

ADVANCE SOCIAL SCIENCE ARCHIVE JOURNAL Available Online: <u>https://assajournal.com</u> Vol. 04 No. 01. July-September 2025.Page#.998-1004 Print ISSN: <u>3006-2497</u> Online ISSN: <u>3006-2500</u> Platform & Workflow by: <u>Open Journal Systems</u>



Conceptualizing the Alternate Dispute Resolution Models in the Contemporary World

Muhammad Atif Nazir

Assistant Professor- M.A Raoof College of Law Faculty of Law-The University of Lahore-Pakistan

Dr. Muhammad Faisal Khan

Assistant Professor, Department of Criminology Faculty of Social Sciences, The University of Lahore-Pakistan Corresponding Author: (<u>muhammad.faisal@crim.uol.edu.pk</u>)

Husnain Hameed Awan

Lecturer, Department of Criminology Faculty of Social Sciences, The University of Lahore-Pakistan Maleeha Amjad

Lecturer, Department of Criminology Faculty of Social Sciences, The University of Lahore-Pakistan

Abstract:

This paper is based on the review of the literature available on the different models of alternate disputes resolutions working in the contemporary world. This ranges from advanced states like USA, China and developing countries like India, Philippine, Pakistan and Afghanistan. In most of the countries the ADRs working with two options i.e., the court annexed option and community-based dispute resolution. Both options have merits and de-merits but adopted in all over the countries. These models range from the simple negotiation to complex mechanism of mediation and other approaches adopted by the different communities in different countries. The paper also discussed the disputes resolution in the religion of Islam and Christianity.

Key words: ADR, Community based disputes resolution, informal justice.

Introduction:

The community-based dispute resolution mechanism is employed in several countries with different labels and with similar goals through immediate or circuitous resolution of disputes inside the community all through of the court settlement.

1. United Kingdom: In Great Britain, the compromise procedures have become progressively famous inside local area improvement practice. In negotiation has been utilized to prevent aggravation, tackle area debates, address family struggle, work with local area contribution, address segregation and diminish against social conduct. The guarantee of conciliation is that classified and casual cycles of 'equity from underneath' might better accommodate local area

interests, further develop connections and advance social change. Inside the field of local area improvement, these guarantees have been generally vaunted. (Asenjo, 2019).

2. America: In USA, few decades prior, the American Bar Council has recommended to acquaint not many ways with settle disputes out of courts in light of over-burdening courts and individuals' disappointment with formal legal framework. The community dispute resolution focuses have been set up to determine crime and less lawful offense cases out of the courts (Stanley, 1976). Community Justice Centers, as described by the American Bar Association Report of the Pound Conference (1976) Follow-up Task Force, are workplaces - planned to make available a combination of techniques for handling questions, including assertion, intercession, reference to little cases courts just as reference to courts of general ward (McGillis & Mullen, 1977).

3. India: Barrett (2004) reasoned that Indian people using Jirga and Panchayat (arbitration) beginning twenty-five hundred years ago. India has set up Lok Adalat (individuals' court) to give a lawful help to individuals to determine their debates and even resolve those cases which are under trial in formal courts. These courts are working with nominal fees or no fees at all.

4. Afghanistan: The countries with tribal social order like Afghanistan community arrangements have central part of their dispute resolution to remove hostilities and contentions coming from family to family. In Afghanistan the "Loya Jirga" a type of dispute resolution organization has immense powers even to find solution of problems on state level. That consists of representative of the tribes to sit together and find some solution of the problem existing locally or nationally (Barakat, S. et al. 2006).

5 Malaysia: Malaysia is practicing court added intervention to determine disputes out of the courts under the umbrella of the courts. Mediation practice in Malaysia has made considerable progress since it's under developed days during the 1990s. Today, intercession frames a center segment in the Malaysian legal framework where it gives an option in contrast to contesting gatherings to determine their dispute without experiencing the preliminary cycle. Court-added intervention alludes to intercession where self-motivated appointed authorities and legal officials go about as middle people to contesting parties after they have recorded their activity in the courts. The Malaysian legal executive is the central player for presenting this type of intercession in the general set of laws in Malaysia (Callister & Wall, 1997).

6. Bhutan: Community-based dispute resolution has existed in Bhutan for quite a while is still practically speaking. In the Bhutanese setting it is known as nangdrik, which means settling disputes and cases in the community by seniors without going to court. Notwithstanding, there were a few examples where certain grievous cases likewise were settled normally by community elders. Later with cataloguing the law, such cycle was to be halted, however due to hilly territory it was hard for individuals to go to court to settle the case, which unveiled expensive. To work with these loads, the legal executive permitted the act of community-based dispute resolution to proceed; in any case, there is a limitation that the genuine cases can't be settled at the community level. Individuals are, for the most part, mindful about the framework and are cheerful. They report the case to police and the police screen the case and permit them to settle the minor cases agreeably at the community level (Sonnenberg, 2020).

7 China: In China, since the Western Zhou Dynasty 2,000 years prior, the post mediator has been specified for all legislative organizations. Today in China, it is assessed that there are 950,000 intercession boards of trustees with 6 million arbiters' truths be told; there are a larger number of go between per 100 residents in China than legal advisors per 100 individuals in the United States (Jia, 2002). Chinese intercession points not exclusively to react to a contention when it breaks out, yet in addition to keep it from occurring in future (Fu, 2007).

8. Papua New Guinea (PNG): Town Court Mediation in the PNG setting is a standard method of settling disputes and looks after harmony what's more, amicability in networks. Community pioneers have an obligation to keep up harmony and concordance in networks. They use CBDR to intervene common cases and in 9 criminal cases. PNG law doesn't permit intervention of criminal cases, yet neighbourhood networks resort to intervention, particularly in thrilling cases, for the sole motivation behind keeping up harmony and agreement in networks. This doesn't, notwithstanding, fundamentally absolved the charged gathering from criminal obligation; consequently, instalment of remuneration is considered as an alleviating factor in criminal procedures. Social variety and topography are significant difficulties. Factions or clans form their purported community and every community has its own way of life; in this way, it is practically unthinkable to concoct only one technique to be embraced by all without experiencing a lot of obstruction from the local people themselves. Individuals from distant territories can only with significant effort access the ordinary courts, so they resort to the intervention measure in the town courts. Great practices in PNG concerning CBDR are as per the following: social pressure from the community on the respondent to meet the concurred terms of settlement, so help is looked far from races, families in settling terms settled after during intercession. Community, family or ancestral commitment in settling disputes empowers more grounded networks in stopping violations (Zorn, 1990)

9. Philippines: In the Philippines, the Barangay Justice System is ordered by State Legal Act No. 7160 or the Area Government Code of 1991 as a community-based component for dispute resolution. The framework has set up strategy that covers all issues that may emerge in the organization of CBDR and all fundamental structures are accommodated appropriate account of grievances, serving summons, recognition of the time of settlement of disputes and other related methods. There are some indigenous clans generally in the northern furthermore, southern piece of the nation who have their own standard customs with respect to compromise in their separate networks; in any case, the law on the Barangay Justice System (BJS) gives that in networks that have unmistakable 10 conventional practices in settling disputes, the traditions and customs of the indigenous social networks will be applied. In this way, settling disputes through their Councils of Elders are perceived and followed with a similar power and impact as the methodology in the BJS. Besides the unmistakable reputation, the picked exceptional Lupon (Baragay Peace Chamber) will be given the Presidential Acclamation and a monetary reward. This conflict resolution arrangement is a solid stimulation to all Barangay Lupon to perform their best (Benter, 2020).

10. Sri Lanka: In Sri Lanka mediation is represented by procedural law and is accessible for both lawbreaker and common issues in any case, with constraints (Valters, 2013). Minor criminal cases, for example, injury and wickedness where the sentence is short, of what one year, essentially should go to intervention. Minor common cases of fewer than 25,000 rupees, as well, ought to go through the same cycle. The first court has locale to attempt these cases just if the intercession or mediation cycle fizzles. Legitimate portrayal is not allowed. The arbitration is attempted by three arbiters. Two of them are chosen by the two players, and the pioneer is chosen by those two. Intercession isn't accessible in issues where the state is a party or in procedures initiated by the Attorney General (Jayasundere & Valters, 2014).

11. Pakistan: In Pakistan, a general public with populated metropolitan regions and less populated ancestral zones and extraordinarily the provincial regions practiced distinctive dispute resolution frameworks. When Pakistan came into being in 1947, Pakistan adopted British Legislative Mediation Act 1940 which is as yet relevant in Pakistan. The mediation is the goal of an issue; between the gatherings; outside the official courtroom, through; an either delegated referee by the 11 gatherings or by the outsider or named by the court of law. Following out of court settlement of conflicts are working under these laws;

• Arbitration Act 1940

• Mediation: Section 89-A Civil Procedure Code 1908, Small Claims and Minor Offences Ordinance 2002

• Conciliation: Section 89-A Civil Procedure Code 1908, Family Courts Act 1964. Muslim Family Laws Ordinance 1961

• Other types of Alternative Dispute Resolution (unspecified): Section 89-A Civil Procedure Code 1908, Federal & Provincial Mohtasib (Ombudsman), Compounding of Offences under Code of Criminal Procedures 1898. "Arbitration is a regarded framework for the closing and required goal of contrasts or contentions, identified with an understanding or contract or some other issues connected with a worldwide element, through an unbiased or free intervention, in accordance with instrument, foundation and both lawful and non-legitimate considerable principles; by the gatherings; either or in a roundabout way" (Lew et al., 2003).

Concept of Dispute Resolution in Islam and Christianity: Allie (2020) depicted the idea of the Islamic method of debate goal and articulated the system is profoundly established and inserted in scriptural (Quranic) and extra scriptural text specifically the corpus of Hadith. There is a plenty of directions to demonstrate that compromise is, in fact, an elevated objective which is compensated as a demonstration of love. In the same way, as other different parts of the Shariah, şulh is directed by arrangements of the sacred text and extra scriptural sources considered by Muslims as the (Shariah). Furthermore, şulh is likewise the favored technique for elective question goal since it is liquid, authoritative, and speedy and perhaps 15 the best methods of tackling various kinds of debates, regardless of whether business or family. It has in this way, acquired impressive footing in present day western monetary industry which is generally because of its authoritative nature and the shortfall of the antagonistic component. As an instrument of review, şulh is represented by Islamic law of agreement which appears as an

arrangement which can be commonly haggled between at least two gatherings. Of late it has likewise become the component of decision in family and conjugal debates. Islamic law urges disputes to be settled in external court through tahkim (arbitration) or sulh (mediation). The dispute resolution measures in Islam are essential for a bigger Islamic lawful structure, known as Islamic law or Shariah. "O you who accept! Stand apart solidly for Allah as observers to reasonable dealings and let not the disdain of others to you make you steer to wrong and leave from equity. Be only, that is close to devotion. Dread Allah, without a doubt Allah is all around familiar with everything that you do." Quran: Chapter 5 It is regularly acknowledged that the substitute Dispute Resolution has emerged and begun from the Western countries is from several years. In fact, it is affirmed by various Islamic Jurists that the Dispute Resolution estimates like Negotiation, Mediation, Med-Arb, and Arbitration are rehearsed in Islam for 1400 years and are referenced in heavenly Quran. In Islam, the great method for managing compromise depends on severe characteristics, customary society of give and take and rule of simultaneousness. The legitimacy of debate or arbitration in Islam can be got from the section of Quran, 'The devotees are nevertheless a solitary fraternity, so bury the hatchet and compromise (sulh) between two (fighting) siblings; and dread Allah, that ye may get kindness' (Quran, (49:10) In the same line, another Verse of the Quran says 16 'If two parties among the believers fall into a quarrel, make ye peace between them...with justice, and be fair; for Allah loves those who are fair and just' (Quran, 49: 9). In another verse, Allah states in the Holy Quran: 'If you judge in equity between them, for Allah loves those who judge in equity' (Quran, 5:42.).

While many studies on dispute resolution in Muslim societies have portrayed sulh mainly as a manifestation of customary practice within informal settings, a study of the legal sources reveals that it is not extra-judicial but is rather an integral aspect of an Islamic justice system. Citing authoritative traditions on the potentially disruptive effects of adjudication, jurists instructed disputants and qādis alike to first consider conciliation to solve conflicts. A qādi might opt for sulh in lieu of proceeding to trial, either steering disputants towards settlement on their own, with the assistance of mediators, or mediate the case himself. At the same time, jurists were also concerned with ascertaining the parameters within which sulh should operate, especially when they might offend the rules against ribā (usury) and gharar (Othman, 2007).

The dispute resolution in Islam consists of following process.

1. Sulh (Ending a Dispute): In Islamic law, the idea of Sulh which incorporates compromise, settlement or understanding between parties is the most established act of debate goal. Its motivation is to end aggression and struggle among devotees with the goal that they might proceed with their tranquil relationship in the public arena (Allie, 2020).

2. Tahkim (Arbitration): Islamic law permits settling the debates between its adherents through assertion assuming they can't arrive at a friendly arrangement of their private questions (Moussalli, 1997). It is accounted for in the different writingsthat Prophet Muhammad likewise rehearsed and perceived intervention since mediation got its literary premise from the Quran, "Furthermore, if ye dread a break between them (the man and spouse), choose a judge from his

people and an authority from her society" (Quran, 4:35). This section of Quran proves that discretion is a perceived practice in Islam.

3. Med-Arb: Mediation-Arbitration is a combination of dispute resolution refined and conditioned in Islam with lighter modifications as per the need for people. It got its acknowledgment from Verse 35 of Surah al-Nisa and it is blend of both sulh and tahkim. It is depended upon from the position to at first 17 mediate between the social affairs and in case of frustration of intercession he should proceeds for discretion (Islam, 2012).

4. Ombudsman (Mohtasib): In Islam, practice of ombudsman is available from when Al-Quran came from Allah and it began from Quranic verse 3.110: "Let there emerge out of you a band of individuals welcoming to all that is great ordering what is correct and prohibiting what's going on; they are the ones to achieve felicity." It is generally said that this training has 1400 years of age. The charge of initial two ombudsmen in Makkah and Madina was given to Umar receptacle Khattab and Sa'ad Umayyah separately by The Prophet (S.A.W) himself (Syed, 2008).

5. Fatwa or Mufti: In some countries, a fatwa is also considered to be kind of dispute resolution, where any matter pertaining to legal issue is solved by legal opinion or fatwa. In certain nations, a fatwa is likewise viewed as sort of dispute resolution, where any issue relating to lawful issue is tackled by legitimate assessment or fatwa. In Islam fatwa is viewed as a strict decision, an insightful assessment on issues identified with religion. As indicated by the Islamic custom simply a remarkable genuine analyst, leading group of scientists (Ulema), in Sunni schools, a mufti, in Shia school Ayatollah are locked in to give a fatwa. It is generally expected that fatwa gave ought to be founded on the use of reasoning and thinking (Ijtihad). The disclosures of the fatwa are not limiting yet cautioning in nature. Islamic history is stacked with models where puzzled conditions implying Muftis and answers were given by them as the fatwa (Iqbal, 2001).

Dispute Resolution in Christianity: The Christian custom of compromise depends on various scriptural references, remembering one for discretion in 1 Corinthians that recommend early knowledge of elective contest goal as a choice to war: "In the haziness of more seasoned time a longing would emerge to supplant equipped battle by mediation" Matthew 18 talks about absolution and tranquil compromise. In various different spots, the Bible discusses calmly working with others to try not to utilize the court or brutality for settling debates (Barrett, 2004).

References

Allie, S. (2020). Exploring the concept of conciliation (sulh) as a method of alternative dispute resolution in Islamic law.

Asenjo Palma, C. (2019). Conflict resolution in community development: Are the benefits worth the costs? Critical Social Policy, 39(2), 268-288.

Barakat, S., & Strand, A. (2006). Mid-term evaluation report of the National Solidarity Programme (NSP), Afghanistan.

Barrett, J. T., & Barrett, J. (2004). A history of alternative dispute resolution: The story of a political, social, and cultural movement.

Callister, R. R., & Wall Jr, J. A. (1997). Japanese community and organizational mediation. Journal of Conflict Resolution, 41(2), 311-328.

Fu, H. (2017). Bringing politics back in: access to justice and labor dispute resolution in China. Justice: The China Experience.

Iqbal, W. (2000). Courts, lawyering, and ADR: Glimpses into the Islamic tradition. Fordham Urb. LJ, 28, 1035.

Islam, M. Z. (2012). Provision of Alternative Dispute Resolution Process in Islam. Journal of Business and Management, 6(3), 31-36.

Jayasundere, R., & Valters, C. (2014). Women's experiences of local justice: community mediation in Sri Lanka.

McGillis, D. (1986). Community dispute resolution programs and public policy. US Department of Justice, National Institute of Justice, Office of Communication and Research Utilization. McGillis, D., & Mullen, J. (1977). Neighborhood Justice Centers: An Analysis of Potential Models.

Othman, A. (2007). "And Amicable Settlement Is Best": Sulh and Dispute Resolution in Islamic Law. Arab Law Quarterly, 21(1), 64-90.

Sonnenberg, S. (2020). Formalizing the Informal: Development and its Impacts on Traditional Dispute Resolution in Bhutan. Wash. UJL & Pol'y, 63, 143.

Sornarajah, M. (1989). UNCITRAL Model Law: A Third World Viewpoint, The. J. Int'l Arb., 6, 7. Stanley, J. A. (1976). The Annual Report of the President of the American Bar Association. ABAJ, 62, 1119. Stein, D. (2013). Community mediation and social harmony in Nepal.

Stewart, F. H. (1987). Tribal law in the Arab world: a review of the literature. International Journal of Middle East Studies, 19(4), 473-490.

Strickland, R. T. (2007). The way of the Pashtun: Pashtunwali. Canadian Army Journal, 10(3), 44-55.

Strong, S. I. (2014). Beyond international commercial arbitration-the promise of international commercial mediation. Wash. UJL & Pol'y, 45, 10.

Stulberg, J. B. (1981). The theory and practice of mediation: A reply to Professor Susskind. Vt. L. Rev., 6, 85.

Trujillo, M. A., Bowland, S. Y., Myers, L. J., Richards, P. M., & Roy, B. (Eds.). (2008). Recentering culture and knowledge in conflict resolution practice. Syracuse University Press.

Tsai, W., & Ghoshal, S. (1998). Social capital and value creation: The role of intra-firm networks. Academy of management Journal, 41(4), 464-476.

Zorn, J. G. (1990). Customary law in the Papua New Guinea village courts. The Contemporary Pacific, 279-311.