The Impact of Corruption on Governance and Political Accountability in Pakistan

Arshid Jan
PhD Scholar/Senior Rule of Law Adviser
arshidjan.gvca@gmail.com
Majid Khan Afridi
PhD Scholar, Area Study Center University of Peshawar
majidafridi2003@gmail.com
Dr. Taimur Azam Khan
Assistant, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Judicial Academy
taimur@kpja.edu.pk

ABSTRACT

Corruption in Pakistan has evolved into a systemic crisis, profoundly undermining governance, political accountability, and socio-economic development. This article examines the multidimensional nature of corruption, exploring its manifestations in political patronage, bureaucratic malfeasance, and institutional capture. Drawing on theoretical frameworks such as Principal-Agent Theory and Public Choice Theory, the analysis reveals how weak oversight mechanisms, information asymmetry, and elite dominance perpetuate corrupt practices. Empirical evidence highlights the severe consequences of corruption, including eroded public trust, inefficient service delivery, and a weakened rule of law, which collectively hinder Pakistan's progress toward Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). High-profile scandals, such as the Panama Papers, and the politicization of anti-corruption bodies like the National Accountability Bureau (NAB) exemplify the structural challenges facing governance reforms. The study also evaluates the role of electoral systems, media, and civil society in demanding accountability, noting their limitations due to political interference and institutional constraints. Proposed solutions emphasize a holistic approach, combining legal reforms, institutional capacity building, digital governance tools, and societal transformation to foster transparency and integrity. By addressing corruption as both a systemic and cultural issue, Pakistan can restore public trust and achieve equitable development.
Keywords: *Corruption, Governance, Political Accountability, Institutional Capture, Public Trust, Anti-Corruption Reforms, Pakistan.*

Introduction

Corruption is an entrenched global problem which eats up the democratic institutions, development and destroys the integrity of the governance by the people. Per the Transparency International Corruption Perceptions Index (2023), more than two-thirds of nations have a lower score below 50 out of a total of 0 to 100, and this portrays the existence of wide-spread corruption in not only developing but also developed countries. Corruption in developing countries is usually promoted by a poor institutional system, lack of responsibility, and erosion of law (Mungiu-Pippidi, 2020). An example of the ongoing problems that corruption presents to governance and political development is Pakistan which has a score of 133 out of 180 in the 2023 Index. It has become a

norm to abuse a position in public office to acquire personal benefits in many other sectors such as the judiciary, police, and revenue services. The extent of corruption in Pakistan is not only against the ethics but is a systematic issue impacting the service, democratic representation, and social equity (Khan & Qureshi, 2022). The effects of corruption are thus important to know in order to recommend reforms that are in line with transparency, efficiency, and justice. Corruption has been viewed as one of the characteristics of the political life in Pakistan and has largely influenced the quality of governance, economic development as well as institutional legitimacy. The problem of corruption has been faced by successive democratic and military governments who mostly used it as a method of political engineering to enhance rather than reform the institutions (Zahid & Ahmed, 2021). A vicious cycle has been established through political patronage and rent-seeking activities as well as capture of public resources by the elite where accountability systems are systematically undermined. The recent corruption scandals of politicians which have been uncovered in Panama Papers have further eroded the trust in the political and rule of law. Since the exercise of elections can often be affected by the use of money, influence, and patron-client relationships, true political responsibility becomes hard to achieve (Rehman & Javed, 2023). In addition, selective accountability practices are further promoted by lax parliamentary oversight and flawed anti-corruption institutions including the National Accountability Bureau (NAB). What is important in terms of studying corruption in Pakistan is its structural nature which is deeply rooted in the state apparatus, including budgetary allocations, policymaking etc. Thus, the discussion of the effect of corruption on governance and accountability in Pakistan will provide useful hints regarding the obstacle to democratic consolidation and institutional change.

In its greatest definition, corruption is the misuse of power vested in a person or office in order to gain personally, and includes such activity as bribery, nepotism, embezzlement, and influence peddling (UNODC, 2022). Governance entails institutions and procedure by which decisions are undertaken and power is executed as the affairs of a nation are controlled (Kaufmann & Kraay, 2021). Good governance means that there is transparency, accountability, rule of law and participation in decision-making. Political accountability, in its turn, refers to the expectation that elected officials must clarify and defend their course of actions to the population and be accountable both by electoral and institutional means (O Neill, 2020). These notions are closely interconnected in weak democracies such as Pakistan. Corruption distorts governance as implementation of policies is skewed in favour of vested interests and regulation institutions weaken. It is also a weakness of the political accountability which allows dominance of the elite and protects the officials of the general population. The moment the citizens develop a perception that the leaders are above the law is the moment the entire system of democracies start to fall apart. As such, getting a clearer definition of these terms is instrumental in analyzing how corruption undermines integrity and hampers the attainment of fair and transparent governance in Pakistan.

Conceptual Framework and Literature Review

The research into the effects that corruption has on governance and accountability is usually based on two school of thoughts: Principal-Agent Theory and Public Choice Theory. According to the Principal-Agent model, corruption takes place when an agent (a politician or a bureaucrat) seeks personal enrichment in breach of trust of the principal (citizens or the state) because of information asymmetry and ineffective monitoring system (Rose-Ackerman & Palifka, 2016). The Public Choice Theory, in its turn, supposes that public officials are people who pursue their self-

interest, much like people in the markets, when the institutional limits are weak or absent (Persson, Rothstein, & Teorell, 2021). Both theories are applicable in Pakistani context where political actors usually use their positions to keep patronage networks, divert resources or manipulate check procedures. Such frameworks do not only assist in the explanation of the occurrence of corruption but also the sustenance of corruption despite legal and institutional measures, aimed at containing corruption. These theoretical insights are confirmed by the inefficiency of the state to make accountable the system, to enforce transparency and allow systematic exploitation. Consequently, the inclusion of these models allows a systematic way of looking at how institutional weaknesses propagate corrupt conducts and weaken governance.

Corruption is known to be a powerful enemy to good governance, economic growth and democratic stability in the world. Work on the region of Sub-Saharan Africa, Latin America, and Southeast Asia illustrates how deep-rooted corruption can undermine the work of the institutions, undermine the civic trust, and corrupt the allocation of resources (Charron & Rothstein, 2022). The World Bank (2023) affirms that corruption decreases the effectiveness of the public service, deteriorates the quality of the regulation, and affects social justice negatively due to the alienation of vulnerable groups. In addition, the empirical studies associate high levels of corruption with low indicators of human development and income disparity (UNDP, 2022). The elements of effective governance including open policy, involvement of citizens and effective checks are undermined in highly corrupt states. Nations that have well established legal systems, functioning without interference by the government, and civil societies are more successful in dealing with corruption (Heywood, 2020). E-government tools and open data platforms through the digitalization of governance have also become the emergent global efforts to combat corruption but to the lesser degree in South Asia. Such foreign experiences provide important lessons to Pakistan and imply that reform of governance should not just should not be penal but should aim at changing the system and ensuring integrity of the public sector and empowering the citizens.

The political history of Pakistan is full of memories of corruption scandals that usually follow the change of rule between military and civilian governments. Ever since gaining its independence in 1947, each succeeding regime has been accused of embezzlement, favoritism and abuse of power. The decade of the 90s was marked by the fall of several democratic governments on grounds of corruption where prime ministers were fired due to allegation of financial misconducts (Rizvi, 2020). Even governments led by the military which purport to bring about accountability have been accused of engaging in massive mismanagement of finances and patron-client relationship. The leaking of the Panama Papers in 2016, which included the former Prime Minister Nawaz Sharif, and a continued investigation of the practices of party financing continues to demonstrate the institutional and bipartisan nature of corruption in politics. Such patterns in the past reveal that corruption in Pakistan is not just episodic but systematic as caused by lack of institutional checks, politicization of accountability institutions and a passive electorate. This long-lived history determines the current functioning of the government in which political survival is based mostly on rent distribution and elite networks rather than service delivery to people and meritocracy. The realization of this historical continuity is key to the evaluation of the modern dilemma of developing a transparent and accountable governance framework.

Recent empirical works support that corruption is a major factor in hindering performance of governance in Pakistan through distorted administrative priorities, undermined institutions as well as decreased confidence. To take an example, Mehmood and Haider (2021) discovered that

corruption in infrastructure projects and procurement results in overcharging and low quality of services delivered, mostly in the fields of health and education. In the same vein, Abbas and Ali (2022) believe that corruption in the bureaucracy prevents the rule of law and causes policy inconsistency, thereby almost impossible to carry out any reform related to it in the long-term perspective. The governance indicators of Pakistan, including the voice and accountability, rule of law, and control of corruption have maintained a low position in comparison with regional counterparts (World Bank, 2023). Moreover, there is constant political interference in the activities of institutional institutions like National Accountability Bureau (NAB) and Election Commission of Pakistan (ECP), which lowers their credibility and functional autonomy (Siddiqui & Naseer, 2023). All these studies put emphasis in the institutional degradation caused by unregulated corruption and the inability to maintain a good governance in the circumstance of corruption. They fortify the necessity of institutional changes, more regulating independence and people-centered determination of governance to control the destructive effect of corruption.

Nature and Forms of Corruption in Pakistan

In Pakistan, the problem of political corruption is one of the most rooted and disruptive malgovernance than others. It is reflected in such practices as nepotism, vote-buying and patronage system that only defends the interests of elite instead of the common good. Politicians often assign their loyalists and relatives to governmental positions instead of finding the most competent person to do the job, compromising meritocracy and the performance of institutions (Ali & Zafar, 2021). Voter bribery, bribes and gifts of voters, as well as fraud and manipulation of electoral activity have been common issues of multifariousness, not least in rural constituencies where the purchase of political literacy is low and dependency on local elites is high (Asad & Hussain, 2022). The politics of patron-client relationship prevail such that basic services are achievable only by showing political allegiance. This compromises the value of democratic accountability and goes further to aggravate levels of apathy towards elections. This will make the public officials more responsive to the elite patrons than to the citizens and this will further strengthen the cycles of corruption. Such bad politics corrupts democracy not only in terms of misrepresentation but also in creating inequality whereby power becomes concentrated in the hands of few politically well connected families and business establishments.

Corruption through bureaucracy is rampant at every level of the government in Pakistan and takes the form of bribes, too much red tape and playing favorites by those in government during the process of administration. Accessing access to basic services is often delayed or denied unless an informal fee is paid which is commonly termed as speed money (Javed & Akhtar, 2021). Licensing, granting of permits, land registration and processing of taxes are all open to manipulation by the officials who exercise discretionary powers in self-interest. Red tape does not only reduce economic activity but also encourages corruption, since more points to use it become available (Saeed, 2023). Institutional competence is undermined by favoritism in the employment and advancement of people in the public sector on a kinship or political basis instead of competence. In addition, lack of solid internal auditing systems and a culture of impunity further worsen the scene. According to the Pakistan Institute of Legislative Development and Transparency (PILDAT, 2022), bureaucratic inefficiency and corruption are among the top reasons for citizen dissatisfaction with public institutions. Reforms to reduce procedural opacity, digitize government services, and promote whistleblower protections remain crucial to tackling this deep-rooted problem.

Institutional capture in Pakistan means the elite political, military, or economic forces take over state institutions and hijack them to pursue their parochial motives. This kind of corruption destroys institutional neutrality and responsibility, as some actors use manipulation to intervene in the mechanisms of regulatory, judicial, and administrative systems (Nawaz & Abbasi, 2020). There is a lot of misuse of the positions of office to gain partisan political mileage, including interfering with setting of policy to the blocking of court cases against friends of the government. As an example, the National Accountability Bureau (NAB) which is constitutionally bound to limit corruption has been accused of selective accountability and persecution of opposition members (Khalid & Farooq, 2023). Along the same lines, there is sometimes a form of external pressure used on the procurement bodies and regulatory agencies like OGRA and NEPRA, whose decision making is impaired. Undermining institutional autonomy has deep implications on governance because it undermines the state capacities to provide fair justice and services. As well as leading to elite privilege, institutional capture also erodes state authority in the minds of citizens. To restore confidence in governance, one must depoliticize institutions and make them independent in operations to remove the undue influence.

There is a high degree of variation in corruption in Pakistan across sectors with the judiciary, police, revenue and systems of public procurement consistently being the most corrupt sectors in citizen perception surveys. Judges, even though they are supposed to be independent, are constantly accused of bribery, stalls, and politically motivated judgments, chipping the confidence of people in the justice system (Shah & Mumtaz, 2022). The police force is perceived as coercive and corrupt especially at the local level whereby the officers often demand bribes to either open cases or no arrests. Such practices hit the poor and the marginalized disproportionately as they do not have political connections as well as are unaware of their legal rights. The revenue department is famous for the tax evasion schemes that occur due to the collusion of the insiders, and the public procurement sphere is characterized by overpaid contracts and kickbacks and the absence of transparency (TI Pakistan, 2023). According to the Auditor General of Pakistan's 2022 report, billions of rupees are lost annually due to irregularities in procurement and revenue collection. The prevalence of corruption in these key sectors not only hampers service delivery but also reduces institutional legitimacy, making governance reform an urgent national priority.

Impact on Governance

The loss of popular confidence in state-institutions in Pakistan is one of the most direct impacts of the corruption plague that has gripped the country. Democratic legitimacy has trust as a cornerstone part, and without it, there is extensive disillusionment, political apathy, and poor civic participation. As per the National Corruption Perception Survey issued by Transparency International Pakistan (2023), 78 percent of the respondents said that corruption was heightened in the government machineries, particularly in the police, justice system, and the taxation department. This distrust is also applied to elected officials where most people feel politicians are elites whose main commitment is to themselves instead of acting as servants of the people (Zaman & Akhtar, 2021). The more trust is lost, the less citizens will be willing to obey laws, to pay taxes, or engage in democracy, weakening the state capacity even more. Social contract between the government and its citizen start failing, thus providing favorable environment to unrest and instability. It is in such a context that even the most well-intentioned reforms end up being received with skepticism and the performance of institutions declines due to the loss of legitimacy.

Trust-building thus should become a central element of any governance reform agenda in Pakistan designed to reduce corruption in the country.

Corruption greatly decreases the quality and effectiveness of delivery of government services in Pakistan. The main services like sanitation, education, health care, and electricity have been chronically underperforming with misuse of money, ghost workers, and overpriced procurement deals in hand (Mehmood & Saeed, 2022). Bureaucrats and others who provide services tend to pursue their self-enrichment goals since self-enrichment is deemed to be more important than professional responsibility, a factor that has contributed to absenteeism, poor infrastructure as well as unreachable services, particularly in the marginalized and rural areas. According to a recent study conducted by Rehman and Jamil (2021), the higher the level of corruption in the district, the worse the health and educational outcomes, although the level of budgetary allocations was similar. Additionally, corruption skews policy priorities with choice of projects usually not driven by the need of the people but by rent seeking. The practice of kickbacks in public works projects results in substandard construction, delays, and cost overruns, reducing the effectiveness of development interventions. These inefficiencies not only waste public resources but also deepen inequality, as the poorest segments of society are the most reliant on government services. Addressing this requires both systemic reforms and localized monitoring to ensure service delivery is citizen-centered and corruption-free.

Corruption constitutes a direct undermining of the rule of law since it undermines the independence and effectiveness of the institution of justice, as well as regulatory agencies. In a case where judges, policemen, and controllers are bribable, preferential, or politically compromised, the system of law stops acting as an unbiased distributor of justice (Shah & Mumtaz, 2022). The Securities and Exchange Commission (SECP), Oil and Gas Regulatory Authority (OGRA) and the National Electric Power Regulatory Authority (NEPRA) are the regulatory bodies that have all been subject to claims of selective enforcement in Pakistan, frequently protecting or absolving strong interests (Khalid & Reza, 2023). Such a regulatory failure brings about a two-tiered justice system: one that benefits the rich and powerful, and the other that serves the general population; building in the citizenry a lack of trust in justice and due process. Moreover, selective justice is used as one of the mechanisms of political power, as anti-corruption legislation turns out to be an instrument to limit opposition but not to introduce transparency. This not only promotes an attitude of cynicism, but also discourages privately-financed investment, since businesses will not be able to operate in an atmosphere of legal uncertainty. Enhancing rule of law requires independence of institutions, open facing regulations, and reforms of judiciaries that protect rule of law against influence of politics and economics.

Corruption extensively degrades the working of the government sector in Pakistan where structural inefficiencies emerge which hinder the road towards national development objectives. The mix-use of government resources redirects funds out of more productive segments of the economy, including infrastructure, education, and health to undertakings which are politically favored and rent-seeking (Ali & Qureshi, 2020). This situation has made Pakistan leave behind on a number of Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) notably quality education (SDG-4) sanitary water and sanitation (SDG-6), and reduced inequalities (SDG-10). According to a report of the World Bank (2023), corruption inflates costs of major infrastructure projects in Pakistan by 15-20 percent, which compromises the quality of such projects and their effects. Moreover, corruption is one of the bureaucratic inefficiencies that leads to lack of inter-departmental coordination,

project implementation delays, and underutilized development budgets. The lack of performance-based evaluations and accountability mechanisms further perpetuates a culture of mediocrity in the public sector. Ultimately, this creates a vicious cycle where poor governance deters international aid and foreign investment—resources that are crucial for Pakistan's economic transformation. For sustainable public sector reform, anti-corruption strategies must be integrated with performance management systems and results-based governance practices.

Political Accountability in Crisis

The shortcomings of the electoral process as well as the ineffective nature of the political parties in Pakistan considerably weaken its political accountability. Though elections are routinely conducted in the country, they have been against a background of rigging, vote buying, and even interference by vested interests making the results of the elections questionable (Rizwan & Bukhari, 2022). The big political parties lack internal democracy and there is often a nomination of candidates based on loyalty and not merit, which continues to strengthen dynastic politics and patronage networks. This compromises representational politics since it grants elected leaders more accountability to party elites than to the voters (Aslam, 2021). Besides, lax campaign finance laws provide a loophole where secret funding and use of tainted or illegal funds in the elections is possible distorting the democratic process further. Such structural weaknesses reduce individual citizens and the overall voters in terms of accountability of their leaders and make the elite the sole decision-makers in the policymaking process. To reestablish the credibility, and to make a mark in political accountability in Pakistan, electoral reforms are necessary, including biometric voting, transparent party finance, and the internal party democracy.

The institutions of oversight in Pakistan like National Accountability Bureau (NAB), Election Commission of Pakistan (ECP), and Public Accounts Committee (PAC) have not been able to maintain a consistent record of impartial and effective accountability because of political interference as well as due to weaknesses of the overseers. This has been particularly the case because NAB is accused of selective justice with the organization disproportionately going after opposition figures when the ruling elites are engaged in corruption and as such the credibility of the NAB and its independence has been questioned (Siddiqui & Hanif, 2023). On the same note, the ECP, whose constitutional mandate is to ensure a fair election process, has been criticized on the grounds of slow decision-making process, weak implementation of electoral codes and non-transparency of electoral processes (Ahmed & Latif, 2021). There is weak enforcement capability of the PAC that oversees government spending and is usually relegated by the executive. In combination, these institutions prove weak because of lack of funds, politicization and bureaucracy and as such have failed to act as guardians of democratic accountability. Reforming these bodies to ensure autonomy, transparency, and merit-based appointments is imperative for strengthening the integrity of Pakistan's governance system.

Openness and access to information by the people in the government affairs are critical in ensuring accountability by the powerful, and Pakistan remains in acute shortage in this regard. The enactment of the Right of Access to Information Act (2017) was a step in the right direction but there is still a lack of enforcement, as most of the public bodies refuse to acknowledge the requests or label the information as secretary whimsically (Naeem & Abbasi, 2022). Poor digital infrastructure, citizen ignorance and bureaucracy have also hampered access to information. This transparency limits citizen oversight of the government actions, expenditure plan, and institutional output. It also undermines investigative journalism, as well as constrains the civil

society to push reform. According to the Global Right to Information Rating (2023), the country is poor in such areas as proactive disclosure and user support. Lack of open government data and prompt reporting leaves room to personal discretion and to decisions which frequently are corrupt. In an effort to enhance political accountability, transformation of institutional culture, digitalization of transparency portals and capacity building in government departments to implement information-sharing requirements are urgent requirements.

Pakistan Media and civil society organizations (CSOs) are important in demanding political accountability but are becoming increasingly restricted and pressed. Investigative journalism has revealed many scandals of corruption and policy errors and led to social debates and institutional reactions. Nonetheless, the freedom of media has been limited to an extent due to the rise in censorship, legal intimidation, and physical intimidation of the journalists (Freedom Network Pakistan, 2023). State advertising is a way in which many media rely and this violates the editorial independence of the media. In the meantime, the civil society organizations operating in the areas of governance, transparency, and human rights are frequently subject to regulatory and intimidation under the laws such as the NGO Policy Framework (Khan & Yousaf, 2021). Nevertheless, the problem has not been without solution as reports of digital activism and grassroots mobilization as a means of accountability, particularly by the young people, have been reported all over the world. Social media platforms have enabled whistleblowing and public pressure campaigns, as seen in movements like #AccountabilityForAll. Nonetheless, without legal protections and an enabling environment, the role of media and civil society in upholding democratic accountability remains fragile. Strengthening these actors is essential for fostering a culture of checks and balances in Pakistan's political system.

Reforms, Challenges, and the Way Forward

Several anti-corruption systems and laws have been established by Pakistan during the last decades, but they have not been very effective because of the lack of implementation and political influence. The most important laws that are meant to discourage corrupt activities and provide transparency include the National Accountability Ordinance (1999), Prevention of Corruption Act (1947) and Right of Access to Information Act (2017). They however criticize the application of such laws as being selective in their application and being clouded in its procedure and hence lacking the deterrent value (Siddiqui & Hanif, 2023). The central anti-corruption agency, the National Accountability Bureau (NAB) has been repeatedly accused of purely being a political tool and not an objective dispensation of justice. Moreover, different laws and organizations overlap and lead to the confusion of jurisdiction which prevents an integrated activity (Khan, 2021). Analysts stress the importance of a common approach to the problem of corruption, supported by legal guarantees and independent control structures that have sufficient power and resources. In terms of legal changes, much attention should be paid to law on asset declaration, whistleblower protection, and properly functioning system of sanctions that could help to increase deterrence and citizen confidence about anti-corruption efforts.

Institutional weakness and lack of capacity of monitoring, administrative and service delivery agencies are a key hindrance in the war against corruption in Pakistan. Institutions do not have training, digitization, performance evaluation systems, and independent audit functions in the context of which they are manipulated and become open to manipulation (Jamil & Mahmood, 2022). The key areas of reforms should be creating technical capacity, making it merit-based to recruit and initiating some form of internal accountability. As an illustration, the reinforcement of

the procurement institutions through real-time audit programs and independent procurement commissions would go a long way in cutting down the involved mismanagement and financial leakage. In addition, civil servants are usually not rewarded to be ethical because there is the lack of performance incentivized promotion as well as safeguards against unethical conduct (Shah & Raza, 2020). Legal literacy and ethics training of capacity building of public sector also exist and an organizational culture is built of transparency and appreciation of service to the people. Importantly, institutional reforms should be insulated from political capture to ensure continuity and impartiality. Long-term success depends not just on punishing corruption, but on building resilient institutions capable of preventing it.

Digital governance holds promise to transform the ability to curb corruption through enhancing transparency, diminishing human discretion and by augmenting service efficiency. Even in Pakistan, where such measures have not yet found theirregex poor payoff, e-procurement (Punjab Online Procurement System), e-feedback (Citizen Feedback Monitoring Program), and e-filing of taxes have demonstrated good results in reducing direct communication between officials and citizens, thus curbing opportunities to bribe (Rana & Saeed, 2023). Online data offices, interactive budget management systems, e-government sites can improve accountability and enable people to track and review public activities, spending and performance measures. These systems are however hindered by digital illiteracy, lack of infrastructure and resistance by bureaucracies that are hard to change. In addition, the transparency of data can be too shallow, lacking the possibility to conduct analysis or being outdated (UNESCAP, 2022). To achieve its success, digital governance needs to be supported by the legal requirements to disclosure, cybersecurity guidelines, and interfaces that should be easily accessible to citizens. Furthermore, the monitoring of the procurement process using AI and the blockchain technology in the area of financial auditing may provide additional protection against manipulation. The investment into digital tools does not only enhance efficiency but allows citizens to hold the government accountable due to data-driven interaction.

In addition to legal and institutional changes, the development of sustainable anti-corruption activities in Pakistan presupposes the cultural change in the values and morale of people. In most instances, corruption has turned out to be a survival strategy or a way of life, particularly in situations where the provision of the public services is poor and economic prospects are limited (Malik & Abbas, 2021). Anti-corruption campaigns fail to have a moral base, owing to the fact that people are willing to be tolerant of corruption where it may be in the best interests of their community or their relatives. The curriculums of education should take into consideration the civic responsibility, integrity and ethics at early stages of life. The religious and community elites can play an important role in defining corruption as a moral and spiritual failure which accords with the Islamic teachings which highly disapprove of corruption practices (Farooq & Tariq, 2023). Additionally, civil society campaigns and media messaging should move beyond blame and toward promoting a collective national identity rooted in integrity. Behavioral change strategies, including public recognition of ethical leadership and community-led monitoring, can help foster a zero-tolerance mindset. Ultimately, the fight against corruption in Pakistan must be societal as much as it is legal or political.

Conclusion

The problem of corruption in Pakistan has become a systemic problem, severely affecting the governance, political accountability and socio-economic development in Pakistan. Through

analysis, corruption invades all the levels of the state including the political elites who involve themselves in patronage and electoral fraud as well as the bureaucrats who require bribes in the delivery of basic services. Poor oversight mechanisms, lack of accountability and a culture of impunity have made corruption to prevail and this has undermined the confidence people have in the government and it has misaligned policy priorities. The outcomes are disastrous; ineffective provision of services, undermined rule of law, and continuous failure to realize development targets such as education, healthcare, and infrastructures. High-profile corruption cases that are occasionally revealed through such leaks as the Panama Papers, and the fact that the anti-corruption authorities like the National Accountability Bureau (NAB) are used to further personal interests show that the issue is structural. The governance crisis in Pakistan cannot be reduced to individual malpractice but it is also a sign of institutional dilapidation where accountability is partial, and transparency in a systematic manner sabotaged. Unless these institutional causes are addressed, the reforms will be mere surface work and the corruption will continue to make citizens to be alienated by the state and thus, democratic consolidation will not be achieved.

The future of Pakistan requires a multi pronged approach, which integrates legal, institutional, technological and cultural reforms. Enhancement of anti-corruption systems including clear mechanisms of enforcement, safeguarding those who blow the whistle and the independence of the judiciary are important initial measures. The capacity of the institutions should be maximized by merit based employment, computerization of services and strong control to limit chances of graft. Human discretion may be reduced to a minimum using digital governance instruments, including open data platforms and AI-powered audits, and making the governance more transparent. Nevertheless, it is not enough to consider technical solutions. To dislodge the culture of corruption as a normal behavior, there must be a social change, which will demand civic education, good leadership, and community activism. The media and civil society should be enabled to keep power on check without any form of intimidation. International best practices, such as those from countries with successful anti-corruption transitions, offer valuable lessons, but reforms must be tailored to Pakistan's unique political and cultural context. The stakes are high: curbing corruption is not just about improving governance but about restoring the social contract between the state and its citizens. Only through sustained, collective action can Pakistan break free from the grip of corruption and pave the way for equitable development and genuine democratic accountability.

References

Abbas, M., & Ali, N. (2022). Bureaucratic hurdles and governance failures in developing nations. *Journal of Public Administration Studies*, 18(2), 85–104.

Ahmed, S., & Latif, A. (2021). Electoral transparency and the role of the ECP in Pakistan. *South Asian Electoral Review*, 9(1), 45–62.

Ali, R., & Qureshi, A. (2020). Public sector inefficiencies in the context of corruption. *Pakistan Journal of Policy and Governance*, 13(4), 33–50.

Ali, Z., & Zafar, H. (2021). Nepotism and democratic backsliding: Case studies from South Asia. *Asian Political Studies Quarterly*, 27(3), 120–135.

Asad, U., & Hussain, M. (2022). Vote-buying and electoral malpractices in Pakistan's rural constituencies. *Election and Democracy Review*, 10(2), 72–90.

Aslam, M. (2021). Party structure and internal democracy in Pakistan. *Democratic Practices Journal*, 16(1), 50–66.

Charron, N., & Rothstein, B. (2022). *Corruption, quality of government, and social trust: An international perspective*. Cambridge University Press.

Farooq, S., & Tariq, H. (2023). The role of religious discourse in countering corruption: Islamic perspectives. *Journal of Ethics and Society*, 11(1), 23–39.

Freedom Network Pakistan. (2023). *Annual report on media freedom in Pakistan*. Retrieved from https://www.freedomnetwork.org.pk

Heywood, P. (2020). *Routledge handbook of political corruption* (2nd ed.). Routledge.

Jamil, A., & Mahmood, K. (2022). Public sector capacity constraints and service delivery in Pakistan. *Administrative Reform Review*, 14(2), 60–79.

Javed, T., & Akhtar, F. (2021). Understanding bribery culture in Pakistan's bureaucracy. *Journal of Development Ethics*, 8(3), 99–114.

Kaufmann, D., & Kraay, A. (2021). Governance indicators and state performance. *World Bank Working Paper Series*. https://www.worldbank.org

Khalid, S., & Farooq, R. (2023). NAB and the politics of selective accountability. *Accountability Studies Review*, 9(1), 15–35.

Khalid, Z., & Reza, T. (2023). Regulatory capture and institutional inefficiency in Pakistan. *Journal of Legal and Economic Perspectives*, 7(2), 78–94.

Khan, A. (2021). Harmonizing Pakistan's legal framework on corruption: Gaps and overlaps. *Pakistan Legal Review*, 12(2), 47–65.

Khan, I., & Qureshi, B. (2022). Institutionalized corruption and governance crises in Pakistan. *South Asian Governance Journal*, 10(3), 33–57.

Khan, M., & Yousaf, S. (2021). Civil society under pressure: The politics of NGO regulation in Pakistan. *Journal of Civic Engagement*, 6(4), 88–105.

Malik, A., & Abbas, N. (2021). Cultural dimensions of corruption in South Asia. *International Journal of Anti-Corruption Studies*, 5(2), 55–70.

Mehmood, A., & Haider, N. (2021). Infrastructure development and corruption in Pakistan: Empirical insights. *Journal of Development Planning*, 19(1), 40–58.

Mehmood, F., & Saeed, K. (2022). Public service delivery and corruption: Evidence from Pakistan's districts. *Asian Public Administration Review*, 17(2), 93–117.

Mungiu-Pippidi, A. (2020). *The quest for good governance: How societies develop control of corruption*. Cambridge University Press.

Naeem, A., & Abbasi, S. (2022). Challenges in implementing the right to information in Pakistan. *Open Governance Journal*, 4(1), 12–26.

Nawaz, A., & Abbasi, F. (2020). Institutional capture and regulatory decay: A Pakistani perspective. *Asian Journal of Public Institutions*, 9(3), 50–71.

O'Neill, O. (2020). Accountability, trust, and transparency. *Philosophy and Public Affairs*, 48(2), 115–135.

Persson, A., Rothstein, B., & Teorell, J. (2021). Why anticorruption reforms fail: Systemic corruption as a collective action problem. *Governance*, 34(1), 223–237.

PILDAT. (2022). *Public opinion on governance and corruption*. Retrieved from https://www.pildat.org

Rana, A., & Saeed, Z. (2023). Digital governance in Pakistan: Lessons from Punjab. *e-Governance Review*, 11(2), 58–76.

Rehman, A., & Jamil, M. (2021). Corruption and regional service outcomes: An empirical analysis. *Pakistan Development Policy Journal*, 15(1), 101–124.

Rehman, S., & Javed, H. (2023). Elite capture and electoral accountability in Pakistan. *Democratic Accountability Journal*, 13(1), 67–84.

Rizvi, H. A. (2020). *The military and politics in Pakistan: 1947 to the present*. Oxford University Press.

Rizwan, A., & Bukhari, S. (2022). Electoral malpractices and democratic legitimacy in South Asia. *South Asia Electoral Studies*, 6(1), 30–49.

Rose-Ackerman, S., & Palifka, B. J. (2016). *Corruption and government: Causes, consequences, and reform* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.

Saeed, M. (2023). Red tape and corruption: A policy perspective. *Pakistan Journal of Administrative Studies*, 10(2), 88–102.

Shah, M., & Mumtaz, A. (2022). Judicial corruption in Pakistan: Perceptions and implications. *Law and Justice Review*, 14(3), 74–91.

Shah, N., & Raza, S. (2020). Strengthening bureaucratic integrity in Pakistan. *Public Integrity Studies*, 7(1), 49–70.

Siddiqui, R., & Hanif, M. (2023). Selective accountability in Pakistan: Legal tools and political ends. *Governance and Law Review*, 8(1), 39–59.

Siddiqui, T., & Naseer, U. (2023). Politicization of regulatory agencies in Pakistan. *Journal of Political Accountability*, 5(3), 61–81.

TI Pakistan. (2023). *Corruption Perception Survey Report*. Transparency International Pakistan. Retrieved from https://www.transparency.org.pk

UNDP. (2022). *Human development report: Uncertain times, unsettled lives*. United Nations Development Programme.

UNESCAP. (2022). *Digital government for public sector reform in South and Southwest Asia*. Retrieved from https://www.unescap.org

UNODC. (2022). *Corruption: A global threat to sustainable development*. United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime.

World Bank. (2023). *Worldwide governance indicators*. Retrieved from https://info.worldbank.org/governance/wgi

Zahid, M., & Ahmed, R. (2021). Political engineering through anti-corruption narratives in Pakistan. *Journal of Political Strategy*, 12(4), 22–45.

Zaman, T., & Akhtar, H. (2021). Public trust and governance: A study of institutional confidence in Pakistan. *Governance and Society Review*, 9(2), 55–79.