ADVANCE SOCIAL SCIENCE ARCHIVE JOURNAL
Available Online: https://assajournal.com
Vol. 03 No. 02. Apr-Jun 2025.Page#.1117-1131
Print ISSN: 3006-2497 Online ISSN: 3006-2500
Platform & Workflow by: Open Journal Systems

Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Financial Decision Making

Adnan
MBA finance Brains Institute Peshawar Pakistan
adnansca@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This study investigates the transformative impact of Artificial Intelligence (AI) on financial decision making, emphasizing its integration across investment strategies, risk management, credit scoring, fraud detection, and customer service automation. AI technologies such as machine learning, deep learning, and natural language processing are increasingly employed by financial institutions to optimize decision-making processes, reduce human error, and uncover data-driven insights. The paper outlines how AI contributes to enhanced accuracy, efficiency, and personalization in financial services, particularly through predictive analytics and algorithmic trading frameworks. Moreover, it highlights the benefits of AI in identifying patterns within vast datasets, enabling improved forecasting and portfolio management. However, the paper also addresses emerging risks associated with AI implementation, including algorithmic bias, data privacy concerns, systemic market vulnerabilities, and regulatory compliance challenges. The study underscores the importance of human oversight, ethical governance, and transparent algorithmic systems to ensure responsible AI deployment. It concludes by projecting future trends in AI-driven finance, calling for robust institutional frameworks and interdisciplinary collaboration to harness AI's potential while mitigating its risks. Overall, the study presents a comprehensive evaluation of how AI is reshaping financial landscapes and the critical considerations for its sustainable application.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Financial Decision Making, Algorithmic Trading, Risk Management, Credit Scoring, Fin-Tech, Predictive Analytics, Data Privacy, Algorithmic Bias, Financial Regulation, Machine Learning.

Introduction

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is the simulation of human intelligence processes by computer systems. In AI technology, computational intelligence, machine learning, and deep learning are important technologies. Financial decision-making refers to making choices by evaluating various alternative actions within the market environment. Financial decision-making is closely related to the survival and development of enterprises as well as the growth and sustainability of national economies. Accurate financial decision-making is essential for optimal investment and maintenance of profitability in the enterprise (Jia et al., 2022). AI technologies can be broadly utilized in all phases of financial decisions, including risk identification, alternative generation, evaluation and choice, implementation, and performance monitoring. On the one hand, the combination of AI and financial decision-making enhances decision-making effectiveness. On the other hand, the special considerations associated with the utilization of AI in financial decision-making, such as trust, interpretability, fairness, robustness, compliance, and control also leave substantial research opportunities unexplored.

The growing trend of AI technology and platforms is alarming in the financial decision-making ecosystem. The relevant authorities are also keenly aware of the risks that AI uses may pose to financial market stability and integrity, which in turn could expose consumers to fraud and unfairness at an unprecedented scale. As a rapidly evolving technology, AI is more difficult to regulate than traditional settings of regulation and its implementation needs a proper understanding of AI technology. AI technology is software handling and treating a huge amount of datasets, which leads to outputs such as insights or signals. Human beings normally design the rules, algorithms, models, tools, and platforms based on economic convictions. AI agents tend to deviate from rules because of their unsupervised manner of learning, and their ability to improve themselves with more data and computations (Danielsson & Uthemann, 2023).

AI is rapidly advancing only in narrowly specialized domains where much research effort has been allocated. The majority of AI applications are more accurately described as machine learning, statistical learning, and data mining, some of which are even bag-of-words or rules-for-predicting-kind solutions (Sarker, 2021). Macroeconomic time series with far-reaching implications and questions are unevenly sampled, often noisy and periodically missing, and subjected to disruptive nonlinear transformations and shocks. This renders various AI algorithms, techniques, and procedures ill-suited, or at least put them on trial.

Overview of Artificial Intelligence

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is a cutting-edge technology that simulates human intelligence processes using computer systems. Specific applications of AI include knowledge representation, natural language processing, and speech recognition (Zheng et al., 2018). Recently, AI and its relevant technologies have been applied to various fields. The financial field is typically characterized by complex environmental dynamics, diverse and non-linear decision variables and criteria, netting and batching relationships between decision alternatives, and close strategic interactions among various subjects (Jia et al., 2022). The fierce challenges and fierce competitions in the environment drive the continuous introduction and optimization of AI techniques, providing a research perspective from a “cross-technology” point of view. With the development of technologies such as algorithm technology, storage technology, and computing technology, AI has been applied to various fields and has achieved remarkable results. In the financial field, the research on AI technology and theories is relatively rich. AI technology started earlier in the financial field and achieved considerable application results. Its applications mainly include wealth management and investment advisory, financial forecasting and derivative pricing, credit rating and risk management, financial network monitoring, and anti-money laundering.

Many established financial institutions and large technology companies have set up dedicated research teams focusing on AI financial research and product development, which has also become a hot field in academic research. Digitalization and intelligence are the future development trends of enterprises. Beginning with the first generation of computer financial systems such as financial information collection, accounting, and enterprise resource planning, digital financial systems are becoming a new type of information system that aggregates multiple financial functions, including treasury management, capital operation, financing decision-making, and financial risk management (Mohsen et al.2025). The financial decision support system is a management information system that helps managers to make financial decisions by realizing financial data management, financial information collection, analysis, forecasting, and decision-making based on internal and external data.

Historical Context of AI in Finance

The past decade has witnessed sharp changes in the existing financial ecosystem, propelled by the proliferation of several digital technologies that offer better monitoring, estimation, detection, prediction, and optimization of finance-related problems and decision making (Cao, 2021). Financial technology and innovation (FinTech) are playing an ever-increasing part in the finance ecosystem currently due to the huge advancement of technologies related to big data analytics, artificial intelligence (AI), and machine learning (ML) (Danielsson & Uthemann, 2023). FinTech development refers to research, industries, and applications of technological advances for creating, conveying, and consuming output, which assists with the mechanisms of the markets, businesses, and entities for sustainable investment and growth. It also encompasses the societal and environmental factors that drive incentive approaches for innovation processes and outcomes.

From the broader discipline perspective, EcoFin encompasses the interactive ecological systems and their complex mechanisms of finance and financial decision-making that consist of models, tools, methods, and theories. On one side, these new rapidly developed technologies have favoured the efficiency of markets, businesses, agents, and institutions, and improved the accuracy, precision, and robustness of finance-related mechanism perception, modelling, decision making, and investment strategising (Ren, 2022). On the other side, however, the large-scale and systemic applications of these technologies for decision making, modelling, and insights extraction of finance and financial business processes, strategies, systems, and entities are also raising countless unprecedented challenges and concerns in terms of everything from data access and algorithmic robustness to model interpretability and security breach accountability.

As such, it is essential to develop synthetically new theories, tools, methods, and models for comprehensively and systematically understanding, modelling, constructing, analysing, and optimising the mechanisms of EcoFin problems and systems for their sustainability and robustness to systematic management and monitoring of the global-scale systemic risks otherwise induced. These EcoFin issues related to complex problems involve the challenges of developing new theories that differ from the existing economic and financial theories for trustworthy modelling and synthesis of FinTech EcoFin operational systems (Khan2024). In addition, this also entails the difficulty of obtaining and sustaining the necessary funding for such high-risk and potentially long-term projects, as such efforts are often neither consistently straightforward nor attractive for agencies whose competitiveness relies on real-time modelling, forging a new discipline in educational institutes, including recruiting and developing the required talent pool, and lagging far behind compared to the tech-centric narrative and implications of such new technologies.

Current Applications of AI in Financial Decision Making

The elements of financial decision-making should include the analysis of the decision-making target, the analysis of useful decision-making information, and the selection of a scheme to achieve the target based on the useful decision-making information. (Rauwerda & De Graaf, 2021) The delayed decision-making scheme generated by the intelligent decision-making support system is no longer a fixed investment scheme but a decision-making scheme formed by continuously revising the initial scheme based on the decision-making context and human-computer interaction in the process of generating the decision-making scheme, which significantly improves the decision-making efficiency and comprehensiveness (Jia et al., 2022). AI can be applied to the following aspects in financial decision-making support systems: (1) Data mining and organization; (2) Automatic and intelligent analysis of trends, costs, and benefits based on the decision-making target; and (3) User behavior portrait and customized scheme generation.

Artificial intelligence can be applied to enhance wealth management services, such as automatically analyzing user behavior, preferences, and expectations to better summarize their profile (Zheng et al., 2018). Predictive analytics can be used to assist knowledge-driven short- and medium-term volatility forecasting and price movement direction prediction based on historical stock prices, social media sentiment, and macroeconomic influence. Internet of Things (IoT) intelligence enables the orchestration of multi-source user information, financial position, investment interests, tolerance, and background, so that firms can customize asset allocation plans according to their overall portfolios. AI can have a potential impact on wealth-management services. On the one hand, wealth managers can personalize their customer profiles more accurately to better understand and service them. On the other hand, financial firms should discover how to understand customers from multiple perspectives and predict their behavior more accurately based on IoT.

Algorithmic Trading

Recent advances in artificial intelligence (AI) have made algorithmic trading play a central role in finance. The rapid growth of the stock and crypto markets, along with the increasingly fast-paced environment, has made it almost impossible to trade profitably using a conventional approach. Traditional financial research has shifted into algorithmic trading partly due to these changes. However, designing, implementing, and comparing trading strategies requires significant programming knowledge and prior experience in a specific field. (Cohen, 2022) A self-contained framework that can be generally applied would benefit researchers outside of a quantitative finance-related degree.

To facilitate programming and evaluating trading strategies in a systematic way, a data science pipeline for algorithmic trading is proposed. The analysis focuses on one equity asset and one crypto asset: Strip Resources Commodities and Litecoin, and a generally applicable pipeline for designing, programming, and evaluating algorithmic trading of stock and crypto assets is introduced (Zhang et al., 2022). The proposed framework offers a systematic way to program, evaluate, and compare different trading strategies. The programming is developed under an object-oriented paradigm with Python3, which is a popular programming language with a diverse ecosystem of open-source libraries for data science and has become a favorable environment for the quant community. The comprehensive implementation of the whole pipeline is provided as open-source software, serving as a great resource for the academic community in studying and implementing their own trading strategies.

Credit Scoring

Whether positively or negatively, a single credit score is used to make critical financial decisions that may affect people’s lives. Financial resources that are better tailored to the context of income-generating opportunities, social nets, and risks have been devised for the relatively rich, while poorer people have been shunned, underserved, and withdrawn from the mainstream financial system (Mhlanga, 2021). Generally, people live without access to a bank account, a credit card, or loans. Despite the lack of financial literacy that is believed to undermine the uptake of banking services by poorer people, credit records and financial history have been called economic life, and deficiencies in keeping credit records have been considered the primary reason behind impoverishing debts that are charged at exorbitant rates. Additionally, it is believed that AI has improved credit decisions, improved the identification of threats to financial institutions, and had a positive impact on operators' ability to meet compliance obligations through instant transaction fingerprinting and more robust risk attribution techniques.

AI aims to tackle financing challenges for businesses in emerging markets. Larger firms can showcase their creditworthiness through data, while micro traders are gaining recognition. AI simulates human intelligence using mathematical models and coding. New machine learning algorithms are reshaping finance and credit risk management, especially following recent financial crises and the rise of machine-based trading, neural networks, and advanced mapping systems. Banks face the challenge of ensuring their loan assets exceed client deposits, making default probability prediction crucial. Developing effective models for this binary prediction remains a research focus (Zheng, 2023). Robust machine learning models uncover data patterns, helping banks and clients understand behaviors that could harm credit scores. Despite widespread awareness of technologies like self-driving cars, the integration of machine learning in finance is still evolving. However, significant progress is evident in algorithmic trading, fraud detection, and credit scoring.

Fraud Detection

The nature of fraud has changed since trans-national trade and the invention of the modern banking system. From simple forgery techniques on plain paper checks, it has evolved to complex schemes that bypass controls put in place by organizations and banking authorities. With the advent of net banking, credit cards and cards for ATM withdrawals, millions of online transactions take place daily where automated fraud detection systems filter and classify these transactions (West et al., 2015). These systems use a variety of AI and mathematical techniques including Neural Networks, Adaptive Resilient Gradient Descent Codes, Decision Trees, Support Vector Machines, Self-organizing Maps and Genetic Algorithms.

However, they are not fool-proof. The primary objective of this report was to analyze typical applications of artificial intelligence and machine learning techniques within the context of financial fraud detection, as well as highlight avenues for further research. A secondary objective was to standardize definitions, terminology and classification of AI and machine learning methods used in financial fraud detection. A taxonomy that classified 43 methods based on their knowledge and engine type was compiled.

The methods were then categorized with respect to financial fraud type and problem characteristics. Credit card fraud and auto insurance fraud detections were the most studied fraud types, with anomaly detection the most commonly used problem direction overall. Nearly half of the studies did not check or report the availability of training data, while nearly one-third used external datasets. The most frequently recommended evaluation metrics were accuracy, area under the receiver operating characteristics curve and precision/positive predictive/f-score. Feature selection was often overlooked despite its importance in well-trained systems and biased studies. There was no comprehensive study on hybrid fraud detection methods. Only a handful of studies standardize or align terminology. (Benedek et al.2022) Of the 43 methods, 11 were implemented in the R package FRD. However, the sample complexity and brainstorming requirements of some methods was reduced for added convenience.

Risk Management

AI is less impactful for microporous under tight or no regulation, but its consequences are likely to be smaller. In risk management, a financial intermediary needs to keep its overall risk below certain thresholds to keep the financial system stable. AI is improving the measurement, monitoring and aggregation of risk exposure. A number of large financial intermediaries have invested heavily in AI to monitor risks across their entire portfolios. AI performs well at classifying positions as low-risk, medium-risk or high-risk. As a side effect, AI improves frictionless hedge fund strategies, allowing investors to put on enormous amounts of risk as the AI-made trades fail to move the market against the hedge fund’s positions (Danielsson & Uthemann, 2023).

Precise real-time hedge ratios help keep risks low and risk of fire sales under control. AI can better identify and protect positions from market shocks. Positions with similar characteristics are classified as more exposed and need more protection or hedging. A potential negative aspect is that AI can run hedge ratios too tightly. If numerous hedge funds are using similar AI strategies,

this can lead to a reduction in liquidity. In steep market corrections, AI spells trouble as positions are unwound on size or that market participants are dragged into dresses that amplify volatility. Non-linearity and failings in AI models may also amplify shocks.
Another important issue is the impact that the parallel implementation of AI by many market participants has on the market. AI creates potential model risk regions where price correction can take place due to failures of the model. Black swan events also affect risk measurement as system changes. Causality detection by AI is sensitive to the presence of regime changes. In case of a bank run, AI very quickly identifies assets without fundamental value and begins to prompt their sale, causing contagion despite there being no lack of real value throughout the system (Ullah et al.2024).

Customer Service Automation

In recent years, there have been intense debates in both research and practice about changing customer relationships. With the rapid adoption of AI technologies in various sectors, many firms see opportunities for improving customer care, engagement, and satisfaction against the backdrop of increasing competition and service complexity (Prentice & Nguyen, 2020). Accordingly, customer experience is seen by many firms as one of the most decisive battlegrounds for next-generation competitive advantage.
Even though there is growing academic interest in studying customer experience and its important role in shaping customer behaviors and perceptions, research on automated or AI-powered customer experience is scant. On the other hand, practitioners and firms are increasingly utilizing AI technologies for customer service. The motivation is twofold (Varghese et al., 2022). On the one hand, firms want to be more competitive by improving customer service quality, engagement, and satisfaction. On the other hand, especially in the service sector, firms make use of AI technology to contend with rising pressures on labor and cost concerns. Even though service automation is an emerging trend in the marketplace and has both operational and strategic implications for firms, there is a dearth of research on how automated customer experience and employee service experience jointly shape customer behavior or perception of the service firm.
In particular, the authors in this study examine how customer service experience provided by AI fails or succeeds in shaping the overall customer perception with the service firm. In doing so, it further investigates the boundary effects of personal characteristics such as emotional skill. In summary, customer perceptions of contact service organizations are interesting but under-researched topics, which would add to the understanding of motivations for automation from a customer perspective.

Benefits of AI in Financial Decision Making

To talk of finance decisions is to talk of the representation, storage, organization, and usage of financial data. Financial data is the code of man's relationship with resources, wealth, and further, his relationships between and with other man in the process of engaging in financial acts. While it used to be composed of textual format upon the written word, it has now gained a considerable rate of complexity and expansion. Out with merely words and letters, pictures, videos, and audio streams, the data entering the financial decision context has become more heterogeneous and difficult to treat (Danielsson & Uthemann, 2023). Naturally, along with the increase of data channels and ways of expression, a second modification took place in the world of finance and business: Even though, generally speaking, financial data has outlived longer and more persistent than any other types of data relative to other social sciences, they are now created far and wide, that is, at an unprecedented scale. From the first credit transaction over four centuries ago to the more recently emerged crowdfunding and social lending, the complexity of financial decisions has been increased beyond a singular use of textual information or elsewhere. This increase of width has directly resulted in the sheer volume and scale of financial data source (Zheng et al., 2018). According to a report, daily estimates of transactions in the financial domain in US currency alone can easily go as large as upon the tens of trillions, more than other domains.

AI-aided tools and integrated solutions can guarantee decision making occurs in privacy and confidentiality. AI's intelligent analysis based on non-invasive data gathering can provide meaningful insights for decision making without disclosing private data. Banks' portfolios are retrieved by such solutions aiming at priced asset liquidities in the framework of liquidity management research. Moreover, a common service layer consisting of recommendations, rankings, and forecasts within a singular platform can create a more integrated and readily appealing experience to clients. Rob advisory institutes are anticipated to play a role in so integrating financial industry services to both institution and client ends. Asset managers not wishing to enter 24/6 market venues to engage with greater investor pools or asset subtenants can partner with Rob advisory solutions to provide best pricing for demand and liquidity.

Increased Efficiency

Standardized financial products like derivatives and stocks have a rich historical database but face extreme competition, making it hard to profit. This has led to the rise of long-tail markets, which include less common and low-frequency products such as options on futures, unstable contracts, and used cars. Most investment funds cluster around a few mainstream products, rendering many long-tail securities unattractive and often illiquid, contributing to significant information asymmetry. Access to crucial information can heavily influence investor decisions. AI technology, alongside big data, can help bridge this information gap by integrating long-tail markets, thus enhancing fund allocation and financial risk management. Data-driven AI can uncover new investment themes by analyzing alternative data from social media and web traffic to assess their effects on specific equities. A better understanding of fintech's impact on non-banking financial services, including asset-backed securities and loans, is essential for improving the efficiency and stability of financial systems. (Danielsson & Uthemann, 2023)

AI technology supports a multi-market equilibrium framework that simulates real-world trading with various market orders and non-cash balances. Using an actor-critic reinforcement learning algorithm, it demonstrated that the equilibrium price of a public good improves with network-wide selective trading. AI fosters price fluctuations that market makers leverage for profits while learning to exclude unprofitable agents, adjusting trading fees that diminish overall efficiency. (Shih et al.2021) Restricting agents to trade only with market makers regulates system operations, although this leads to greater price drift. By modeling buyer and seller actions in a limit order book, neural network predictive feeds and specialized trading strategies enhance algorithmic trader profitability.

Enhanced Accuracy

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is now making inroads into finance, particularly in investment and asset management, which have seen limited integration of AI tools despite potential benefits. Developments in sentiment analysis have led to algorithms that exhibit up to 80% accuracy, significantly boosting sales in hedge funds. Although initial implementation costs may be high, these technologies can reduce workforce size by automating tasks like investment opportunity searches. This allows financial professionals to focus on more creative tasks, such as analyzing and interviewing. As AI advances, companies that adopt these innovations will gain a competitive edge over those that do not. Firms resistant to embracing AI technologies risk falling behind in the investment management landscape. (Brozović, 2019)

Precision targeting of customers enables marketing cost reductions through greater efficiency and lower advertising costs. Investment management firms can offer tailored products that attract specific consumer profiles. Demand for investment services is rising due to the aging population in developed nations and increased wealth in many underdeveloped countries. The financial middle-class must save for retirement, seeking more cost-effective and skilled investment management than traditional banks provide. (Fang, 2023)However, these abundant investments pose challenges for management firms, as excessive money inflows can inflate security prices. Moreover, the dominance of leading investment firms raises ethical concerns, potentially destabilizing the economic system by reducing competition.

Data-Driven Insights

The explosive growth of financial big data enables enhanced decision-making through data-driven insights. Novel algorithms aimed at improving prediction performance in financial contexts have emerged, focusing on personalization and the efficiency of financial knowledge monetization. An in-depth analysis of factors affecting stock and cryptocurrency volatility prediction is also presented. Large volumes of structured and unstructured data, such as financial statements and stock market information, are obtained quickly and inexpensively. (Malhotra & Malhotra, 2023) However, this raw data is of limited value until redundant signals are cleaned, processed, and mined. Cleaned data transforms into multidimensional information for decision-making, which can be categorized into sales, market share, and industry data. Financial statements are structured by time and business dimensions. To assess solvency and profitability, abnormal data manipulation can be detected using established metrics and unsupervised learning algorithms. (Jia et al., 2022)

Market data is indexed and stored in a temporal structure after data cleaning. With the price of stock a security, many aspect factors and a multi-thread filter approach, multiple data dimensions are combined for data analyzing. Deep learning algorithms determine interpretations of stock price movements and labeling of a trend. The prediction can be realized for precise time lengths and volatility on the price. By aligning the declarative and procedural knowledge with the designed system architecture and processes, banks management and regulatory knowledge representation and reasoning are enabled (Zheng et al., 2018). Simulation development proposals of banking management consulting knowledge management systems are discussed along with their facilitation in the knowledge reuse of similar solution designs for intelligent banking management consulting service system engineering in the long run. With the help of deep learning computer vision based action to automate rule-based tasks in the knowledge transactions of the banking management consulting, it is possible to enhance the analysis signaling of solution design for better intelligent service systems.

Challenges and Risks of AI in Finance

Financial decisions are highly consistent across different contexts but can be highly variable based on the decisions being studied and the population of interest. AI can generate a comprehensive behavioral wealth index that captures differences between individual investors over time, controlling for behavioral biases. AI can also allow for retail investors to directly influence market outcomes by applying knowledge vectors for predicting price increase and decrease. AI can show how changing incentives can increase social welfare, conserve population diversity and wealth distribution, and redistribute wealth back to retail agents. AI can analyze returns, attributes, and correlations across stocks at different levels of aggregation and timescales and form trading strategies that can be profitable across various parameters. However, understanding the mechanisms behind the different results relies on the analysis of extensive historical and synthetic market data, which comes at the cost of significantly greater complexity, oversight, and debugging requirements of AI. Overall, the slow integration of AI in quantitative finance underscores an ongoing data-driven revolution (Danielsson & Uthemann, 2023).

The adoption of AI in finance raises important considerations that merit further examination both by scholars and practitioners. The enormous potential of AI to transform any decision-making or analysis task suggests that it will largely be incorporated in all aspects of finance. However, the emergence of AI is raising concerns around the hands-off approach that professional bodies have generally adopted to AI and machine learning (Javaid, 2024). There exist some dangers and market risks from the hands-off approach, which is likely to be exacerbated by the rapidly evolving nature of AI. The concerns around AI in finance are not isolated but reflect general issues around AI bringing significant changes to analytics/decision-making across many professions.

Data Privacy Concerns

Individuals may be concerned with the nature of the insurance offered to them. Questions such as, how predictable are the models? What must be inferred about personal characteristics? How often is the model? Is the model subjected to external scrutiny? Where are the boundary conditions in which it goes wrong? How big and independently audited is the data supply? What questions do the algorithms not answer? What happens to this knowledge once the person is insured? (Murdoch, 2021). Models that have this potential to dominate peoples' lives need to be well understood, open to everyone and build public confidence. These data privacy concerns derived from anthropomorphism may be exacerbated by the nature of the decision to trust an agent. Once a person has decided to use automated insurance, they are unlikely to ever revert back to a human interfacing either massively or at all (Zarifis et al., 2024). Consider a person that has shared deep learning models to receive less severe penalties for overstating their bad credit concealment. If financial loss abuse is detected, pressing undo on the decision to share this data with numerous insurers who are modulating penalties is impractical. Thus, the sensitivity of the data being shared may heighten privacy concerns.

AI's role in a broader ecosystem raises significant concerns as it permeates sensitive sectors like education, healthcare, and law enforcement. This growth may lead to forensic issues and heighten worries across various domains. For instance, if systems influence housing prices or social housing allocation affecting homelessness, recognizing untrustworthy behaviors in other designs is essential. It's critical to examine big data's impact on AI's reach and the subsequent sectoral concerns (Balogun et al.2025). Previous research has mainly addressed big data analytics in healthcare and privacy. Future studies should expand on this foundation, possibly updating data sharing requirements across sectors. Ultimately, AI, as a crafted tool, grants control to trusted entities from either the technical blockchain realm or a broader humanist wisdom perspective.

Algorithmic Bias

The very first form of bias is the idea of fairness, which has received a lot of media attention and is the focal point of many of the activities around bias in ADM (algorithmic decision making) systems. Fairness is a legal concept and usually defined in terms of discrimination. Accordingly, there are a number of different forms of discrimination (Tolan, 2019). The most common one is direct discrimination, which occurs when a rule leads to outcomes that differ based on a person's membership to a protected group. As a rule of thumb, protected groups embody race, gender, or religion that are off-limits from consideration. An example of this in the USA is the "Equal Credit Opportunity Act, 1974", which prohibits lenders from taking race, color, religion or sex into account when deciding whether to allow a loan.

Fair and Unbiased Algorithmic Decision Making is similar to this concept, however, not with respect to direct discrimination, but indirect discrimination. Indirect discrimination occurs when a seemingly neutral rule leads to outcomes that differ based on a person's membership to a protected group. For example, targeting that only zebra convertible cars is offered to a certain postal code region, would likely lead to the exclusion of minorities, whereas race-targeted direct discrimination would be illegal (Campbell & Smith, 2023). The fairness related to this form of bias is often termed "fairness" for brevity, and include terms such as "unbiased", "fair or just", "non-discriminatory" and "due process".

Bias can be thought of as a systematic deviation from a true state. From a statistical perspective, an estimator is biased when there is a systematic error, which is also known as a bias. There are also more general definitions of bias outside of statistics. Broadly speaking, bias can be defined as any systematic deviation from reality. Bias can only be observed, not measured, since "reality" cannot be determined absolutely, but questions about it can be posed (Cesario, 2022). Depending on the form of the question, bias can manifest itself in deviating perception, thinking, remembering or judgment, for example leading to differing decisions and outcomes based on membership to a protected group. Conversely, this means that bias is not an absolute measure, but always relative to a certain ground truth.

Regulatory Compliance

AI and machine learning (ML) models at financial institutions can lead to price distortions, systemic risks, and potential meltdowns if not managed. New AI or ML models may pose issues with operational resilience, safety, and soundness due to bad model selection or improper calibration. If misused, AI can facilitate financial crime and reinforce the perception that regulators are ineffective. This could ignite a "race to the bottom," where firms prioritize deploying AI over safety. (Omopariola & Aboaba, 2021)Additionally, synthetic media, like deep fakes, threatens the trust essential to the financial system, potentially undermining the integrity of trading and capital markets.

The ways AI and ML might create systemic risks in financial markets are multifold. Refinements and improvements to models could lead to self-reinforcing cycles of convergence, exacerbated volatility, uncertainty, and reducing resilience in times of stress in real financial markets. As financial firms routinely update and replace models in panics, abandoned models could fail together, creating market holes and runaway price action. As this purposeful and deliberate switch to new models cascades through agent-specification, it could adversely produce market outcome changes that are deleterious to the stability of the system and reduce the ability of agents to respond to other absorption shocks (Danielsson & Uthemann, 2023).

A second high-level description of the desired AI capabilities is a self-governing model. AI could use precepts and guidance to act continuously and adaptively to maintain long-term adherence to decisions that are correct within high confidence. AI would initially establish a governance model capable of undergoing an exhaustive specification process. The comprehensive model would incorporate a voluntarily compact agreement structured over the operators and interactions. Continuous monitoring for convergence between AI output and surroundings would safeguard against non-compliance and an emerging model drift. In compliance, AI would act continuously to maintain adherence to the governance model while out of compliance; safe exploration and containment capabilities were incorporated to avoid worse-case scenarios until adaptation. During adaptation, AI would generate model frame audit trails and justifications (Kurshan et al., 2020).

Future Trends in AI and Finance

Artificial intelligence (AI) is transforming each aspect of finance, from access to data to decision making. AI enables computers to interpret data, learn, and make decisions. Applications already exist throughout consumer finance, particularly in credit scoring and mortgage lending, as well as fighting fraud. AI advisors make stock selections and help investors choose stocks, while algorithmic trading predicts movements and executes rapid trades. AI also assists regulators and others catching misbehavior like market manipulation. Regulators and financial systems are trying to keep up with the pace of AI-related innovation (Al Mesmari, 2023).

AI poses potential risks to the financial system, according to some. Concerns include volatility, instability, exacerbation of existing risks and imbalances, bias, and opaque decision paths. Another concern is AI becoming super-intelligent. AI-hype has sometimes relied on reasoning analogous to the Luddite Fallacy – that advances in the quality of technology impact growth and employment. AI, like the internet, may lower growth rates initially, as productivity ellipsed capabilities lead to more consumption and less investment. Eventual widespread use could lead to economic efficiency gains and wealth effects that spur growth.

A growth slowdown could deflate bubbles, causing a cohort of defaults across sectors and geographies. Financial systems' reliance on data and models means vulnerabilities follow data, enhancing existing vulnerabilities and sources of fragility. Ongoing exogenous shocks to the economy could interact with AI-enhanced financial systems and policies to raise the likelihood of an algorithmic “run” and systemic financial crisis. This could happen through regulatory models based on AI, algorithms in other financial systems, interactions among these, or model drift by market participants, either locally or across the system (Danielsson & Uthemann, 2023). An episode of financial instability seems overdue.

Ethical Considerations in AI Financial Decision Making

The use of AI technologies is rising across all economic sectors, particularly in finance. Practitioners like household portfolio managers, day traders, hedge funds, investment banks, and regulators leverage AI for financial decision making. Unlike Machine Learning (ML), AI conducts not only quantitative analyses but also provides actionable recommendations. Financial decision making involves selecting actions from multiple investment options, encompassing supervised tasks, where past actions inform future behaviors, and unsupervised tasks for identifying market patterns. The information set includes asset prices and market news, with the aim to optimize portfolios and mitigate risks through the Utility Function (Maple et al.2023). AI predominantly uses supervised learning, excelling in high-dimensional systems with large unstructured datasets, which helps reduce false alarms and improve alpha detection via daily monitoring. However, AI may also heighten vulnerabilities in financial systems under a strong AI influence.

In the financial system, micro and macro prudential regulations are both evident. A financial authority has two main objectives. Microprudential regulation focuses on daily aspects like risk management and consumer protection, where AI is beneficial. However, macro prudential regulation deals with broader issues affecting the financial system, such as credit bubbles and systemic failures. This area is less accurate and riskier, as significant events occur infrequently, creating a scarcity of data for models to analyze (Barkas2024). Consequently, controls are often implemented during crises, where forecasting is limited to the short term. Given the relevance of AI in finance, examining its macroeconomic applications is crucial and timely. A prudent response is warranted when risks are high, AI capabilities are accessible, and evaluation methods have been established, particularly in contexts of substantial economic impact and notable policy implications.

Transparency in Algorithms

Invention of machines capable of replicating intelligent behavior has been pursued relentlessly over the centuries. Already in Ancient Greece, myths hinted at automatons endowed with intelligence. A definition of Artificial Intelligence (AI) that gained wide acceptance is that provided by the American Association for Artificial Intelligence: 'the science of making machines do things that would require intelligence if done by men'. (Hadji Misheva et al., 2021). AI is of two types: weak (or narrow) AI, which deals with solving narrow goals in domains ranging from poker to solitaire, and strong (or general) AI, which addresses human-level IQ tasks, including understanding language across domains and human-level vision processing, involving trillions of connections that in total match those in the human brain.

A question exists; whether functional transparency in AI is possible. A general path towards this objective is presented, along with some cautionary notes on the challenges associated with ethical AI deployment beyond mere auditing of machine outcomes interpretation (Tanzib Hosain et al., 2023). There are necessary but insufficient conditions on functional transparency modes ensuring human understanding of some aspect of a machine learning system. Acute challenges toward achievement of these conditions are discussed, including human cognitive dimensions and representation lakes. It is argued that, explainable by design, AI via human-centered design for behavioral knowledge discovery on integrated form, content, and interaction dual forums en route toward cognitive augmentation, exploration, and explanation, holds promise in designing AI systems for risk decision processes, knowledge discovery of ethical considerations of deployed algorithms, and continued evolution of domain modeling semantics.

In practice and as applicable to financial regulation broadly, by combining machine learning technology and human-centered design principles guiding explanatory AI and explainable AI application, systems can be engineered that concurrently meet human subject emphasis and transparency requirements, yielding generalizable knowledge discovery. Both transparency modes have scope to inform datasets and algorithms used for both training and application of systems in guiding human engagement via the threat-action horizon format. During knowledge delivery, the datasets selected for AI learning and the performance outputs of that learning can be interrogated to a degree dependent on the model's innate transparency properties, disclosure of both complexity and inputs. In doing so, financial sector regulators are provided with insight into not just the motivations for algorithmic behavior but also the very semantics of threats.

Accountability and Governance

In finance, assigning accountability and providing appropriate governance for decisions made by AI is paramount. Failure to do so will lead to a situation akin to the accidents involving stand-alone automated trading, with a marked difference being that AI avoids culpability (Danielsson & Uthemann, 2023). The assumptions upon which many responses to the phasing in of AI rests – namely that AI is well-defined and interpretable – will probably be wrong. It will be paramount to carefully scrutinize AI in wider ranges than the accepted in-sample scenarios. Testing for conditions that the governing AI should be aware of, both synthetically and in the real world, would be required as well. Thus, evaluating the robustness of AI will have to be approached through well-known methods coupled with the drawbacks of agent-based modeling.

While in-information AI can be treated similarly as prophesy machines, enforcement becomes a bigger challenge. AI that is part of the proprietary systems of financial institutions will be beyond reach, but recommendations can be made public. AI testing for conditions being otherwise would almost certainly be a huge hurdle (Kurshan et al., 2020). Just as how the records of all agents in a given market provide a clear picture of the decisions made, it is safest to obtain a full view for testing. In competitive markets, this is hard given differences in infrastructure. Enforcing a common AI structure across institutions is likely to imitate the success of determining SERPs. Other recommendations include introducing design-specific regulation akin to the mid-2010s retail FX regulations and requiring any acting AI in the autoregressive to be explainable a la MiFID II.

Transparency is arguably better addressed by a better structure from the onset, but it is mildly suggested that testing could be gauged based on distributions and limits, similarly to loss prediction models under Solvency II. However, it is acknowledged that it is not apparent how non-parametric designs can be made regulators-compliant. There is also the potential risk that regulators could become bottlenecks to discovering methodologies that are not damaging. Finally, transparency is not a universal remedy (Simuni, 2024). Agents' adversarial training should be respected and defendable against self-learning systems.

The Role of Human Oversight

The rapid advancement of AI in finance shifts responsibilities to AI while emphasizing the challenges of human oversight. Firms require algorithms for their internal AI processes, ensuring human involvement, shared understanding, and adherence to corporate culture amid regulators. Failures can have widespread impacts, making firms incompatible with their environment. AI must be managed with human oversight throughout its lifecycle, covering design, training, updates, deployment, and usage. Regulators need to grasp the inherent risks and skill sets behind algorithmic decisions. (Holzinger et al., 2024) Enhanced interactions are necessary to develop basic coding, mathematics, and AI knowledge on the regulators' side while balancing it with domain knowledge in supervised institutions. Continuous assessments of AI applications are vital to identify potential breaches and maintain compatibility with the macro-environment.

Second, AI-enabled decision-making constraints have to be defined between supervisory authorities and institutions to prevent institutional blind spots and keep dynamics under control. An equally large scope of regulation is necessary for compliance-feedback interactions to ensure the compatibility of the firms and their operating environments (Danielsson & Uthemann, 2023). Financial AI-enabled decision-making fails have a dual impact; they not only destabilize but also distort the target environment. Beyond zero failures are algorithmic responses and consequences that profoundly alter complementary processes. To preserve and enhance institutional capability under AI application failure, supervisors need comprehensive observatory systems to constant monitoring firm behavior in the feedback of AI-based decisions to regulators.

Conclusion

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is gradually becoming a mature technology in the proliferation of technologies that will affect the future development of human society across a wider range and a deeper level. It will lead to the emergence of new productivity, new economic forms, and new mode of production and living habits. At the same time, it is also driving new social contradictions and changes in risk structure. The application of AI will have a profound impact on all walks of life such as governance, family, education, media and art, finance, military and arms race, logistics and transportation, health and biomedical. It is urgent to protect and safeguard the long-term interests of all human beings and the long-term stability of all mankind through governance changes and related international cooperation. In 2018, the European Parliament held academic symposiums on the impact of Artificial Intelligence (AI) on human society. Academia as well as a variety of expert institutes were invited to elaborate their prospective points of view on the past, present and future decades of AI techniques. A few different aspects of application areas were treated by numerous segments including technical and ethical issues. Finance has not been included in the agenda despite its urgent need for AI advancement. This text tries to fill the gap and opinion towards the development tendency of AI in Finance from both academia and industry perspectives. All segments share two major parts of design principles and three expectation areas of green-shoe, risk control and personal finance. Furthermore, industry practitioners are more concerned with AI design applications, while academia plans more for paradigm development. It is held that the proposed design principles and expectation areas could act as guidelines to the community in helping mankind in 2020s and beyond.

References:

Al Mesmari, S. (2023). Transforming data into actionable insights with cognitive computing and AI. Journal of Software Engineering and Applications. scirp.org

Balogun, A. Y., Metibemu, O. C., Olutimehin, A. T., Ajayi, A. J., Babarinde, D. C., & Olaniyi, O. O. (2025). The ethical and legal implications of shadow AI in sensitive industries: A focus on healthcare, finance and education. Finance and Education (February 13, 2025). ssrn.com

Barkas, P. (2024). Consumer Protection and Financial Innovation: Microeconomic, Policy, and Behavioral Considerations for the Digital Era. In Finance, Growth and Democracy: Connections and Challenges in Europe and Latin America in the Era of Permacrisis: Democracy, Finance, and Growth (pp. 263-285). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland. oapen.org

Benedek, B., Ciumas, C., & Nagy, B. Z. (2022). Automobile insurance fraud detection in the age of big data–a systematic and comprehensive literature review. Journal of Financial Regulation and Compliance, 30(4), 503-523. [HTML]

Brozović, V. (2019). PRIMJENA UMJETNE INTELIGENCIJE U SEKTORU INVESTICIJSKIH FONDOVA. [PDF]

Campbell, C. & Smith, D. (2023). Distinguishing between direct and indirect discrimination. The Modern Law Review. wiley.com

Cao, L. (2021). AI in Finance: Challenges, Techniques and Opportunities. [PDF]

Cesario, J. (2022). What can experimental studies of bias tell us about real-world group disparities?. Behavioral and Brain Sciences. nsf.gov

Cohen, G. (2022). Algorithmic trading and financial forecasting using advanced artificial intelligence methodologies. Mathematics. mdpi.com

Danielsson, J. & Uthemann, A. (2023). On the use of artificial intelligence in financial regulations and the impact on financial stability. [PDF]

Fang, H. (2023). The Impact of Population Aging on Financial Services and Economic Development. Available at SSRN 4574199. ssrn.com

Hadji Misheva, B., Jaggi, D., Posth, J. A., Gramespacher, T., & Osterrieder, J. (2021). Audience-Dependent Explanations for AI-Based Risk Management Tools: A Survey. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

Holzinger, A., Zatloukal, K., & Müller, H. (2024). Is Human Oversight to AI Systems still possible?. New Biotechnology. sciencedirect.com

Javaid, H. A. (2024). Ai-driven predictive analytics in finance: Transforming risk assessment and decision-making. Advances in Computer Sciences. acadexpinnara.com

Jia, T., Wang, C., Tian, Z., Wang, B., & Tian, F. (2022). Design of Digital and Intelligent Financial Decision Support System Based on Artificial Intelligence. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

Khan, A. K. (2024). AI in Finance Disruptive Technologies and Emerging Opportunities. Journal of Artificial Intelligence General science (JAIGS) ISSN: 3006-4023, 3(1), 155-170. newjaigs.com

Kurshan, E., Shen, H., & Chen, J. (2020). Towards Self-Regulating AI: Challenges and Opportunities of AI Model Governance in Financial Services. [PDF]

Malhotra, R. & Malhotra, D. K. (2023). The Impact of Technology, Big Data, and Analytics: The Evolving Data-Driven Model of Innovation in the Finance Industry.. Journal of Financial Data Science. [HTML]

Maple, C., Szpruch, L., Epiphaniou, G., Staykova, K., Singh, S., Penwarden, W., ... & Avramovic, P. (2023). The ai revolution: opportunities and challenges for the finance sector. arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.16538. [PDF]
Mhlanga, D. (2021). Financial inclusion in emerging economies: The application of Machine learning and artificial Intelligence in Credit Risk assessment. [PDF]
Mohsen, S. E., Hamdan, A., & Shoaib, H. M. (2025). Digital transformation and integration of artificial intelligence in financial institutions. Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting, 23(2), 680-699. [HTML]
Murdoch, B. (2021). Privacy and artificial intelligence: challenges for protecting health information in a new era. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
Omopariola, B. & Aboaba, V. (2021). Advancing financial stability: The role of AI-driven risk assessments in mitigating market uncertainty. Int J Sci Res Arch. researchgate.net
Prentice, C. & Nguyen, M. (2020). Engaging and retaining customers with AI and employee service. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
Rauwerda, K. & De Graaf, F. J. (2021). Heuristics in financial decision-making: the selection of SME financing by advisers in an increasingly diverse market. Management Decision. hva.nl
Ren, S. (2022). Optimization of Enterprise Financial Management and Decision-Making Systems Based on Big Data. Journal of Mathematics. wiley.com
Sarker, I. H. (2021). Machine learning: Algorithms, real-world applications and research directions. SN computer science. springer.com
Shih, Y. Y., Pang, A. C., He, T., & Chiu, T. C. (2021). A multi-market trading framework for low-latency service provision at the edge of networks. IEEE Transactions on Services Computing, 16(1), 27-39. [HTML]
Simuni, G. (2024). Explainable AI in Ml: The path to Transparency and Accountability. International Journal of Recent Advances in. ijramr.com
Tanzib Hosain, M., Hasan Anik, M., Rafi, S., Tabassum, R., Insia, K., & Mehrab Siddiky, M. (2023). Path To Gain Functional Transparency In Artificial Intelligence With Meaningful Explainability. [PDF]
Tolan, S. (2019). Fair and Unbiased Algorithmic Decision Making: Current State and Future Challenges. [PDF]
Ullah, M. F., Iqbal, J., Latif, M. M., Hassan, S. A., Saeed, A., Ullah, M. F., ... & Saeed, A. (2024). Evaluating AI's Impact on Asset Pricing and Derivatives: A Cross-Country Analysis. Journal of Excellence in Business Administration, 2(1), 01-13. smarcons.com
Varghese, M., Raj, S., & Venkatesh, V. (2022). Influence of AI in human lives. [PDF]
West, J., Bhattacharya, M., & Islam, R. (2015). Intelligent Financial Fraud Detection Practices: An Investigation. [PDF]
Zarifis, A., Kawalek, P., & Azadegan, A. (2024). Evaluating if trust and personal information privacy concerns are barriers to using health insurance that explicitly utilizes AI. [PDF]
Zhang, L., Wu, T., Lahrichi, S., Salas-Flores, C. G., & Li, J. (2022). A Data Science Pipeline for Algorithmic Trading: A Comparative Study of Applications for Finance and Cryptoeconomics. [PDF]
Zheng, X., Zhu, M., Li, Q., Chen, C., & Tan, Y. (2018). FinBrain: When Finance Meets AI 2.0. [PDF]
Zheng, Y. (2023). Optimization of computer programming based on mathematical models of artificial intelligence algorithms. Computers and Electrical Engineering. [HTML]