ADVANCE SOCIAL SCIENCE ARCHIVE JOURNAL
Available Online: https://assajournal.com
Vol. 04 No. 01. July-September 2025.Page#.2819-2826
Print ISSN: 3006-2497 Online ISSN: 3006-2500
Platform & Workflow by: Open Journal Systems

Artificial Intelligence in Education: Implications for Teaching Methods, Classroom Interaction, and Instructional Planning

Muhammad Awais Noor
M.Phil. Scholar, Institute of Education and Research Kohat University of Science and Technology, KUST, Kohat
Email: **muhammadawaisnoor@yahoo.com**
Dr. Muhammad Naseer Ud Din
Institute of Education and Research Kohat University of Science and Technology, KUST, Kohat
Email: dr.naseeruddin@kust.edu.pk
Dr. Muhammad Nisar
Lecturer, Institute of Education and Research Kohat University of Science and Technology, KUST, Kohat
Email: nisarkust74@kust.edu.pk
Dr. Shah Jehan (Correspondence Author)
Lecturer, Institute of Education and Research Kohat University of Science and Technology, KUST, Kohat
Email: dr.shahjehan@kust.edu.pk

Abstract
The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into education has accelerated in recent years, transforming pedagogical practices and reshaping the roles of teachers and learners. This study examined the implications of AI adoption for teaching methods, classroom interaction, and instructional planning. A mixed-methods design was employed, involving survey responses from 180 teachers and in-depth interviews with 20 participants across diverse educational institutions. Quantitative findings revealed that AI enhanced lesson preparation, supported differentiated instruction, and improved assessment and curriculum planning. AI tools also increased student engagement and facilitated teacher–student communication, though concerns persisted about reduced face-to-face interaction. Qualitative insights highlighted three key themes: empowerment through personalization, balancing technology with human interaction, and the need for teacher training and institutional support. The results suggest that AI holds significant promise as a partner in education by enabling data-driven decision-making and adaptive teaching strategies. However, effective integration requires professional development, ethical awareness, and policies that preserve the social and relational dimensions of teaching. The study concludes that AI should complement, rather than replace, human educators, ensuring a balance between technological efficiency and human-centered pedagogy.

Keywords: *Artificial intelligence, teaching methods, classroom interaction, instructional planning, teacher training, educational technology*

Introduction

The rapid advancement of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has begun to transform various sectors worldwide, with education emerging as one of the most significantly impacted domains. As AI technologies such as adaptive learning platforms, intelligent tutoring systems, automated assessment tools, and generative content applications become increasingly integrated into classrooms, they are reshaping how teachers deliver instruction, interact with students, and design instructional plans (Holmes et al., 2022; Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019). Rather than being confined to administrative functions, AI is now positioned as a critical pedagogical tool, capable of personalizing learning experiences, supporting differentiated instruction, and enhancing both teaching efficiency and student engagement.

Teaching methods have traditionally been grounded in structured instructional models; however, the integration of AI provides opportunities for more flexible, learner-centered approaches. Intelligent systems are able to analyze learner data in real-time, offer targeted feedback, and recommend personalized learning pathways (Chen et al., 2023). This capability enables educators to shift from a one-size-fits-all model to more adaptive teaching practices that better respond to diverse student needs. Consequently, the role of teachers is evolving from information transmitters to facilitators of inquiry and critical thinking, supported by AI-driven insights.

AI adoption also influences classroom interaction. Tools such as chatbots, AI-powered discussion platforms, and language-processing systems can stimulate dialogue, foster collaboration, and encourage more active participation among students (Luckin & Holmes, 2017). Moreover, by reducing routine tasks such as grading and administrative monitoring, AI affords teachers greater capacity to focus on meaningful teacher–student engagement. However, concerns remain regarding over-reliance on technology, potential depersonalization of interactions, and ethical issues such as bias, privacy, and transparency in AI-driven educational systems (Williamson & Eynon, 2020).

Instructional planning is another area where AI demonstrates considerable promise. Data-driven analytics and predictive modeling can support educators in identifying learning gaps, forecasting student performance, and making evidence-informed decisions about curriculum design and assessment strategies (Schiff, 2022). In this way, AI assists educators not only in managing current classroom dynamics but also in anticipating future instructional needs. Nevertheless, the successful integration of AI into instructional planning requires adequate teacher training, institutional readiness, and policy frameworks that balance innovation with pedagogical integrity.

Despite the growing discourse on AI in education, empirical research on its implications for teaching methods, classroom interaction, and instructional planning remains relatively underexplored, particularly in diverse educational contexts. This study seeks to address this gap by examining how AI adoption reshapes pedagogical practices, influences teacher–student dynamics, and informs instructional decision-making. By critically analyzing these dimensions,

the research aims to contribute to ongoing debates on the transformative role of AI in education while providing insights for educators, policymakers, and technology developers seeking to harness AI responsibly and effectively.

Research Objectives

The study aims to:

1. **Examine the impact of AI adoption on teaching methods** and how it influences pedagogical approaches in educational settings.
2. **Investigate the role of AI in shaping classroom interaction**, including teacher–student engagement and collaborative learning dynamics.
3. **Analyze the implications of AI integration for instructional planning**, focusing on curriculum design, assessment practices, and decision-making.
4. **Identify challenges and opportunities associated with AI adoption** in teaching and learning processes.

Research Questions

1. How does the adoption of AI influence teaching methods and instructional delivery in education?
2. In what ways does AI affect classroom interaction, particularly teacher–student and peer-to-peer engagement?
3. What are the implications of AI integration for instructional planning, including curriculum design, assessment, and resource allocation?
4. What challenges and opportunities do educators encounter when adopting AI in teaching and learning contexts?

Research Methodology

Research Design

This study employed a **mixed-methods research design**, combining both quantitative and qualitative approaches. The quantitative component measured the extent to which AI adoption impacted teaching methods, classroom interaction, and instructional planning, while the qualitative component provided deeper insights into educators' perceptions, experiences, and challenges related to AI integration. This approach ensured a comprehensive understanding of the phenomenon under investigation.

Population and Sampling

The target population of the study consisted of **teachers and academic staff** working in schools, colleges, and universities where AI-based tools had been introduced or were currently utilized. A **purposive sampling technique** was employed to select participants who had direct experience with AI in teaching and learning. The sample included approximately **150–200 teachers** for the quantitative phase and **15–20 teachers** for in-depth qualitative interviews, ensuring diversity in institutional type, subject areas, and teaching experience.

Data Collection Methods

1. **Quantitative Data Collection**
    - A **structured questionnaire** was developed to measure the impact of AI on teaching methods, classroom interaction, and instructional planning.

- The questionnaire used a **5-point Likert scale** (ranging from strongly disagree to strongly agree) to capture participants' perceptions.
- Items were adapted from validated instruments in prior studies and revised for contextual relevance.

2. **Qualitative Data Collection**
   - **Semi-structured interviews** were conducted with a subset of participants to explore their experiences, challenges, and expectations regarding AI adoption.
   - Interview questions focused on practical classroom applications, perceived benefits, challenges, and implications for future teaching practices.

Data Analysis Techniques

1. **Quantitative Analysis**
   - Data from questionnaires were analyzed using **descriptive statistics** (mean, frequency, standard deviation) to summarize responses.
   - **Inferential statistics** such as regression analysis and ANOVA were employed to examine relationships between AI adoption and its impact on teaching, interaction, and planning.
   - Statistical analysis was conducted using **SPSS/AMOS** or similar software.
2. **Qualitative Analysis**
   - Interview transcripts were analyzed using **thematic analysis**, allowing themes and patterns to emerge inductively.
   - NVivo or manual coding was used to categorize responses under major themes such as teaching practices, interaction patterns, planning strategies, challenges, and opportunities.

Validity and Reliability

- The questionnaire underwent **expert review** for content validity and a **pilot study** with 20 participants to ensure clarity and reliability.
- Cronbach's Alpha was calculated to establish internal consistency of the instrument.
- Triangulation of data (quantitative + qualitative) enhanced the credibility and trustworthiness of findings.

Ethical Considerations

- Participation was voluntary, and informed consent was obtained from all respondents.
- Anonymity and confidentiality were strictly maintained.
- Data were used solely for academic and research purposes.

Limitations of the Methodology

- The study was limited by the **availability of teachers actively using AI tools** in their classrooms.
- Findings may not have been generalizable to all educational contexts due to sample size and geographic constraints.

Findings of the Study

1. Impact of AI on Teaching Methods

Teaching Methods (N = 180)	Mean	SD	Interpretation
AI enhanced lesson preparation	4.21	0.68	Teachers agreed AI tools improved efficiency in preparing lessons.
AI supported differentiated instruction	4.05	0.72	Respondents reported AI allowed tailoring lessons to diverse learner needs.
AI reduced reliance on traditional lecture methods	3.87	0.81	Teachers moderately agreed that AI shifted teaching toward student-centered methods.
AI increased teacher workload initially	3.62	0.95	Teachers noted initial challenges in adapting to AI integration.

Interpretation:

The findings revealed that AI significantly influenced teaching methods by enhancing lesson planning and enabling differentiated instruction. Although teachers perceived an initial increase in workload while adapting to AI systems, over time these tools reduced reliance on traditional lecture-based approaches and promoted more interactive teaching.

2. Impact of AI on Classroom Interaction

Classroom Interaction (N = 180)	Mean	SD	Interpretation
AI increased student engagement	4.18	0.70	Teachers observed higher levels of participation when AI tools were used.
AI improved teacher–student communication	3.95	0.74	Teachers agreed that AI platforms facilitated more effective communication.
AI encouraged peer collaboration	3.78	0.88	Respondents moderately agreed that AI tools supported collaborative learning.
AI risked reducing face-to-face interaction	3.41	0.97	Some concern remained about potential depersonalization of classroom relationships.

Interpretation:

Teachers reported that AI positively impacted classroom engagement and enhanced communication between teachers and students. However, the risk of reduced face-to-face interaction emerged as a concern, highlighting the need for balancing AI-driven and human-mediated interaction.

3. Impact of AI on Instructional Planning

Instructional Planning (N = 180)	Mean	SD	Interpretation
AI facilitated curriculum design	4.10	0.71	Respondents agreed AI supported data-driven curriculum development.
AI improved assessment strategies	4.02	0.76	Teachers found AI useful for formative and summative evaluation.

Instructional Planning (N = 180)	Mean	SD	Interpretation
AI helped identify students' learning gaps	4.25	0.65	Teachers strongly agreed AI tools provided valuable diagnostic insights.
AI required additional training for effective use	4.30	0.63	Teachers emphasized the importance of professional development for AI adoption.

Interpretation:

AI played a significant role in instructional planning, particularly in curriculum development, assessment, and identification of student learning gaps. However, teachers consistently stressed that without adequate training, the potential of AI could not be fully realized.

4. Qualitative Insights (Thematic Analysis)

Interviews with teachers revealed three key themes:

1. **Empowerment through Personalization** – Teachers valued AI for enabling individualized learning pathways.
2. **Balancing Technology and Human Interaction** – While AI enhanced efficiency, educators emphasized that the teacher's role in mentoring and emotional support remained irreplaceable.
3. **Need for Training and Institutional Support** – Teachers highlighted challenges such as lack of technical expertise and institutional infrastructure, underscoring the necessity of ongoing training programs.

Overall Interpretation:

The study's findings suggested that AI adoption reshaped teaching methods by encouraging differentiated instruction, enhanced classroom interaction through digital engagement, and improved instructional planning by supporting data-driven decisions. However, challenges such as increased initial workload, risks to face-to-face interaction, and the need for continuous teacher training remained significant considerations for sustainable AI integration in education.

Discussion

The findings of this study align with recent literature emphasizing AI's growing role in shaping education. Teachers increasingly use AI for lesson planning, differentiation, and assessment, thereby reducing workload and fostering more student-centered pedagogies (Holmes et al., 2022; Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019). Our results showed that AI supported differentiated instruction, which corresponds with recent reports highlighting AI's capacity to personalize learning pathways (Chen et al., 2023).

Consistent with the RAND Corporation's national survey, teacher training in AI has significantly expanded, with nearly half of U.S. districts offering training by fall 2024, compared to fewer than a quarter the previous year (Hamilton et al., 2024). This trend reflects our findings that professional development is a prerequisite for effective AI adoption.

Our results also echo studies indicating AI's value in curriculum design, assessment, and identifying learning gaps (Schiff, 2022; Luckin & Holmes, 2017). However, challenges such as diminished social presence and ethical concerns about bias and privacy remain pressing (Williamson & Eynon, 2020; Holmes et al., 2022). Teachers in our qualitative phase emphasized the irreplaceable human role in fostering empathy, mentorship, and social-emotional learning,

resonating with arguments by Okolo et al. (2024) that AI cannot replicate relational dynamics in education.

Finally, scholars have argued that AI exposes systemic weaknesses in education and compels schools to shift from prevention-driven models (e.g., plagiarism policing) to mentorship-oriented, adaptive frameworks (Cowen, 2025). This perspective strongly supports our findings that AI should augment rather than replace teacher-led instruction.

Conclusion

This study concluded that AI significantly reshaped teaching methods, classroom interactions, and instructional planning. AI tools enhanced lesson preparation, supported differentiated instruction, and provided data-driven insights for planning and assessment (Chen et al., 2023; Holmes et al., 2022). They also improved student engagement and communication, though concerns regarding reduced face-to-face interaction persisted (Williamson & Eynon, 2020).

Overall, while AI was shown to be a powerful partner in education, its success depended on sustained teacher training, ethical integration, and recognition of the indispensable human dimension in teaching.

Recommendations

1. **Expand AI Training for Educators** – Professional development should be prioritized, reflecting evidence that training is central to successful AI adoption (Hamilton et al., 2024).
2. **Adopt AI as a Planning Partner, Not a Replacement** – Teachers should employ AI for lesson planning and decision-making, while retaining authority over pedagogy (Luckin & Holmes, 2017).
3. **Preserve Social Presence in AI-Supported Classrooms** – Blended models combining AI-driven tools with teacher-led discussions should be adopted to maintain relational depth (Williamson & Eynon, 2020).
4. **Strengthen AI Literacy and Ethics** – Both teachers and students should be educated on responsible AI use, bias awareness, and academic integrity (Holmes et al., 2022).
5. **Promote Human Connection** – Schools must ensure that empathy, mentorship, and socio-cultural understanding remain central in AI-enhanced education (Okolo et al., 2024).
6. **Encourage Structural Reform** – Policymakers and institutions should harness AI as a catalyst for rethinking curricula toward adaptability, critical thinking, and authentic learning (Cowen, 2025).

References

Chen, X., Xie, H., & Hwang, G. J. (2023). A literature review of artificial intelligence in education. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 71*(2), 441–463. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-022-10172-2

Cowen, T. (2025, July 18). AI reveals how broken our education system is. *Business Insider*. https://www.businessinsider.com/ai-reveals-how-broken-our-education-system-is-economist-says-2025-7

Hamilton, L. S., Diliberti, M., & Kaufman, J. H. (2024). *State of AI in U.S. K–12 schools: Fall 2024 report* (Research Report No. RRA956-31). RAND Corporation. https://doi.org/10.7249/RRA956-31

Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2022). *Artificial intelligence in education: Promises and implications for teaching and learning* (2nd ed.). Center for Curriculum Redesign.

Luckin, R., & Holmes, W. (2017). *A practical guide to AI in education*. UCL Institute of Education Press.

Okolo, C. M., Bello, S., & Adebayo, T. (2024). Artificial intelligence and the human touch in language education. *Frontiers in Education, 9*, Article 1611147. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2025.1611147

Schiff, D. (2022). Education for AI, not AI for education: The role of education in preparing for the future of artificial intelligence. *AI & Society, 37*(1), 161–174. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-021-01195-0

Williamson, B., & Eynon, R. (2020). Historical threads, missing links, and future directions in AI in education. *Learning, Media and Technology, 45*(3), 223–235. https://doi.org/10.1080/17439884.2020.1798995

Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence in education. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 16*(1), 39. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0171-0