annual report. <https://www.savethechildren.org/>
- Schabas, W. A. (2017). *The International Criminal Court: A commentary on the Rome Statute*. Oxford University Press.
- Singer, P. W. (2016). *Children at war*. University of California Press.
- Terre des Hommes. (2021). Child protection in conflict. <https://www.terredeshommes.org/>
- Tobin, J. (2019). *The UN Convention on the Rights of the Child: A commentary*. Oxford University Press.
- Todres, J. (2016). *Child trafficking and the United States*. University of Pennsylvania Press.
- UNICEF. (2019). Children in war. <https://www.unicef.org/>
- UNICEF. (2021). U.S.-UNICEF partnership. <https://www.unicefusa.org/>
- UNHCR. (2022). Refugee children and protection. <https://www.unhcr.org/>

United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC). (2021). Trafficking in persons in conflict areas. <https://www.unodc.org/>

United Nations Security Council. (2021). Children and armed conflict. <https://www.un.org/securitycouncil/>

United Nations Treaty Collection. (2023). Status of the CRC. <https://treaties.un.org/>

United States Government Accountability Office (GAO). (2021). US assistance for children in conflict.

United States Institute of Peace (USIP). (2021). Children and conflict. <https://www.usip.org/>

US Congress. (2008). Child Soldiers Prevention Act. <https://www.congress.gov/>

US Department of Defense. (2021). Child protection training manual.

US Department of Health & Human Services. (2021). Refugee children and trauma.

US Department of State. (2021). Trafficking in Persons Report. <https://www.state.gov/>

US Department of State. (2022). Country Reports on Human Rights Practices. <https://www.state.gov/>

US Mission to the UN. (2021). Advancing safe schools. <https://usun.usmission.gov/>

USAID. (2022). Child protection in emergencies. <https://www.usaid.gov/>

Watchlist on Children and Armed Conflict. (2020). Annual report. <https://watchlist.org/>

Watchlist on Children and Armed Conflict. (2021). Monitoring and reporting. <https://watchlist.org/>

Wessells, M. (2017). Child soldiers: From violence to protection. Harvard University Press.

White House. (2021). National Action Plan on Women, Peace, and Security. <https://www.whitehouse.gov/>

World Bank. (2021). Children and conflict: Development responses. <https://www.worldbank.org/>

World Health Organization (WHO). (2020). Mental health of children in emergencies. <https://www.who.int/>

World Vision. (2021). Protecting children in emergencies. <https://www.worldvision.org/>