



ADVANCE SOCIAL SCIENCE ARCHIVE JOURNAL

Available Online: <https://assajournal.com>

Vol. 03 No. 02. Apr-Jun 2025. Page#. 2051-2064

Print ISSN: [3006-2497](#) Online ISSN: [3006-2500](#)

Platform & Workflow by: [Open Journal Systems](#)



Afghanistan: A Historical Testing Ground for Imperial and Global Powers

Dr. Wajid Ali

Assistant Registrar, Hazara University Mansehra, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan.

wajidalihu726@gmail.com

Ayesha Alam

Head Department of Pakistan Studies, Hazara University, Mansehra

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan

Professor Dr Sultan Mahmood

Abbottabad University of Science & Technology.

Dr. Adeela Bibi

Lecturer, Government Postgraduate College for Women Mandian, Abbottabad, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan

Muhammad Arshid

Associate Professor of Political Science, Government Post Graduate College, Mansehra

ABSTRACT

Afghanistan has long been a focal point of geopolitical rivalry, serving as a critical testing ground for imperial and global powers through history. From the British Empire's 19th century "Great Game" with Russia to the Soviet invasion in the 1980s and the American-led intervention following the September 11 attacks, Afghanistan's strategic position and complex socio-political landscape have made it a pivotal battleground for foreign influence. This paper explores the various imperial and global interventions in Afghanistan, examining how each power sought to control or influence the region while grappling with its unique challenges. Through these historical lenses, Afghanistan's role as a site of resistance, resilience, and shifting power dynamics is revealed, offering insight into the broader implications of imperialism, nationalism, and global conflicts. The study highlights the enduring struggles of Afghan sovereignty and the impact of foreign intervention on its political, cultural, and economic fabric.

Keywords: British, Soviet, US, Afghanistan, Intervention, Strategic

Introduction

Afghanistan, often described as the "graveyard of empires," has long been a strategic and contested region at the crossroads of Central Asia, the Middle East, and South Asia. Its rocky landscape, diverse ethnic groups, and complex political scene have made it an ideal, even though difficult, arena for imperial and global powers to assert their dominance. Over the centuries, Afghanistan has witnessed a series of foreign interventions, each shaped by its unique geopolitical position and the ambitions of outside powers. From the British Empire's attempts to control the region during the 19th century to the Soviet Union's ill-fated invasion in the 1980s and the United States' intervention in the early 21st century, Afghanistan has served as a testing ground for military strategies, political ideologies, and the limits of foreign influence. These historical episodes have not only shaped Afghanistan's development but have also had deep consequences on the broader international stage, influencing the course of global politics, power struggles, and the nature of imperialism itself. This paper aims to explore Afghanistan's pivotal

role in the global balance of power, tracing the motivations, challenges, and legacies of the British, Soviet, and American interventions. By examining these critical moments in history, we gain a deeper understanding of how Afghanistan's tumultuous past continues to shape its present and future in the context of international relations. The following research questions will be addressed.

Research Questions

1. How have the British, Soviet, and American interventions in Afghanistan reflected broader geopolitical strategies and objectives of their respective empires or superpowers?
2. What were the key social, political, and cultural impacts of foreign interventions on Afghan society, and how did these external forces influence the development of Afghan national identity?
3. In what ways did Afghanistan's geography, ethnic diversity, and local resistance shape the outcomes of imperial and global interventions throughout history?

Research Methodology

This study employs secondary and qualitative research methods to explore the historical and geopolitical dynamics that have shaped Afghanistan's role as a significant battleground for imperial and global forces. The research methodology is designed to gather, analyse, and interpret existing information to draw conclusions about the lasting impact of foreign interventions in Afghanistan's history. Secondary research helped in this study, as it involves collecting data and insights from pre-existing sources such as books, scholarly articles, reports, and historical documents. Qualitative research was used to interpret and analyse the underlying themes, narratives, and perspectives surrounding Afghanistan's role in global geopolitics. This helped to uncover deeper insights into the social, cultural, and political impacts of foreign interventions on Afghan society and the resilience of its people.

Literature Review

There is plenty of literature available on the Afghanistan and intervention of super powers in Afghanistan. The scholar tried to chose some relevant academic literature in this regard. William Dalrymple (2013) writes in his historical book, *Return of a King: The Battle for Afghanistan* that how British plans to control Afghanistan and clashed with Afghan culture and resistance. The British invaded Afghanistan and tried to install their own ruler. At first, they succeeded, but later faced strong Afghan resistance. The war ended with a terrible defeat for the British, with most of their army wiped out during the retreat. Dalrymple uses different sources like old Afghan poems and stories to give a clear picture of both sides. Thomas Barfield (2010) state in his book *Afghanistan: A Cultural and Political History*, that how the country has changed from the Mughal Empire to the return of the Taliban in modern times. Barfield explains the many different tribes and ethnic groups in Afghanistan. Even though they are very diverse, they still share a common Afghan identity. Barfield is of the view that in the past, it was easier to rule Afghanistan when a small group of leaders held power. But things became harder in the 1800s and 1900s, when Afghan rulers used rural fighters to fight off the British and then the Soviets. These fighters were good at defeating invaders, but their power made it harder for the government to stay in control later. The book also tells how Afghanistan fell into civil war, leading to the Taliban taking control and cutting the country off from the rest of the world. Barnett R. Rubin (2002) states in his book, *The Fragmentation of Afghanistan*, that the conflicts in Afghan society, starting with the 1978 communist takeover and ending with the fall of Najibullah, the last leader supported by the Soviets, in 1992. The author also discusses the rise of the Taliban and Osama bin Laden. The book explains how the old government worked, how the communist People's Democratic Party of

Afghanistan came to power and collapsed, and how the Mujahidin fighters struggled during that time. Rubin is of the view that countries especially the U.S., Pakistan, and Saudi Arabia played a role in shaping what happened in Afghanistan.

Hassan Abbas (2023) explains in his book *The Return of the Taliban: Afghanistan after the Americans Left*, that how the Taliban is rising again, while serious divisions are growing between the more moderate and more extreme leaders in the group. The Taliban is now dealing with big problems, like a humanitarian crisis and threats from the Islamic State group in Khorasan. At the same time, it is trying to build relationships with other countries, especially China and nearby Central Asian nations. Using trusted sources and contacts from the region, Abbas also gives detailed profiles of important Taliban leaders. *Return of the Taliban* is a key book for understanding how the group is changing and tightening its control over Afghanistan. Amin Saikal, a well-known expert, tells the full story of America's involvement in Afghanistan, starting from the 9/11 attacks up to the current crisis. After a quick military victory at first, the U.S. got stuck in a long and difficult effort to change the country, but it didn't succeed. Saikal explains that this failure was made worse by the long search for Osama bin Laden, the struggle to build a stable government through democracy efforts, and the lack of a clear plan in the broader war on terror. Saikal, is of the view that *How to Lose a War* gives a clear and thoughtful look at one of America's biggest foreign policy mistakes, and what it has meant for the people of Afghanistan. Keeping in view the research questions the paper is divided into three parts which are discussed below.

A. BRITISH, SOVIET, AND AMERICAN INTERVENTIONS IN AFGHANISTAN

The British, Soviet, and American interventions in Afghanistan each reflect broader geopolitical strategies and objectives that were shaped by the global power dynamics of their respective eras. Each intervention was driven by the desire to assert influence in a strategically vital region, often as a response to perceived threats or competition from rival powers.

1. British Intervention:

Historical episodes such as the British invasions in the 19th century, have contributed to a growing national consciousness rooted in the defence of sovereignty (Barfield, 2010; Edwards, 2002). Since the 1800s, Afghanistan's history shows how wars, fights, deals, and treaties with the British, Russians, and Afghans have shaped the country's borders. One big problem for almost every Afghan government has been the struggle to fully control its land (Dorronsoro, 2005). During the 19th century, Afghanistan became a key battleground in the context of the British Empire's imperial rivalry with Russia, a struggle often referred to as the "Great Game." For the British, Afghanistan was a critical buffer state in their larger strategy of maintaining control over British India. The British sought to prevent Russian expansion southward into Central Asia and India, fearing that Russian dominance in Afghanistan could provide a launching point for invasion into British-ruled India. Thus, the British interventions, including two wars in the 19th century were motivated by the desire to ensure a stable, friendly government in Afghanistan that would align with British interests and prevent Russian encroachment. Despite their military might, the British ultimately struggled to control the Afghan territory, which revealed the limits of their imperial power and marked Afghanistan as a resistant and autonomous space. From the very beginning, it was revealed to Amanullah Khan and Mahmoud Tarzi that the British government and the British government of India were not willing to accept the full independence of Afghanistan (Darih, A. 2007). Despite the fact Afghans want to show the world that their country is free and independent. They are working to build friendly ties with other countries and take part in development projects around the world. (Adamak, L. W. 1970). Following the end of British control over Afghanistan in 1919, the Afghan government actively sought to strengthen ties with

Western nations. In addition to signing treaties of friendship during the 1920s, Afghanistan initiated several commercial agreements with European countries. German business interests played a key role in developing markets for Karakul, wool, and cotton. In return, Germany supplied electrical equipment, constructed bridges, roads, power plants, and textile factories, and established a Lufthansa air route to Kabul (Hassan Amin, 1993). The British Empire aimed to secure its hold over India by using Afghanistan as a buffer against Russian expansion. Afghanistan's strategic location along key trade routes linking Central Asia, India, and Persia made it vital for commerce and regional influence. Frequent internal conflicts in Afghanistan prompted British intervention to install a stable, pro-British government that could counter Russian influence and protect British interests in South Asia.

2. Soviet Intervention (1979-1989): The Soviet invasion of Afghanistan in 1979 was driven by four key motivations. First, the USSR saw Afghanistan as strategically vital for securing its borders, in line with its traditional expansionist policy of creating buffer states. Second, it aimed to counter growing Chinese and American influence in the region. Third, the Soviets sought to uphold Marxist–Leninist ideals. Lastly, they saw economic advantages in controlling Afghanistan's resources and cheap goods (Christian Science Monitor, 1982). The Soviet invasion of Afghanistan in 1979 was driven by the Soviet Union's broader Cold War strategy of spreading communism and maintaining influence in Central Asia. Afghanistan's geopolitical location, bordering several key Soviet republics, made it a vital part of the USSR's sphere of influence. The Soviet Union sought to prop up the communist government in Kabul, which was facing internal unrest and opposition from Islamist insurgents. By intervening, the Soviets hoped to secure a stable, pro-Soviet regime in Afghanistan, prevent the spread of Islamic fundamentalism and maintain their control over the region. The Soviet intervention also aimed to demonstrate the strength of the USSR as a superpower capable of projecting military force beyond its borders. However, the prolonged conflict and resistance of Afghan fighters, supported by the United States and other international allies, forced the USSR into a costly and ultimately unsuccessful war. This intervention exposed the limitations of Soviet military power and significantly contributed to the weakening of the Soviet Union during the final years of the Cold War. Rather than forging a unified national identity through external nation-building efforts, foreign involvement has often exacerbated internal divisions, making Afghan identity a complex and contested construct shaped as much by internal dynamics as by the external pressures of imperialism and geopolitics (Rubin, 2002; Dorronsoro, 2005). It is worth mentioning that between 1954 and 1978, Afghanistan received over \$1 billion in aid from the Soviet Union, including a large amount of military support. (Oliker, O. 2011) Starting in 1956, a major arms deal helped Afghanistan modernize its army for the first time since World War II. In 1957, the Afghan king and foreign minister visited the Soviet Union twice. During these visits, the two countries agreed to increase military aid and allow Soviet-led petroleum exploration in northern Afghanistan, which began in late 1958. (Central Intelligence Agency, 2017).

3. American Intervention (2001-Present):

The American intervention in Afghanistan, which began in 2001 following the September 11 terrorist attacks, was rooted in the broader geopolitical objective of combating terrorism and dismantling the Taliban regime, which had harboured Al-Qaeda. The U.S. sought to eliminate Afghanistan as a base for terrorist operations that could threaten U.S. security and its global interests. Additionally, the intervention aligned with America's broader strategy of promoting democracy and countering the spread of radicalism in the Middle East and Central Asia. The war

in Afghanistan was also influenced by the U.S.'s desire to assert its dominance in the post-Cold War unipolar world, particularly in the context of the War on Terror. Over time, however, the goals shifted from counterterrorism to nation-building, as the U.S. sought to establish a stable, democratic government in Afghanistan. The conflict, however, became increasingly complex, marked by challenges such as the resurgence of the Taliban, the instability of Afghan politics, and the limits of U.S. military power in a region with deep-rooted tribal divisions and historical resistance to foreign intervention. But after years of a never-ending war and pressure at home over its cost, President Trump decided to start direct talks with the Taliban which eventually led to the U.S. fully pulling out of Afghanistan in August 2021 (BBC News, 2020). This was the first time both sides officially entered a political process. Before this, the U.S. was reluctant to talk openly with the Taliban because it wanted to maintain its image and hoped for a better deal. He appointed Zalmay Khalilzad, an Afghan-American diplomat, to lead these negotiations. On February 22, 2020, they agreed to a seven-day ceasefire, which led to the signing of a peace deal on February 29, 2020, in Doha (Thomas Ruttig, 2020). The Afghan government, led by President Ashraf Ghani, was not happy with the deal. Ghani rejected the plan to exchange prisoners but later agreed to release about 5,000 Taliban fighters (Aljazeera, 2020). The peace deal included promises from the Taliban to fight terrorism, the withdrawal of U.S. troops, talks between Afghan groups, and a permanent ceasefire. (Lindsay Maizland, 2020). Many criticized the Trump administration for making a deal that favoured the Taliban and pulling out troops without ensuring peace. The plan for talks between the Afghan government and the Taliban failed because they had completely different views on power-sharing. As a result, the main goals of the peace deal peace between Afghan groups and a lasting ceasefire never happened. The deal ended up being more about U.S. withdrawal than real peace. President Joe Biden called the peace deal made by the Trump administration a "bad deal" and said he would review it (Biden, J. 2021). The Taliban, on the other hand, refused to renegotiate the deal. Eventually, Biden dropped the idea of reviewing the deal but delayed the full U.S. withdrawal till August 2021, expecting to push for a political agreement between Afghan groups. The biggest obstacle to this plan was the Afghan government. President Ashraf Ghani rejected any proposal for sharing power, including the idea of an interim government suggested by Khalilzad (Hamid Shalizi, 2021). He insisted on elections as the only way forward. In April 2021, Biden announced that the U.S. would leave Afghanistan by August 31, 2021. The situation became changed when the U.S. gave up its main base at Bagram in July 2021, the Taliban quickly gained ground across the country. In just two weeks, they captured most cities. On August 16, 2021, President Ghani fled the country, and the Taliban took control of Kabul. Ironically, the U.S. mission in Afghanistan ended in chaos and embarrassment. The 20-year war cost the U.S. around \$2 trillion, with over 2,400 soldiers killed and more than 22,000 injured. Many weapons and military equipment ended up in the Taliban's hands after Afghan forces surrendered. Then on one occasion the US President Trump said in Feb 2025 while in exchange for U.S. aid to Afghanistan that "I wish that Taliban should give us back our army apparatus that they have." (CQ Newsmaker, 2025). The Biden during his presidential tenure allocated over \$695 million in Financial Year 2024 humanitarian aid for Afghanistan and \$233 million in Financial Year 2025 but it is uncertain how much of that aid may be affected (USAID, 2025). President Biden was heavily criticized for how the U.S. left Afghanistan and he was blamed for the disorganized withdrawal, the reasons for the Taliban's success. As U.S. troops began to leave the Taliban grew stronger. The US could not succeed in Afghanistan. After 2001, the United States spent over \$140 billion to help rebuild Afghanistan and its government (SIGAR, 2021). Although there were some successes, American leaders did not fully

understand or consider Afghanistan's social, economic, and political situation when planning their programs (SIGAR, 2021).

B. SOCIAL, POLITICAL, AND CULTURAL IMPACTS OF FOREIGN INTERVENTIONS

The foreign interventions in Afghanistan by the British, Soviet, and American powers have had profound and lasting impacts on Afghan society, shaping its social, political, and cultural landscape. These external forces not only affected the daily lives of Afghans but also played a crucial role in the formation and transformation of Afghan national identity. Each intervention had unique consequences, yet they shared certain commonalities in terms of their long-term effects on the Afghan people and their sense of nationhood.

1. British Interventions (19th Century):

The British Empire's interventions, particularly during the First and Second Anglo-Afghan Wars, were primarily focused on maintaining control over the region to secure British interests in India. These wars, along with the subsequent British influence, introduced significant changes to Afghan society.

- 1. Social Impact:** The British interventions led to widespread suffering and displacement, with many civilians caught in the crossfire. British involvement in Afghanistan, both during the 19th-century Anglo-Afghan Wars and recent NATO operations, disturbed traditional tribal and social systems. Bringing in foreign rule challenged local leaders and changed how communities functioned (Nojumi, N. 2002). Military campaigns and conflicts during British interventions caused widespread displacement, forcing many people to flee to neighboring countries, particularly Pakistan. This resulted in prolonged social instability and significant humanitarian challenges (UNHCR. 2005). British efforts to modernize Afghanistan brought new education programs, but these often conflicted with traditional Afghan customs. Some programs helped improve girls' education, but the changes were mixed and sometimes faced opposition (Dupree, N. H. 1980). The wars decimated communities and caused immense loss of life. The imposition of British policies also created divisions among Afghanistan's diverse ethnic and tribal groups, as the British often worked with certain factions to secure their control, leading to long-lasting tensions. British efforts to install puppet rulers most notably Shah Shuja undermined traditional tribal leadership structures and contributed to enduring political instability in Afghanistan (Barfield, T. 2010). The Anglo-Afghan wars resulted in significant loss of life and widespread displacement, particularly during the catastrophic British retreat from Kabul in 1842 (Barfield, T. 2010). These traumatic events left a lasting imprint on the Afghan national consciousness. In response to these foreign incursions, Afghan leaders such as Amir Dost Mohammad Khan and later Abdur Rahman Khan undertook efforts to centralize authority. This shift weakened tribal autonomy and marked the beginning of substantial reforms in governance and military organization.
- 2. Political Impact:** Politically, the British intervention weakened the Afghan monarchy. The British influenced Afghan rulers, forcing them to adopt policies that were aligned with British interests, and played a significant role in the political instability of the region. After the Second Anglo-Afghan War, Afghanistan was nominally independent but remained under British influence, leading to a fragile political system that lacked internal cohesion and was susceptible to external pressure. The British Empire sought to consolidate its control over the Indian subcontinent, viewing Afghanistan as a vital buffer state between British India and the expanding Russian Empire. The primary objective was to prevent Russian influence from encroaching into the region and to preserve British dominance in

South Asia. Fearing that Afghanistan could fall under Russian sway, the British intervened to install a friendly regime in Kabul and secure their strategic interests. Afghanistan occupied a key position along important trade routes linking Central Asia, India, and Persia. The British aimed to safeguard these routes to ensure continued access to regional markets and resources. During the 19th century, British involvement sought to stabilise the country by supporting a compliant government that would align with British interests and serve as a buffer against Russian expansion.

3. Cultural Impact:

The British invasions played a significant role in fostering a stronger sense of Afghan nationalism. Resistance to foreign domination emerged as a powerful unifying narrative one that continues to resonate in Afghanistan's cultural and political identity today. Although limited in scope, the British presence introduced new concepts related to governance, diplomacy, and military organization. These influences encouraged some Afghan elites to adopt more modern administrative practices. At the same time, the perceived threat of Western influence provoked a cultural backlash. Afghan society responded by more vigorously defending Islamic traditions and developing a deep-seated wariness toward foreign ideologies. The British presence introduced certain Western ideas, especially in terms of governance and military organization, but the overall impact on Afghan culture was minimal compared to the later Soviet and American interventions (Dupree, N. H. 1980). However, the experience of British control helped solidify a sense of Afghan resistance to foreign domination, which became a key component of Afghan national identity in the subsequent decades. One of the big problems at that time was that most people in the country couldn't read or write. There was also no mass media, like newspapers or radio, to help the government communicate clearly with the people. Because of this, there were many misunderstandings, and it was easier for foreign countries especially the British to interfere (Dariz Aminullah, 2007). British agents played a tricky game. On one side, they encouraged King Amanullah to bring Western culture into Afghanistan. On the other side, they secretly encouraged the Afghan people to protest against the King, saying he was going against their traditions, culture, and Islamic values (Dariz Aminullah, 2007). For example, in July 1923, during a big meeting called the Paghman Loya Jirga (Grand Assembly), some members, not fully understanding the power of Afghanistan compared to Russia, suggested that King Amanullah declare war on Russia. They said this in response to Russia's invasion of areas like Panjdeh, Kiwa, and Bukhara. But at the same time, Afghanistan was already struggling with a civil war inside the country.

2. Soviet Intervention (1979-1989)

The Soviet Union's invasion of Afghanistan in 1979 marked a more significant and direct attempt to shape Afghan society. The Soviets sought to solidify communist influence in Afghanistan, leading to a decade-long conflict that had far-reaching effects on Afghan society.

1. **Social Impact:** The Soviet invasion and the subsequent war devastated Afghanistan's civilian population. The conflict led to widespread displacement, with millions of Afghans fleeing to neighbouring Pakistan and Iran as refugees. The war caused severe destruction of infrastructure, homes, and agricultural lands, leaving the country in a state of ruin. The impact on Afghan families was profound, as many lost loved ones, and the trauma of the war left a lasting legacy of grief and bitterness.
2. **Political Impact:** Politically, the Soviet intervention deepened Afghanistan's divisions. The Soviet-backed government in Kabul faced fierce resistance from Afghan mujahideen fighters, who were supported by the United States and other countries. The conflict became a proxy war in the broader context of the Cold War, with Afghanistan caught

between global superpowers. This heightened political instability and entrenched the notion of Afghanistan as a battleground for competing ideologies, further eroding the concept of a unified Afghan state. The Soviet-backed People's Democratic Party of Afghanistan (PDPA) struggled to establish legitimacy, facing widespread public opposition that severely weakened central authority. As a result, the Afghan government became increasingly dependent on Soviet military and financial support. Politics in the country grew heavily militarised, with power shifting into the hands of armed factions, warlords, and insurgent groups effectively undermining any prospects for democratic governance. Meanwhile, resistance by Mujahideen forces supported by the United States, Saudi Arabia, and others intensified, eventually becoming a formidable opposition. Following the Soviet withdrawal in 1989, these groups moved to fill the resulting power vacuum, plunging the country into civil war and deep political fragmentation. The Soviet intervention thus entrenched a cycle of foreign interference and internal conflict, laying the groundwork for prolonged instability and ultimately facilitating the rise of the Taliban in the 1990s.

3. **Cultural Impact:** Culturally, the Soviet intervention sought to impose communist ideologies, including secularism, land reforms, and modernist policies. These efforts, however, were met with resistance from conservative Afghan society, particularly in rural areas, where traditional practices and Islamic values were deeply rooted. The Soviet push to secularize Afghan society clashed with the country's predominantly Islamic culture, leading to a cultural backlash that strengthened the role of Islam in Afghan identity. The Soviet occupation also helped forge a national resistance movement, where Islamic solidarity played a crucial role in unifying various ethnic and tribal groups against a common enemy.

3. American Intervention (2001-present):

The American intervention in Afghanistan, beginning in 2001, was driven by the desire to dismantle the Taliban regime and eliminate Al-Qaeda. Over time, it evolved into a broader nation-building project aimed at establishing a democratic, stable, and Western-oriented Afghanistan.

1. **Social Impact:** The U.S. intervention brought significant changes to Afghan society, particularly in urban areas. Efforts to improve education, healthcare, and infrastructure helped improve the quality of life for many Afghans, especially women and children, who benefited from greater access to education and employment opportunities. However, the ongoing conflict, corruption, and insecurity undermined these efforts, and many rural areas remained disconnected from the benefits of these reforms. The prolonged war also led to continued displacement, with millions of Afghans seeking refuge abroad or within the country.
2. **Political Impact:** Politically, the American-backed government faced immense challenges in creating a stable and functioning state. The failure to reconcile Afghanistan's complex ethnic and tribal divisions, coupled with widespread corruption, hindered the development of a cohesive Afghan political system. The U.S.-led invasion of Afghanistan, launched in response to the 9/11 attacks, swiftly removed the Taliban from power. This sudden shift created a political vacuum that the United States and its allies sought to fill by establishing a democratic government. A new Afghan constitution was adopted in 2004, and national elections followed, bringing leaders such as Hamid Karzai and later Ashraf Ghani to office. Western-style democratic institutions were introduced, but they remained fragile and were often plagued by corruption and inefficiency. Afghanistan

became heavily dependent on international particularly U.S. aid to sustain its budget, military, and infrastructure. The Afghan government struggled to operate independently, frequently relying on U.S. forces as the primary guarantors of national security. The prolonged conflict gradually eroded the credibility of Afghan institutions and weakened public confidence in the democratic process. In August 2021, the Afghan government collapsed with surprising speed, allowing the Taliban to regain control. This marked a dramatic and sobering reversal of two decades of political, military, and financial investment by the United States and its allies.

3. Cultural Impact: American intervention introduced elements of Westernization, including modern governance structures, democratic elections, particularly impacting urban youth and women. This often led to cultural tensions between traditional Afghan values and emerging Western ideals (Rashid, A. 2008). The intervention broadened access to modern media, including satellite television and the internet, exposing Afghans to global cultures and information while also igniting debates over cultural identity and values (Kassam, A. 2010). However, these ideas were often at chances with traditional Afghan values, which were rooted in tribalism. U.S.-supported various programs for women's education, healthcare, and involvement in public life, challenging traditional gender roles and empowering many Afghan women despite persistent opposition from conservative factions (Kandiyoti, D. 2010). Millions of Afghan children especially girls gained access to education in the post-Taliban era. Schools and universities were rebuilt or newly established, fostering broader literacy and increased academic engagement across the country. A vibrant media landscape emerged, marked by independent television and radio stations, newspapers, and online platforms. Journalists received training, and public discourse expanded significantly, particularly in urban areas. Women experienced notable progress in securing their rights, with increased participation in politics, the workforce, and public life. Numerous NGOs were founded to promote human rights, women's empowerment, and civil liberties, often supported by international partners. Urban centers like Kabul underwent a significant cultural transformation, adopting many Western norms and values. However, rural regions remained largely traditional and resistant to such changes, creating cultural tensions between modernity and tradition. The perceived imposition of Western ideals sparked resentment among certain segments of the population. For many Afghans, foreign influence felt disconnected from Afghan-Islamic identity, contributing to ongoing support for the Taliban in some areas. Despite these challenges, a cultural renaissance flourished. Afghan film, music, literature, and visual arts experienced a revival, with female artists and filmmakers gaining both national and international recognition even as they continued to face threats, censorship, and societal pushback.

C. AFGHANISTAN'S GEOGRAPHY, ETHNIC DIVERSITY, AND LOCAL RESISTANCE

Afghanistan's geography, ethnic diversity, and tradition of local resistance have played pivotal roles in shaping the outcomes of foreign interventions throughout its history. These factors have not only complicated external attempts to control or influence the country but have also contributed to Afghanistan's ability to resist and sometimes repel powerful imperial and global forces. Understanding how these elements have interacted with foreign powers provides insight into the persistent challenges faced by empires and superpowers in Afghanistan.

1. Geography:

Afghanistan holds a strategically indispensable position, overlooking key geopolitical regions such as Central Asia, the Indian subcontinent, the Persian Gulf, and both South and East Asia (Zahra & Sardar Mohammad, 2023). Afghanistan due to its geographical location serving as a link that ties many regions (Bhatnagar & Shahab Ahmed, 2021). Afghanistan's rugged and varied

landscape has made it an extraordinarily difficult country for foreign powers to conquer and control. The mountainous terrain, particularly the Hindu Kush range, provides natural barriers that impede military movement and make logistics challenging. These physical barriers are compounded by the country's lack of centralized infrastructure, which hindered both military campaigns and the development of cohesive governance structures. The geographic isolation of many regions of Afghanistan created significant logistical difficulties for invading armies. For example, during the British and Soviet invasions, supply lines were often stretched thin, and harsh terrain slowed troop movements and rendered conventional military strategies less effective. The mountainous and inhospitable terrain also provided ideal conditions for guerilla warfare, allowing local resistance forces to conduct hit-and-run tactics, ambushes, and sabotage. Afghan resistance fighters have long utilized this geography to their advantage, forcing invaders to fight on unfavourable ground. The Soviet Union, for instance, faced severe challenges in adapting to Afghanistan's terrain. Despite the USSR's advanced military capabilities, the Soviets struggled to control the countryside, where much of the population lived, and were repeatedly ambushed in the narrow mountain passes. Similarly, the U.S.-led coalition forces found that despite technological superiority, the war against the Taliban and insurgent forces in Afghanistan's rugged terrain made for a prolonged and costly conflict. Thus, geography has often made Afghanistan a formidable adversary to foreign powers, complicating efforts at conquest and occupation. Foreign interventions in Afghanistan have significantly shaped the development of Afghan national identity, often in ways that reinforced the country's image as a stronghold of resistance to foreign domination. While external powers have sought to impose political and cultural systems aligned with their interests, these efforts frequently underestimated Afghanistan's deeply entrenched tribal structures, Islamic traditions, and historical opposition to foreign rule (Saikal, 2012). Afghanistan's rocky landscape has made it an extremely difficult country for foreign powers to conquer and control.

2. Ethnic Diversity:

Afghanistan is a country marked by profound ethnic diversity, comprising Pashtuns, Tajiks, Hazaras, Uzbeks, and numerous other groups, each with distinct cultural, linguistic, and political identities. This variety of ethnicities has long been a defining feature of Afghan society, shaping its internal dynamics and influencing its interactions with foreign powers. While such diversity can be a source of cultural richness and resilience, it has also posed significant challenges to national unity and external governance. Throughout its modern history, Afghanistan's ethnic fragmentation has consistently impeded the establishment of centralized, long-term control whether by domestic rulers or foreign occupiers. Loyalty to ethnic and tribal affiliations often outweighs adherence to a central state, creating a highly decentralized and fluid political landscape. This complexity has repeatedly undermined foreign interventions, including those led by the British in the 19th century, the Soviets in the late 20th century, and the United States in the 21st. During the Soviet intervention of the 1980s, for instance, the Moscow-backed regime struggled to secure legitimacy across Afghanistan's multiethnic population. The People's Democratic Party of Afghanistan (PDPA), though officially secular and socialist, became dominated by non-Pashtun elements primarily Tajiks and Hazaras which alienated many Pashtun tribes in the south and east. The Soviet-backed government's failure to accommodate these ethnic realities created opportunities for the mujahideen, whose resistance capitalized on localized tribal structures and religious sentiment to galvanize widespread opposition (Giustozzi, 2000; Rubin, 2002). Similarly, the U.S.-led intervention following 2001 confronted analogous challenges. Despite efforts to build a democratic state with broad-based representation, power remained concentrated among certain ethnic factions, particularly the Northern Alliance, which

was predominantly Tajik and Hazara. This imbalance fostered resentment among Pashtuns, who constitute the largest ethnic group in the country. The failure to establish an inclusive power-sharing arrangement undermined state legitimacy and contributed to persistent political instability (Barfield, 2010; Johnson & Mason, 2008). Moreover, attempts at nation-building were repeatedly upset by deep-rooted mistrust among ethnic groups, with many communities viewing the central government in Kabul as unrepresentative or externally imposed. These divisions weakened the government's ability to deliver services, enforce the rule of law, and maintain security, especially in rural and ethnically diverse regions. Religion particularly Islam also plays a central and unifying role in Afghan society. It serves as both a point of convergence across ethnic lines and a powerful tool in regional politics. Throughout the country's history, Islamic identity has often provided a framework for resistance against foreign occupation and centralization, reinforcing tribal and ethnic autonomy. Yet, Islamist movements in Afghanistan themselves have often reflected ethnic division (Roy, 1990; Dorronsoro, 2005). In sum, Afghanistan's ethnic complexity remains a central factor in its political trajectory. While it embodies a rich heritage and potential for pluralism, it also presents enduring challenges to governance, state-building, and foreign intervention. Future efforts at stability will require not only an acknowledgment of this diversity but also a more inclusive approach to power-sharing, rooted in the realities of Afghan society.

3. Local Resistance:

Afghanistan has a long-standing tradition of resistance to foreign invaders, which is rooted in its tribal and cultural ethos. The concept of jihad against foreign oppression is deeply ingrained in Afghan history, and local resistance movements have been a defining feature of the country's interactions with outside powers. From the British invasions to the Soviet occupation and the more recent conflict with the U.S.-led coalition, Afghanistan's inhabitants have consistently fought to maintain their independence. Afghan resistance is often decentralized, relying on local leaders, tribal warriors, and militias rather than a unified national army. This nature of resistance, combined with a deep sense of national pride and religious fervour, made it difficult for foreign powers to suppress opposition. The British, for example, faced fierce resistance from Afghan tribes during the Anglo-Afghan Wars. Despite superior military technology, the British were unable to fully subdue Afghan forces, especially in the rugged mountain regions where local knowledge and the ability to navigate the terrain gave the Afghan resistance a tactical advantage. The British encountered aggressive opposition in rural areas, mainly from Pashtun tribes, who saw foreign troops as a violation of their autonomy (Barfield, 2010). The Soviet experience in Afghanistan in the 1980s demonstrated the power of local resistance. The mujahideen, a loose coalition of Afghan resistance fighters, not only benefited from their knowledge of the land but also received substantial support from external actors. The local resistance strategy, marked by guerilla warfare and high mobility, drained Soviet resources and ultimately contributed to the Soviet Union's decision to withdraw in 1989 (Coll, 2004). The inability of the Soviets to defeat a determined and locally rooted resistance movement highlights how local factors including a tradition of resistance and familiarity with the land shaped the outcome of foreign interventions. The same dynamics played out in the 2000s when the Taliban, despite being ousted by U.S. forces, quickly regrouped and initiated a prolonged insurgency. In spite of the establishment of a centralized government in Kabul and the deployment of international military forces, local resistance movements frequently drew strength from extensive grievances, including systemic corruption, political relegation, and resentment toward foreign military occupation (Giustozzi, 2008). Afghan fighters, familiar with their environment and fiercely motivated by cultural and

religious beliefs, proved difficult to defeat even by one of the most technologically advanced military forces in the world.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, Afghanistan has long stood as a complex and pivotal crossroads for imperial and global powers throughout history. Its strategic location, rich resources, and resilient culture have made it a battleground for competing forces seeking influence, control, or dominance. From the ancient conquests of Alexander, the Great to the Cold War-era struggle between the Soviet Union and the United States, and more recently, the intervention by NATO forces, Afghanistan has endured centuries of foreign intervention and internal strife. Despite these efforts, Afghanistan has consistently proven to be a place where external powers struggle to maintain long-term influence, largely due to the nation's unique geographical challenges, diverse ethnic groups, and strong sense of national identity. The enduring resilience of the Afghan people, coupled with the complexities of its geopolitical significance, suggests that any attempt to subjugate or reshape the country must acknowledge its deep-rooted history, cultural values, and the will of its people. Afghanistan's legacy as a testing ground for imperial and global powers serves as a stark reminder of the limits of foreign intervention, and the importance of respecting the sovereignty and agency of nations in shaping their own futures.

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflict of interest

REFERENCES

1. Abbas, H. (2023). *The return of the Taliban: Afghanistan after the Americans left*. Yale University Press.
2. Adamak, L. W. [1970]. *History of political relations in Afghanistan*. Ali Mohammad Zahma: Maiwand Publication Center.
3. ALJAZEERA, "Afghanistan to free 900 more Taliban prisoners: Government," May 26, 2020, available at <https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2020/5/26/afghanistan-to-free-900-more-taliban-prisoners-government>.
4. Amin, S. H. (1993). *Law, reform and revolution in Afghanistan* (p. 38). Glasgow: Royston.
5. Barfield, T. (2010). *Afghanistan: A Cultural and Political History*. Princeton University Press.
6. BBC News. (2020, March 1). *Afghan conflict: Trump hails deal with Taliban to end 18-year war*. <https://www.bbc.com/news/world-asia-51689443>
7. Bhatnagar, S., & Shahab Ahmed, Z. (2021). Geopolitics of Landlocked States in South Asia: A Comparative Analysis of Afghanistan and Nepal Australian. *Journal of International Affairs*, 75(1), 60–79. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10357718.2020.1793896>
8. Biden, J. (2021, August 14). *Biden blames Trump for Afghanistan bedlam*. Axios. Retrieved from <https://www.axios.com/2021/08/14/president-biden-maintains-troop-withdrawal-amid-kabuls-fall>.
9. Central Intelligence Agency. (n.d.). *Soviet aid to Afghanistan - CIA FOIA*. CIA.gov. Archived January 23, 2017. Retrieved April 27, 2019, from <https://foia.cia.gov>
10. Christian Science Monitor. (1982, December 22). *Soviets grab Afghan resources, saving their own*. <https://www.csmonitor.com>
11. Coll, S. (2004). *Ghost Wars: The Secret History of the CIA, Afghanistan, and Bin Laden, from the Soviet Invasion to September 10, 2001*. Penguin Press.
12. CQ Newsmaker Transcripts, "President Trump delivers remarks at the 2025 CPAC Convention," February 22, 2025.

13. Dalrymple, W. (2013). *The return of a king: The battle for Afghanistan* (Illustrated ed.). Borzoi Book, A&C Black.
14. Darih, A. (2007). *Afghanistan in the 20th century* (2nd ed.). Danish Printing Press.
15. Dorronsoro, G. (2005). *Revolution unending: Afghanistan, 1979 to the present*. Hurst and Company.
16. Dupree, N. H. (1980). *Afghanistan*. Princeton University Press.
17. Giustozzi, A. (2000). *War, Politics and Society in Afghanistan, 1978–1992*. Georgetown University Press.
18. Giustozzi, A. (2008). *Koran, Kalashnikov, and Laptop: The Neo-Taliban Insurgency in Afghanistan*. Columbia University Press.
19. Hamid Shalizi, "Exclusive: Afghan president, rejecting U.S. peace plan, to offer election in six months, officials say," REUTERS, March 23, 2021, available at <https://www.reuters.com/article/usa-afghanistan-peace-exclusive-int-idUSKBN2BF1CP>.
20. Johnson, T. H., & Mason, M. C. (2008). "Understanding the Taliban and Insurgency in Afghanistan." *Orbis*, 51(1), 71–89.
21. Kandiyoti, D. (2010). *Women, Islam, and the State*. Routledge.
22. Kassam, A. (2010). The Political Economy of Media and Conflict in Afghanistan. *Journal of South Asian Development*, 5(3), 263–281.
23. Kolaei, A., & Bramesfeld, G. (2019). A FEniCS-Based Model for Prediction of Boundary Layer Transition in Low Speed Aerodynamic Flows. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part G: Journal of Aerospace Engineering*, 233(15), 5729-5745. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0954410019855818>
24. Lindsay Maizland, "US-Taliban Peace Deal: What to Know," Council on Foreign Relations, updated March 2, 2020, available at <https://www.cfr.org/backgrounder/us-taliban-peace-deal-agreement-afghanistan-war>.
25. Nojumi, N. (2002). *The Rise of the Taliban in Afghanistan: Mass Mobilization, Civil War, and the Future of the Region*. Palgrave Macmillan.
26. Olikar, O. (2011). *20th-century security aid to Afghanistan before the Soviet invasion*. In *Historical overview* (pp. 3–18). RAND Corporation. <https://doi.org/10.7249/mg1078a.10>
27. Rashid, A. (2008). *Descent into Chaos: The United States and the Failure of Nation Building in Pakistan, Afghanistan, and Central Asia*. Penguin Books.
28. Roy, O. (1990). *Islam and Resistance in Afghanistan*. Cambridge University Press.
29. Rubin, B. R. (2002). *The fragmentation of Afghanistan: State formation and collapse in the international system*. Yale University Press.
30. Saikal, A. (2024). *How to lose a war: The story of America's intervention in Afghanistan*. Yale University Press.
31. SIGAR (Aug 2021) 'What we need to learn: Lessons from twenty years of Afghanistan reconstruction', Lessons Learned Program, Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction (SIGAR)
32. Thomas Ruttig, "First Breakthrough toward Peace? The seven-day 'reduction of violence'", Afghanistan Analysts Network." (2020). Accessed at: <https://www.ecoi.net/en/document/2024729.html>
33. UNHCR. (2005). *Afghanistan Refugee Situation*. United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees. Retrieved from <https://www.unhcr.org>
34. USAID, "Afghanistan—Complex Emergency Fact Sheet #4, Fiscal Year 2024," November 13, 2024, and USAID, "Afghanistan—Complex Emergency Fact Sheet #1, Fiscal Year 2025," January 17, 2025.

35. Zahra, P. F., & Sardar Mohammad, R. (2023). The Position of Afghanistan in the Geostrategic Framework of the New World System.