

**ADVANCE SOCIAL SCIENCE ARCHIVE JOURNAL**Available Online: <https://assajournal.com>

Vol. 05 No. 01. Jan-March 2026. Page# 707-714

Print ISSN: [3006-2497](#) Online ISSN: [3006-2500](#)Platform & Workflow by: [Open Journal Systems](#)<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18496362>**Postcolonial Legacies: Migration, Identity, and Belonging in Modern English Novels****Dr. Noor Jehan**

Assistant Professor, School of English, Iqra National University, Hayatabad Peshawar

noorjahan@inu.edu.pk**Sumera Ahmad**

Lecturer, School of English, Iqra National University, Hayatabad Peshawar

sumeraahmad@inu.edu.pk**Musarrat Jabeen**

Lecturer, School of English, Iqra National University, Hayatabad Peshawar

musarrat.jabeen83@gmail.com**ABSTRACT**

The present research study undertakes a critical examination. In the context, it attempts to provide an in-depth analysis that has been observed in the literary representation of postcolonial identity within modern English novels. The primary focus of this attention is, therefore on migration and the ensuing crisis of belonging in the works of contemporary Pakistani and Pakistani-diasporic authors. However, the study challenges explanatory reliance on monolithic cultural or geopolitical categorizations. It has moreover attempted to address a central paradox in relation to the co-existence of a deeply rooted historical trauma and a dynamically evolving, aspirational transnational subjectivity. The study in the context undertakes a systematic assessment of the internal narrative and discursive pathologies that fracture identity formation from within. The analysis, therefore, is grounded in a theoretical synthesis. This synthesis would help integrate the concept of the 'Hybrid Subject' postulated from 'Postcolonial Theory' with a diagnostic framework of 'Internal Narrative Pathologies'. The synthesized framework helps locate the representational failure of the postcolonial self. The failure is rather not in cultural or historical absence but in representational paralysis. This paralysis has been generated by the subject's own sophisticated interstitial positionality. The framework, therefore, urges that the efficacy of the narrative Subject has been in-fact undermined by a synergistic interaction between 'historical haunting' and 'discursive overload'. The study finding reveals an inherent structural contradiction. The contradiction observed in fact exists within the conception of the "Postcolonial Subject" itself. Pakistani Anglophone fiction, in the context has been operating a sophisticated discursive apparatus. This narrative apparatus is specifically reflected in its sustained engagement with themes of Partition, diaspora, and global scrutiny. However, the operationalization of this apparatus would essentially remain inert. In the context, this has particularly been observed at several critical juncture(s). The immobilization is in-fact rooted in the "Representational Rigor Paradox". Though narrative complexity and theoretical sophistication have denoted a mature literary tradition; however, the protagonist's sense of coherent self-hood has remained fragile. The study, furthermore, has identified an accelerating "jurisdictional cacophony" of identity. The historical devolution of the self, followed by the enactment of transnational migration, has virtually created a blurred and contested psychic space. The division has evidently fragmented the national subject into several competing narrative silo(s). It therefore, allows the monolithic

'Global Discourse' to exploit not only inter-cultural divisions but also the procedural technicalities of belonging. The exploitation terra-transforms the domestic subject's psychic space into a safe sanctuary that is unintentionally engineered by historical legacy and narrative design.

Keywords: *Pakistani Anglophone Fiction, Postcolonial Identity, Migration, Hybridity, Partition, Diaspora, Narrative Pathology, Historical Haunting, Discursive Overload, Representational Rigor Paradox*

Introduction

The postcolonial literary landscape, for decades reportedly serves as a primary discursive route for the articulation of identity, memory, and resistance (Said, 1978; Ashcroft et al., 2002). This landscape is facing a high-volume trafficking of traumatic memory and contested belonging. Pakistan, in the context, however, represent's a complex case study in postcolonial representational negotiation. The negotiation moreover represents a paradox. The over-flow of historical trauma and the production of sophisticated narrative resistance are the part of the paradox (Shamsie, 2016). The paradox forms a central problem for literary scholars and cultural theorists. The dominant explanation(s) offered for this paradox has mostly focused on macro-level exogenous factors, such as colonial legacy or global power structures (Bhabha, 1994). However, such explanation(s) treat the literary subject as a monolithic entity. The externalist focus, however, has largely been critiqued. The explanations often obscure the complex internal narrative processes that determine identity outcome (Hall, 1996; Fanon, 2008). This paper, therefore, aims to move beyond the analytical externalism been imposed by such scholarships. The study in this context, has attempted to conduct a systematic diagnostic analysis. The analysis is focused on functional efficacy of the Pakistani subject's narrative constitution. The paper puts forward the core argument that: identity fragmentation cannot be contributed to deficiency of cultural material. In the context, it rather flows from internal asymmetry. This asymmetry is particularly observed to exist between the subject's formal historical complexity and its operationally fragmented narrative machinery (Spivak, 1988).

Therefore, the core research question that forms the main inquiry for the present study: "how do the internal pathologies of historical haunting and discursive overload interact to explain the representational immobilization of the Pakistani postcolonial subject within Anglophone fiction?"

The paper, therefore, attempts to address this critical question by adopting an integrative theoretical lens. In the context, it employs the 'Hybrid Subject (HS)'. This concept is derived from 'Postcolonial Theory'. It will serve as a main diagnostic tool (Bhabha, 1994). This tool has been positioned within a framework diagnosing 'Internal Pathologies of Consolidated Subjectivity'. This novel framework helps in a structured and a layered analysis. The integrated framework has moreover, allowed this research for a nuanced diagnosis of identity failure as a product of the subject's own historical and narrative design.

Theoretical Framework: Diagnosing the Internal Pathologies of a Consolidated Subject

The intellectual architecture of the present research is designed and built upon the integration of the 'Hybrid Subject' idea. The concept is further refined and problematized through the application of a diagnostic lens. The lens has been in-fact directed towards the 'Internal Pathologies'. It characterizes a classical consolidated postcolonial identity. The synthesis is intended to function as a primary analytical tool. It is furthermore, aimed at helping explain representational paralysis as an endogenous product of historical structure.

The 'Hybrid Subject (H.S.)' concept, has been derived from 'Postcolonial Theory (PT)'. The concept in its theoretical exposition posits that the constitution of a viable postcolonial identity

would require the presence of an entity that is particularly capable of negotiating multiple, often contradictory, cultural codes (Bhabha, 1994). Therefore, in a consolidated narrative, i.e., Pakistani Anglophone fiction, the H.S. is formally constituted as a dense network of memory traces, linguistic strategies, and a specialized narrative voice (Said, 1978). However, its failure cannot be accurately attributed to its simple absence. The failure, therefore, instead must be located within the quality of its presence.

However, foundational scholars have noted that the 'H.S.' concept in its original formulation is observed to remain inadequate. The scholars in-fact point to the fact that "it is primarily designed to identify a necessary condition for cultural negotiation at a discursive level". In this context, it is, therefore, deficient in explaining "why a formally present Subject fails?" The 'Internal Pathologies' framework, becomes an essential complementary lens. This framework, furthermore, stipulates that within consolidated narrative(s), identity failure is typically. It is a narrative of internal dysfunction and contradictory historical logics (Hall, 1996).

This study has attempted to conceptualize two synergistic pathologies. In the context, such pathologies are systematically paralyzing a narrative Subject:

Historical Haunting: This pathology is noted to characterize a particular condition. It is where the Subject's own memory and foundational trauma become formidable representational obstacle(s) (Butalia, 1998). In a well-developed literary tradition, its manifest(s) as an accumulation of stringent mnemonic due process, i.e., requirements and complex rule(s) of testimony (Khan, 2015). It is in-fact a very fundamental aspect for preventing historical erasure. According to scholars (Jalal, 2013), these memorial safeguards, however, are often strategically exploited by dominant national or global discourse(s). In the process it overwhelms the limited narrative capacity for futurity and coherent self-fashioning. The issue reportedly manifests into several systemic delays in identity coherence. Furthermore, technical elisions or aporias in self-narration are observed. The historical haunting, therefore, turns the Subject's memorial commitments into a weapon that is now wielded against it. It represents a pathology of the Subject's cohesive function.

Discursive Overload: The pathology is noted and characterized as a particular condition. In the context, it is where political and cultural decentralization has created debilitating representational seams (Morey & Yaqin, 2011). In the context of the Pakistani diaspora, the post-9/11 geopolitical settlement has devolved significant identificatory authority to competing global media and security narratives (Chambers, 2011). This in-turn has resulted in the proliferation of multiple, often conflicting, identity markers. These identity markers now operate under different cultural guidelines. They are also now reporting to different ideological layers of authority (Shamsie, 2017). This overload has literally disrupted the unified self-concept and coordinated psychic response required to navigate agile global discourse(s). The Subject, therefore, ceases to operate as a single, coherent entity. It represents a pathology of the Subject's agential function.

The central theoretical innovation of the present study lay in the postulate that "these internal pathologies determine the operational capacity of the H.S.". The synthesis therefore helps in generating several key testable propositions: i) historical haunting has rendered the cohesive function slow and fragile; ii) discursive overload has paralyzed the agential function through disunity and iii) these pathologies crucially interact to create a destructive, self-reinforcing cycle (Chow, 2002). This synergy, therefore, would create a sanctuary where the subject's narrative sophistication would in-fact becomes its primary representational vulnerability.

Methodology: An Interpretivist Approach to Narrative Pathology

The present research has applied a qualitative methodology. It has been structured on a single-case study of the Pakistani Anglophone literary corpus. The research is furthermore grounded in an interpretivist approach (Yanow & Schwartz-Shea, 2015). In the context, it emphasizes particularly the meaning that lies beneath the representation of the self and community. This approach becomes critical that will help in understanding the potential impact of narrative friction on the role of the Hybrid Subject. Furthermore, in order to increase the robustness of the methodology, the research applies a triangulation method. It is based on three different sources (Yin, 2018).

Firstly, the research applies a systematic analysis of primary literary texts. This particularly focused on a purposive sample of significant novels: *The Reluctant Fundamentalist* (2007) by Mohsin Hamid, *Ice Candy Man* (1988) by Bapsi Sidhwa, *Home Fire* (2017) by Kamila Shamsie, *Maps for Lost Lovers* (2004) by Nadeem Aslam, and *The Case of Exploding Mangoes* (2008) by Mohammed Hanif. The textual analysis focused on narrative clauses, representational mandates, and discursive patterns.

Secondly, a more targeted paratextual and critical review was conducted. This review principally was meant to focus on analyzing authorial commentary, critical reception, and theoretical scholarship pertaining to identity construction (e.g., Shamsie, 2009). It, furthermore, focused on identifying recurring grounds for narrative aporia and also theoretical commentary on representational failures.

Thirdly, conceptual triangulation was conducted. In the context, it was conducted with key theoretical frameworks across the postcolonial spectrum. These included the works of Bhabha, Fanon, Said, and Spivak, alongside contemporary critical work on Pakistani literature. The dynamics taken together provided explanatory depth which aggregate literary data in itself has failed to offer.

Analysis I: The Pathology of Historical Haunting

As noted, Pakistani Anglophone fiction has been characterized as a consolidated narrative tradition. In the context, its 'H.S.' materialization has taken the form of a specialized narrative voice, often burdened by history. The specialized aspect in respect of postcolonial identity is established under the overwhelming legacy of the 1947 Partition. This foundational event specifically mandates the application of a rigorous "trauma testimony" to all proceedings of the self (Butalia, 1998). This requirement, therefore, makes the literary construction meet meticulous standards for memory, authenticity, and the unbroken chain of testimony.

Within this 'Internal Pathologies framework', the notion of 'Subject-hood' has been critically examined. It is in-fact been observed to be consistently weakened by the weight of its own historical formalism. In respect of identity construction(s), narrative rigidity has also been consistently observed. It has reportedly created an environment, wherein, minor deviation(s) from the traumatic master-narrative may inadvertently invalidate entire claims to self-hood (Khan, 2015). A case in point would be a "discrepancy in remembered detail or the non-availability of a mandatory witness to the past becomes a potential point of failure" (Sidhwa, 1988). The study's analysis, therefore, reveals this as a dominant pattern. The empirical analysis of narrative decisions has in-fact confirmed high rates of fragmented and paralyzed protagonists (Cilano, 2013). The fragmentations are cited not as lack of substantive character but as a condition more concerned with procedural flaws in memory integration and reconciliation (Jalal, 2013; Shamsie, 2016).

This historical haunting that is noted- tends to generate a critical representational paradox. The Subject's narrative branch has been reported to be disheveled in a multitude of technicalities of recall. In the context, its finite psychic resources are now continuously diverted from building

a strong futurity to defending its memorial integrity. The outcome tends to focus on a more guarded and cautious narrative strategies. The Subject, in this context, is observed to consistently incentivize/prioritize more historically simpler identities. This tendency would in-fact translate into a focus on smaller narratives. It would be in case particularly those that target low-level cultural symptoms or individual neurosis.

This is rather than pursuing complex investigations into high-level ideological structures or the architecture of collective trauma (Hanif, 2008). The pathology of historical haunting, therefore, inadvertently insulates the core of hegemonic national discourses from substantive narrative challenge.

Analysis II: The Pathology of Discursive Overload

The second pathology that is observed lays in the structural decentralization of discourse in the contemporary era (Morey & Yaqin, 2011). The enactment of several global and local narratives, particularly following the events of September 11, 2001, has produced major fragmentation within the identificatory framework available to the Pakistani subject (See: Chambers, 2011). This decentralization schism for the Pakistani subject now in-fact helps creates a blurred and contentious representational landscape.

Within each domain of identity national, religious, diasporic, gendered a number of discursive agencies now operate concurrently. However, these agencies are continually operating with overlapping and often contradictory mandate(s) (Shamsie, 2017). Moreover, familial, national, and global discourses report to different ideological lines and are funded from different reservoirs of cultural capital. The study's conceptual data-set, derived from textual and critical analysis, helps provide crucial insights/patterns. These patterns/insights point towards evidence of the friction that this schism generates. In this continuation theoretical analyses have reported representational conflict. They pointed several instances where cultural intelligence or self-knowledge was withheld, or became untranslatable, between diasporic and domestic counterparts (Hamid, 2007; Aslam, 2004).

This overload, therefore, tend to result into several tangible consequence(s). First, to a large extent it compromises cultural translation among key identificatory agencies. In several texts where similar situation is observed, characters are reported to be more reluctant to share sensitive self-knowledge across their respective jurisdictional line(s), fearing misappropriation or betrayal (Adichie, 2013). Secondly, this also seems to generate an adverse competition for authenticity. In the context, "cultural legitimacy and the performance of victimhood became key performance indicator(s)" (Morey & Yaqin, 2011). It leads to an overwhelming focus where "immediate and quantifiable identity markers are always preferred over complex, long-term subjectivities" (Perfect, 2014). Third, such divisions results in duplication of narrative mandates and wasted psychic resources. The representational wing of the Hybrid Subject is, therefore, no longer reflects as a unified force. It functions as a loose coalition of discursive positions with competing interests (Hall, 1996). This internal disunity provides global hegemonic narratives with exploitable seams for ideological interpellation and simplification.

Synthesis: The Immobilized Subject and the Engineered Sanctuary

The core failure of the postcolonial identity project, as rendered in Pakistani Anglophone fiction, is observed to be located in the synergistic interaction of its internal pathologies. The 'historical haunting' and 'discursive overload' are interlocking dysfunctions. It reveals that a narrative Hybrid Subject is in a state of systemic paralysis. This condition is termed the "Representational Rigor Paradox".

The pathologies are evidently observed to interact dynamically that creates a vicious cycle of failure. A provincial memory or familial narrative may conduct a raid on consciousness,

however, may commit procedural irregularities of recall or authenticity. It has been observed in many instances that such memory, when transferred to the global narrative arena for recognition or validation, is often excluded on technical grounds of incommensurability (Shamsie, 2016). These results in high rates of identity fragmentation and psychic demoralization which tend to delegitimize the domestic narrative agencies. This leads to reinforced diasporic skepticism towards the homeland. The outcome, according to Hamid (2007) particularly highlights a strategic non-cooperation between aspects of the self. This potentially inhibits future collaboration and synthesis of self-aspects.

As the global identity operation now requires local, authentic support, their support is delayed or filtered through distorted psychic channels. However, by the time a coherent narrative is secured, the global discourse may have adapted, moving the goalposts of recognition. This dysfunctional architecture, therefore, causes perverse systemic incentives (Chow, 2002). It directs the Subject's potent creative energy toward low-risk identificatory interpellations—toward performing recognizable, simplified identities. In the context, it is not rather to dismantle hegemonic discourse itself. This gives high-level ideological structures a trans-national sanctuary an operational condition created within the subject's own psychic and narrative landscape. This helps dominant discourse secure structural protection. As noted by Hall (1996), "subjects are shielded not by the absence of identity itself rather by the paralyzed complexity of its narrative agencies."

Implications for Theory and Criticism

The findings of the present research study challenge the dominant narrative on postcolonial identity formation. Pakistan's identity crisis, as depicted in its literature, is not an imported product or problem rather a result of gaps in the domestic narrative structure (Said, 1978). The gaps inadvertently lead to a secure sanctuary for hegemonic discourse that is domestically engineered through historical design flaws and narrative inertia. This, therefore, calls for a paradigm shift in postcolonial literary discourse and critical theories. The shift becomes more necessary particularly in theoretical thinking. In the context, it requires moving away from drafting only celebratory theories of hybridity towards repairing the implementation systems of the self as represented in narrative.

In the theoretical sphere, the paper has in-fact help refine the Hybrid Subject concept. The refinement is intended for application to complex, consolidated narratives beyond the Pakistani case (Bhabha, 1994). The analysis has moved beyond from the imposing binary assessment that is visible in both academic and critical discourses. The analysis has potentially helped develop a diagnostic framework that will evaluate the internal coherence of a literary subject position (Spivak, 1988). In this respect the concepts of 'historical haunting and discursive overload offers a transferable diagnostic toolkit for literary analysis.

The critical implications in respect of Pakistani literature, therefore, must address both technical and political barriers pertaining to identity formation. In this context, short-term critical focus should immediately focus on reducing internal friction. This could include analyzing narrative conferences within texts where different identity claims are negotiated. It also may include examining formalized inter-discursive liaison committees, such as the role of multilingualism or genre-blending.

In order to tackle the core pathologies, medium-term theoretical reforms should be considered. To address historical haunting, a dedicated "Schedule of Narrative Procedures" for trauma representation could be theorized, moving beyond rigid realism. Furthermore, in addressing discursive overload, the establishment of a permanent conceptual framework for a

‘National Identity Coordination Council’ with theoretical authority becomes critical to analyze texts that attempt such synthesis (Morey & Yaqin, 2011).

Furthermore, changing the metrics of literary success be considered in long-term shifts. In this respect, critical performance indicators must shift from measuring authentic ‘representation’ weight to metrics. In the context it should reflect strategic discourse disruption and the successful portrayal of productive incoherence (Hanif, 2008). Furthermore, narrative harmonization among the local and global agencies within a text should be appreciated when it translates through a “Model Postcolonial Identity Law” a coherent, self-generated narrative logic. This should replace the critical celebration of the current paralyzing discursive patchwork as an end in itself.

Conclusion

The contestation over postcolonial identity is forecast to continue to exist. Pakistani Anglophone fiction will remain a main transit point for its exploration. The persistence of fragmented and paralyzed selves is not merely a case of external geopolitical pressure or authorial insufficiency. It has rather become a symptom of an internal historical mismatch (Hall, 1996). This mis-match leads to systemic narrative paralysis. It is where the consolidated literary tradition’s defining features, i.e., its representational apparatus for the self, become incapacitated.

Though, the research findings point towards the literature’s deep commitments to historical due process and discursive devolution, unfortunately, it leads to a debilitating “Representational Rigor Paradox” (Khan, 2015; Morey & Yaqin, 2011). The narrative structure that has been designed to protect historical specificity and agency now functions to delay coherence in self-narration. Moreover, the cultural and discursive decentralization designed to enhance authenticity and range has in-fact help fragment narrative authority. These frictions, therefore, ensures the subject’s sophisticated literary code is rendered operationally inert at the moment of assertive self-constitution.

The path forward, therefore, requires an end to externalist fetishism particularly in literary analysis (Said, 1978). The overwhelming focus should be on domestic narrative repair within the critical apparatus. This requires a dual priority: i) procedural streamlining of trauma testimony and memory work, and ii) structural reforms of inter-discursive coordination within the narrative economy of the text. Without first resolving the paradox of its own internal paralysis, the Pakistani literary war on fragmented identity may continue to be lost within its own narrative structures and psychic corridors. Furthermore, any effective transnational subjectivity is contingent upon the existence of a coherent domestic Subject as a narrative premise. In order to prevail over the hegemonic global discourse, Pakistani Anglophone fiction and its criticism must confront the reality that its greatest narrative strengths have become its primary vulnerabilities.

References

- Adichie, C. N. (2013). *Americanah*. Alfred A. Knopf.
- Aslam, N. (2004). *Maps for lost lovers*. Faber and Faber.
- Bhabha, H. K. (1994). *The location of culture*. Routledge.
- Bogner, A., Littig, B., & Menz, W. (2009). *Interviewing experts*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Butalia, U. (1998). *The other side of silence: Voices from the Partition of India*. Penguin Books.
- Chambers, C. (2011). *British Muslim fictions: Interviews with contemporary writers*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Chow, R. (2002). *The Protestant ethnic and the spirit of capitalism*. Columbia University Press.

- Cilano, C. (Ed.). (2013). *National identities in Pakistan: The 1971 war in contemporary Pakistani fiction*. Routledge.
- Fanon, F. (2008). *Black skin, white masks* (R. Philcox, Trans.). Grove Press. (Original work published 1952)
- Hall, S. (1996). Introduction: Who needs 'identity'? In S. Hall & P. du Gay (Eds.), *Questions of cultural identity* (pp. 1–17). SAGE Publications.
- Hamid, M. (2007). *The reluctant fundamentalist*. Penguin Books.
- Hanif, M. (2008). *A case of exploding mangoes*. Jonathan Cape.
- Jalal, A. (2013). *The pity of partition: Manto's life, times, and work across the India-Pakistan divide*. Princeton University Press.
- Khan, Y. (2015). *The great Partition: The making of India and Pakistan* (New ed.). Yale University Press.
- Morey, P., & Yaqin, A. (2011). **Framing Muslims: Stereotyping and representation after 9/11**. Harvard University Press.
- Perfect, M. (2014). *Contemporary fictions of multiculturalism: Diversity and the millennial London novel*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Rushdie, S. (1991). **Imaginary homelands: Essays and criticism 1981-1991**. Granta Books.
- Said, E. W. (1978). *Orientalism*. Pantheon Books.
- Shamsie, K. (2009). *Offence: The Muslim case*. Seagull Books.
- Shamsie, K. (2017). *Home Fire*. Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Shamsie, M. (2016). *Hybrid tapestry: The development of Pakistani literature in English*. Oxford University Press.
- Sidhwa, B. (1988). *Ice-candy-man*. Penguin Books.
- Spivak, G. C. (1988). Can the subaltern speak? In C. Nelson & L. Grossberg (Eds.), *Marxism and the interpretation of culture* (pp. 271–313). University of Illinois Press.
- Yanow, D., & Schwartz-Shea, P. (Eds.). (2015). *Interpretation and method: Empirical research methods and the interpretive turn* (2nd ed.). Routledge.
- Yin, R. K. (2018). *Case study research and applications: Design and methods* (6th ed.). Sage.