ADVANCE SOCIAL SCIENCE ARCHIVE JOURNAL
Available Online: https://assajournal.com
Vol. 04 No. 01. July-September 2025.Page#.3597-3616
Print ISSN: 3006-2497 Online ISSN: 3006-2500
Platform & Workflow by: Open Journal Systems
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17064064

Bullet Trajectory and Gunshot Residue in Modern Forensic Ballistics

Muhammad Sajjad
Department of Forensic Science, The Islamia University of Bahawalpur
muhammadsajjad7857488@gmail.com
Rashid Ali
Department of Forensic Science, The Islamia University of Bahawalpur
rashidalich619@gmail.com
Muhammad Qideer ul Hassan
Head of Department, Department of Forensic Science, IUB
qideerulhassan@iub.edu.pk
Muhammad Farhan Rashid
Institute of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology (IMBB), The University of Lahore, Lahore, Pakistan
mfarhansohrani5@gmail.com
Muhammad Hamza Abbasi
Department Pharm D, College of Pharmacy, University of Sargodha
abbashmaza544@gmail.com

ABSTRACT
Bullet path and GSR examination are the foundation of contemporary forensic ballistics, providing critical information about shooting incidents to support criminal investigations and court cases. Bullet path analysis allows the reconstruction of projectile trajectories, determining shooter locations, firing angles, and potential interactions with intermediate targets. With evolving imaging technology like three-dimensional imaging, contrast-enhanced computerized tomography, and digital modeling, reconstruction of paths is more accurate and critical to intricate forensic cases. At the same time, GSR analysis offers superb evidence of gun discharge and possible participation in shooting activity. Historically dependent on the presence of inorganic constituents such as lead, antimony, and barium by means of methods such as scanning electron microscopy (SEM-EDX), analysis of GSR is now significantly changing. The movement towards lead-free bullets and the necessities of field portable, on-scene analysis have necessitated the use of new detection technologies, such as ion mobility spectrometry and mass spectrometry, which can also identify inorganic and organic GSR constituents. Together, bullet track and GSR evidence furnish complementary details enriching the reconstruction of firearm incidents. With combined application, forensic scientists can provide the spatial, mechanical, and chemical aspects of a shooting with context, making reconstructions more plausible. Despite improved technology, standardization, containment of contamination, and dynamic ballistic evidence behavior, these remain continuing issues. Ongoing multidisciplinary research, analytical

innovation, and protocol harmonization are essential in improving the efficacy and admissibility of ballistic forensic evidence into the justice system.
***Keywords**: Wound Ballistics, Trajectory Reconstruction, Ballistic Gelatin Testing, Muzzle Velocity Estimation, Ricochet Analysis Detection Techniques, Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM-EDX), Particle Composition Analysis.*

I. Introduction

Forensic ballistics is an important subfield of forensic science whereby firearm evidence is analyzed to recreate criminal activity and facilitate legal investigations. Two of the most applicable areas of contemporary ballistic forensics are bullet trajectory analysis and gunshot residue detection (GSR). In combination, these techniques offer investigators a method of determining shooting angles, determining shooter locations, and linking suspects to discharged weapons, essentially making courtroom testimony more credible. Bullet trajectory analysis tries to re-trace the three-dimensional trajectory of a bullet upon leaving a firearm. It is the calculation of the direction, height, and potential deflections of the bullet caused by intermediate surfaces or targets [1]. Such reconstructions prove significant in establishing the positions of the victim and shooter relative to each other. Conventional techniques, i.e., stringing and rod processes—have been augmented with 3D laser scanning, photogrammetry, and ballistics simulation software to facilitate reliable reconstructions in real-time even in multi-scene crime scenes [2,3]. Matthiessen et al. proved the lead-in approach of trajectory reconstruction can be very precise if used on bullet impact damage [4]. Gunshot residue analysis is charged with identification of microscopic particles ejected when a gun is discharged. These residues will often consist of residues of primer chemicals such as lead, barium, and antimony and tend to fall mainly on the hands of the shooter, clothing, and surrounding surfaces [5]. Forensic examiners are now able to analyze and distinguish individual GSR particles by morphology and elemental content using scanning electron microscopy with energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (SEM-EDX) [6]. Yet, environmental contamination and secondary transfer of extracorporeal substances may influence GSR interpretation, so intense scrutiny and concurring evidence are required [7]. Both GSR data and bullet trajectory combined provide a general overview in gun-related investigations. Both methods, if used together, are capable of supporting line-of-fire hypotheses, multiple shots being fired, and proximity to the suspect. Additionally, advancements in machine learning and nanotechnology are enhancing sensitivity and specificity of residue analysis and trajectory modeling, thereby opening a new golden age of forensic accuracy [8,9]. Despite adversity, including inter-lab inconsistency and admissibility under law, bullet path and GSR analysis continue to be invaluable resources. They must be continually improved to maintain the fundamental purpose of forensic science: scientific technique as a means of seeking objective fact.

II. Bullet Trajectory Analysis

A. Fundamentals of Trajectory Physics

Trajectory physics is a fundamental forensic ballistic science, which deals with the trajectory of the course followed by a bullet as it travels upon firing. Compliant with Newtonian mechanics, trajectory physics provides the means to determine how gravity, air resistance, muzzle velocity, and firing angle affect the flight of a bullet. By knowing these dynamics, forensic experts can reliably reconstruct shooter locations and bullet trajectories in crimes. Motion of the bullet is usually separated into three categories: internal, external, and terminal ballistics. Among these, external ballistics—the performance of the bullet between muzzle and target—is of greatest interest to forensic use. The bullet is affected by gravity, resulting in bullet drops, and air resistance, which slows the bullet over distance. Critical factors like bullet weight, velocity, rotation, and ballistic coefficient strongly affect the arc of flight of the bullet [10]. Contemporary forensic uses 3D laser scanning, photogrammetry, and trajectory rods to scan the bullet path within crime scenes. The technologies enable examiners to accurately reconstruct bullet flight with good spatial resolution, particularly when integrated with ballistic simulation programs [11]. Bullet impact angles, exit and entry wounds, and ricochets may all be examined as projectile motion. Matthiessen et al. showed that accurate trajectory reconstruction with physical and computational aids is possible with high accuracy, which is invaluable in legal proceedings where minute differences can change event interpretations [12]. Sharma (2019) also highlights that knowledge of force and motion laws is crucial for any forensic physicist, especially in instances involving several shots or ricochets [13].

i. Newtonian mechanics and projectile motion

Newtonian mechanics, derived by Sir Isaac Newton in the 17th century, forms the foundation for projectile motional concept of classical physics and forensic ballistics. Newton's laws find their application in forensic science to decide the flight path of a bullet as a function of its initial speed, launch angle, weight, and effect of gravity and drag forces while in transit. Projectile motion is the path an object takes that is curved due to gravity alone after it has been thrown or launched into space. The motion is described using Newton's second law of motion ($F = ma$) that addresses how forces influence the rate of acceleration of an object [14]. In the absence of air resistance, a bullet would trace a symmetrical parabola. But in actual circumstances, wind deflection and air drag must be considered, usually through numerical simulation techniques [15]. Newtonian kinematics enables forensic investigators to make approximate assumptions about important parameters like time of flight, highest point, range, and impact velocity. These figures are especially useful in back calculating the origin of a bullet or simulating the angle of elevation of the weapon at firing [16].In forensic analysis of crime scenes, precise modeling of projectile motion enables shooter location, victim position, and possible obstacles in the bullet's path of travel to be identified. Sharma (2019) highlights that forensic analysts should be in control of classical physics, especially Newtonian dynamics, to interpret bullet trajectories appropriately [17].

ii. External ballistics parameters (angle, velocity, drag)

In forensic ballistics, external ballistics refers to the bullet's path of travel after leaving the barrel of the firearm and prior to striking a target. Three major parameters control this trajectory: the muzzle velocity, launch angle, and aerodynamic drag. All three play important roles in trajectory reconstruction and GSR dispersal interpretation.

- Launch Angle

Launch angle decides the range and curvature of the flight of a bullet. Although the optimum angle of 45° offers maximum range in vacuum, practical considerations like drag and gravity reduce the effective angle for forensic application to below 45°. Knowledge of the angle is vital when reconstructing shooter and victim's relative positions in crime scenarios involving obstructions or inclines [18].

- Muzzle Velocity

Muzzle velocity determines the energy imparted to the bullet when it leaves the muzzle and affects its trajectory length, impact intensity, and GSR dispersal radius. High-velocity bullets have flatter trajectories and greater penetration. Additionally, velocity affects thermal and kinetic dispersal of GSR particles, determining their deposition on shooters or adjacent surfaces [19]. Accurate measurement of velocity is important in estimating firing range and lethality of projectiles.

- Aerodynamic Drag

Drag resists bullet motion and is determined by bullet form, air density, and speed. Drag leads to trajectory slowing down and bullet descent, particularly over distance. In forensic applications, accurate modeling of drag helps estimate points of bullet impact and reconstruct line-of-sight by means of computational simulation [20]. Drag also affects the GSR dispersion cloud; increased drag can limit particle travel, affecting detection sensitivity on targets or surfaces [21]. Collectively, these parameters are dependent on each other, and forensic interpretation of them must be integrated with physical scene evidence and analytical instruments like 3D laser scanning, total stations, and ballistic software [22]. With knowledge of their interaction comes increased reliability for forensic reconstruction and GSR analysis within legal proceedings.

B. Techniques and Tools

1. Laser trajectory kits

Trajectory sets are an essential set of instruments in current forensic ballistics, especially for reconstructing three-dimensional bullet trajectories. The sets include a laser pointer mounted on trajectory rods, protractors, and center cones, which enable the forensic examiner to sketch directly along the course of a bullet from point of impact or entry to point of origin. They are most helpful when analyzing bullet penetrations through walls, ceilings, and other building structural members at crime scenes [23]. Laser trajectory technique is an subtle and precise technique of determining bullet line-of-flight. Through enabling the laser to pass through multiple entry and exit points, investigators can infer shooter location, direction of fire, and probable obstructions or ricochets that deflected the trajectory of the projectile [24]. It is particularly applicable for complicated scenes with multiple trajectories or close-range shootings. Furthermore, the combination of laser trajectory sets and 3D scanning technologies improves documentation accuracy and allows digital replication of the scene for presentation in court. The combination facilitates demonstrative evidence admissibility according to Daubert and Frye standards of admissibility and offers visual clarity to jurors [25].

2. 3D trajectory mapping software

3D trajectory mapping computer software has transformed forensic ballistics by enabling precise digital reconstruction of bullet trajectories within complex crime scenes. These software applications utilize information captured by laser scanners, total stations, and digital photogrammetry to construct three-dimensional models of bullet trajectories with respect to the surrounding environment and victims [26]. By incorporating scene geometry and ballistic physics, forensic examiners are able to model projectile motion on different angles, velocities, and drag conditions related to their scenes. Such an ability is very valuable to determine shooter locations, ricochet trajectories, and corresponding projectile paths to anatomical injury channels in forensic pathology [27]. Such modeling also enables the simulation of sequential multiple shots and furnishes a temporal-spatial context important in multi-victim or officer-involved shootings. The legal importance of 3D modeling is its objectivity and ability to present itself in court. Animations based on trajectory software are widely embraced under the legal criteria (e.g., Daubert) because they could present complex three-dimensional spatial relations in a visual way jurors can interpret [28]. Moreover, some software now incorporates gunshot residue mapping for better multidimensional forensic assessment.

3. Total stations and photogrammetry

Photogrammetry and total stations are state-of-the-art spatial documentation technology commonly used in forensic ballistics to replicate bullet paths. Electronic distance measurement and angular accuracy are utilized by a total station to conduct an accurate three-dimensional survey of projectile evidence like bullet holes, casings, and impact points at crime scenes [29]. Applied consistently, this information allows for geometric trajectory modeling, enabling the calculation of shooter position, bullet origin, and line-of-sight obstructions. Photogrammetry, the technology of extracting 3D measurements from photographs, expands the use of total stations by collecting visual and spatial data from a variety of viewpoints. From computer-based triangulation, investigators build scaled digital models of the crime scene and superimpose trajectory lines for investigative analysis or presentation in court [30]. In contrast to conventional techniques, photogrammetry is retrospective in scope and minimally invasive, leaving the scene undisturbed in its original state. Both the technologies increase the scientific merit of ballistic reconstructions and are especially useful in multi-shot, complex indoor incidents. The integration of them with gunshot residue distribution modeling can provide extra details on the firing distance and angle of attack, further aiding forensic analysis [31].

C. Scene Reconstruction

1. Determining shooter and victim position

Determination of the relative position of the shooter in reference to the victim is a primary goal of forensic ballistic reconstruction. Through analysis of bullet trajectories and corresponding gunshot residue (GSR) patterns, scientists can deduce shooting event orientation, range, and dynamics. Trajectory analysis is usually initiated by identifying and mapping out points where the bullet entered and exited into the environment, employing instruments like total stations, laser trajectory kits, and 3D mapping software in tracing back the path of the bullet to its likely source [32].Gunshot wound orientation and projectile angle with anatomical examination approximate the victim's position and posture at the moment of impact [33]. GSR distribution on skin, clothing, or close to adjacent surfaces can yield proximity in the interim. Extensive GSR deposition near wounds typically indicates close-range discharge, while absence or dispersal indicates intermediate- or long-range discharge [34]. Use of both GSR and trajectory information adds to positional determinations' forensic reliability. The multi-dimensional technique is essential where claims of self-defense are in dispute, there are numerous assailants, or witness accounts contradict each other and have been used as demonstrative evidence within the realm of accepted scientific standards of admissibility [35].

2. Line-of-sight and obstacle analysis

Line-of-sight (LOS) and obstacle analysis need to be included in forensic bullet trajectory reconstruction. Assessing if a shooter was in direct visual and ballistic line to the target makes contribution to both theoretical feasibility of witness statements and event physics. With the use of laser trajectory sets, total stations, and 3D modeling programs, investigators can model the flight path of the bullet to determine likely obstacles such as walls, furniture, or vehicles that may have deflected or altered the motion of the projectile [36]. Obstacle analysis becomes particularly important in cases involving suspected ricochets or deflections. Determination of intermediate surfaces—via impact marks, material transfer, or deformation—can relocate preliminary assumptions of shooter location or purpose [37]. Additionally, ballistics analysis software enables the accurate delineation of bullet trajectories, relative to physical barriers, to be part of the forensic story that can be narrated in court [38]. Adding the patterns of gunshot residue, obstacle analysis is also supportive in proximity and direction-of-flow determinations. For instance, residue upon an obstacle but not upon a victim could be indicative of an intervening object at discharge [39]. Such collaboration enhances the quality of forensic reconstruction and the scientific quality of courtroom admissibility.

D. Challenges and Error Sources

1. Ricochet and deflection

Ricochet and deflection are of utmost importance in forensic bullet trajectory analysis. Ricochet is when a bullet strikes a surface at an angle and changes direction from the original course, whereas deflection is a minor angular change usually caused by softer materials or intermediate targets [40]. They significantly affect the trajectory reconstruction and must be thoroughly examined for surface, angle, and material. Forensic examiners establish possible for ricochets by examining impact marks, bullet deformation, and surface type. Hard material like concrete, metal, or bone will create more forceful ricochets, often with secondary spatter or shattered residue pattern [41]. Incidence angle is of specific importance; ricochet is heightened when incidence angle is less than 20°, and elasticity of the material as well as bullet design are to be considered [42]. Ricochet data integration into scene reconstruction is essential so that incorrect interpretation of shooter position or intent is not committed. In conjunction with gunshot residue (GSR) distribution, ricochet data can project indirect firing or second impact points—where GSR would still be distributed despite modification of the bullet trajectory [43]. Advanced ballistic simulation computer programs include ricochet simulation, enhancing forensic reconstruction accuracy.

2. Environmental influences

Environmental factors also influence the trajectory of a bullet and GSR pattern at crime scenes to a great extent. Wind, temperature, humidity, and pressure change the external ballistics of a bullet by altering air resistance and trajectory curvature—particularly at range [44]. Crosswinds cause lateral drift, whereas high humidity or low temperature can increase drag, which shortens projectile range and energy [45]. The same rationale applies for GSR distribution. Wind dispersal of residue particles makes proximity evaluations difficult. UV light and humidity compromise detectable components like lead or antimony on surfaces or skin [46]. Sound forensic examination necessitates scene-specific environmental recording, often supplemented by digital weather records or in-place sensors.

3. Bullet deformation

Bullet deformation is when a projectile changes shape upon hitting hard or rigid bodies, greatly altering its flight and forensic interpretability. Deformation diminishes aerodynamic stability, creating unstable ricochets, energy loss, and changed penetration depth [47]. Deformed bullets found at crime scenes help infer impact angles, target material, properties, and velocity at contact in trajectory analysis [48]. Deformed bullets can still preserve trace evidence, like embedded tissue, clothing, or structural material, critical to bullet trajectory reconstruction and verification of entry points [49]. Deformation can also regulate the amount and nature of the gunshot residue (GSR) left on secondary surfaces, which in certain cases minimizes particle adhesion or changes residue patterns [50]. Bullet deformation interpretation thus aids trajectory reconstructions and validates the forensic connection between weapons, bullets, and victim.

III. Gunshot Residue (GSR) Analysis

The Gunshot residue (GSR) examination is one of the foundations of contemporary forensic ballistics and provides invaluable information regarding firearm discharge, distance, and handling. GSR is a sophisticated particulate matrix formed by firing a firearm that includes primer, propellant, and gun component particles.

A. Analysis Composition and Formation

1. Primer Components: Lead, Barium, and Antimony

The most useful content to forensics in GSR is the metal contents of the primer—mainly lead (Pb), barium (Ba), and antimony (Sb). At primer mixture detonation it burns the propellant and discharges hot particles with spherical residues of metals. As a result, they immediately drop into the hands of the shooter, face, clothing, and near surfaces [51]. They have strongly distinguished morphological and elemental features and can be detected by scanning electron microscopy with energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (SEM-EDX) [52].

The standard tri-elemental system (Pb-Ba-Sb) is the gold standard for GSR identification. Lead-free and "green" ammunition formulations have now been introduced in response to mounting restrictions on toxic heavy metals, posing analysis challenges and the need for longer-term elemental screening [53].

2. Role of Propellants and Barrel Materials

Combustion of the propellants like nitrocellulose and nitroglycerin produces more residues in terms of organic compounds and inorganic salts. Residues form secondary GSR particles, which can be chemically different based on the ammunition and load type [54]. Trace metals like copper, zinc, or steel alloys released by the frictional interaction between the bullet and the barrel of the firearm also get emitted and can be included in the GSR profile [55]. Barrel condition, cleanliness, and mode of manufacture control particle size and pattern of GSR dispersal. In addition, chemical processing or coating in the barrel will alter combustion residues, and this will control analytical detectability and forensic interpretation [56]. Knowledge of the general composition and morphology of GSR allows forensic examiners to more effectively distinguish between genuine GSR and ambient contamination, establish firing proximity, and predict the possibility of firearm use by a suspect.

B. Collection and Sampling

Accurate collection and sampling of gunshot residue (GSR) are fundamental to maintaining evidentiary integrity in forensic analysis. Methodological accuracy directly impacts validity and admissibility of subsequent GSR analysis.

1. Adhesive Lifts and SEM Stubs

Adhesive lifts are the most used technique for recovery of GSR particles from the hand, clothing, or face of the suspect. They are carbon-coated stubs which are applied firmly against the target surface to recover particles for SEM-EDX analysis [57]. It allows the morphology and elemental composition of the particles to be investigated simultaneously to detect Pb-Ba-Sb characteristic signatures [58]. Standardized SEM stubs facilitate sampling in a convenient and consistent manner for the easy collection, transportation, and storage of samples. Forensic sampling is usually targeted at webbing between the index finger and thumb, palm creases, and cuff areas of sleeves—where trace is most likely to accumulate [59].

2. Time Sensitivity and Contamination Risks

The opportunity for effective GSR recovery is limited. Studies show a significant reduction in detectable particles after 4–6 hours since discharge due to environmental exposure, hand washing, or accidental contact with objects [60]. Due to this, the sample must be obtained as soon as possible after the suspected shooting episode. Contamination is a further risk. False positives can be due to cross-transfer between subjects or surfaces, particularly in group contexts such as police cars or cells [61]. In addition, environmental levels of the same type of particulate's., fireworks, brake pads, or industrial exhaust—can render interpretation difficult if not tightly controlled [62]. To counter these dangers, forensic protocol suggests disposable gloves, contact avoidance of evidence and non-sterile surfaces, and chain of custody recording in detail. Negative control transfers from the scene and officers that handle evidence always need to be engaged in sample collection [63].

C. Analytical Techniques

The forensic analysis of gunshot residue (GSR) is based on methods that can identify and qualify particulate material from firearms discharge. The major methods are Scanning Electron Microscopy with Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy (SEM-EDX), Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS), and colorimetric assays. All the methods are associated with levels of sensitivity, specificity, and forensic utility.

1. Scanning Electron Microscopy with Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy (SEM-EDX)

SEM-EDX is the inorganic gold standard method for the identification of GSR. It provides simultaneous morphological and elemental analysis of individual particles retained on a suspect's hands, clothing, or environmental surfaces. Particles with the inclusion of lead (Pb), barium (Ba), and antimony (Sb) in spherical or fused morphology are "characteristic" for GSR [64]. SEM-EDX provides non-destructive analysis, particle-by-particle identification, and high reliability for evidentiary needs. Its power is based on the capability to discriminate genuine GSR from environmental surface impurities in terms of morphology and element pattern. The process, however, is time-consuming and labor-intensive with the need for expert individuals and advanced equipment [65].

2. Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS)

ICP-MS is a quantitation-sensitive technique for the analysis of elements within bulk GSR samples. In contrast to SEM-EDX, which quantitates individual particles, ICP-MS dissolves the sample and makes a measurement of the total concentration of an element. It is well-suited to investigate low-level or lead-free ammunition residue since it can identify such elements as titanium, zinc, or aluminum that no longer occur in modern-day primers [66]. ICP-MS has the function of supplementing SEM-EDX in establishing or estimating elemental profiles, especially for "green" ammunition case studies. Nevertheless, the absence of particle morphology limits its evidentiary value in criminal courts since it cannot trace observed elements to a ballistic source [67].

3. Limitations of Colorimetric Tests

Colorimetric or spot tests are the simplest and quickest method of identifying GSR, which is also frequently utilized in initial field analysis. Color tests are based on color changes due to chemical reactions with chemicals such as nitrates or lead compounds. These include Modified Griess test (for nitrites) and Sodium Rhodizonate test (for lead) [68]. Although useful for screening, colorimetric tests are also subject to some limitations. They can be caused to produce false positives by environmental sources (e.g., fertilizers, fireworks, automobile brake dust) and cannot be utilized to ascertain particle origin or shape. In addition, they are less specific and acceptable as is necessary in court testimony without confirmatory analysis [69].

D. Interpretation and Utility of Bullet Trajectory and Gunshot Residue in Contemporary Forensic Ballistics

Comprehensive interpretation of bullet trajectory and gunshot residue (GSR) is critical to reconstructing shooting incidents. Bullet trajectory analysis, via the determination of impact angles, bullet damage, and spatial imaging, enables forensic examiners to ascertain shooter locations and bullet trajectories—paramount to establishing or refuting witness and suspect testimony (70). This is particularly critical in intricate contexts where there are many shooters or fixed ricochets. Complementing this, GSR analysis picks out minute fixed particles like lead, barium, and antimony that have been ejected through discharge. Methods like SEM-EDX facilitate accurate recognition of such residues, which can identify firearm manipulation or discharge proximity (71). Absence of detection of GSR, nonetheless, cannot conclusively be employed to separate from participation because of potential washing, delay before sampling, or transfer loss (72). In addition, GSR interpretation is done carefully since firework particles, brake pad particles, or environmental debris can replicate actual residue profiles and result in false positives (73). Both bullet path and GSR evidence must therefore be interpreted within context, ordinarily in conjunction with pathology and scene reconstruction, to reach a comprehensive and reasonable forensic conclusion.

IV. Integration of Ballistics and GSR in Casework

The combination of bullet trajectory analysis and gunshot residue (GSR) examination offers a holistic forensic method to reconstruct shooting incidents. Trajectory analysis reconstructs bullet trajectories to identify shooter location, range, and firing azimuth using laser kits or 3D spatial software (74), while GSR analysis offers chemical proof of firearm discharge proximity or handling (75). The two methods together reinforce the strength of evidence by cross-verifying trace and physical evidence. Forensic pathologists, crime scene investigators, and ballistics experts usually work together to match internal results like wound tracks with external ballistic models and GSR patterns (76). Such an interdisciplinary approach guarantees more contextual correctness, lowering court risk of misinterpretation. GSR analysis using SEM-EDX, combined with trajectory information, can differentiate between the shooter and bystander involvement, particularly in close-range shootings or questionable scenarios (77). In the courtroom, demonstration graphics such as 3D path of travel reconstructions and GSR pattern maps assist jurors in understanding technical evidence (78). Standardization between the laboratories is still a problem, however, highlighting the requirement for standardized procedures.

Use in Courtroom Testimony

In trial testimony, bullet trajectory analysis and gunshot residue (GSR) testimony are central to recounting scientifically based accounts of shooting events. Trajectory reconstruction defines direction of fire, shooter location, and order of fire. These findings, in the form of 3D sketches and total station readings, are incorporated into demonstrative evidence for jurors to interpret difficult spatial relationships (79). Experienced witnesses use these models to describe the way bullet trajectories relate to physical evidence and autopsy reports, furthering prosecutorial or defense arguments. Equally, analysis of gunshot residue (GSR), especially by scanning electron microscopy with energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (SEM-EDX), provides chemical proof of proximity or contact to firearm discharge, corroborating or contradicting assertions of firearm discharge (80). Testimony, to be valid in court, must meet well-known judicial requirements like Daubert or Frye, necessitating scientific sufficiency, replicability, and acceptance by peers (81). The mutualism of visual aids and test-upon scientific methods increases the validity of forensic testimony and impacts the courts' verdict. But cross-examination also focuses on explanation of questionable GSR readings or flaws in trajectory models, stressing the necessity for forensic specialists to be methodologically sound and report clearly (82).

V. Technological and Methodological Advances

Forensic ballistics has experienced gigantic changes in recent years with the integration of high-end technologies. Machine learning, portable detection technologies, digital scene mapping, and virtual reality technologies are revolutionizing bullet trajectory and gunshot residue (GSR) analysis, interpretation, and presentation in the court of law.

A. Machine Learning and AI in Trajectory Prediction

Machine learning and artificial intelligence (AI) have been extremely promising for trajectory reconstruction. With the combination of AI and 3D digital model and photogrammetry, forensic experts can now make bullet trajectory predictions more accurately, including on variables such as impact angles, weather conditions, and entry/exit geometry. These include AI-based ballistic simulators, which facilitate predictive modeling within virtual spaces to avoid human errors and be more efficient in analyzing crime scenes (83). These models also probabilistic reasoning compatible, allowing the forensic community to numerically represent uncertainties in trajectory estimates (84).

B. Portable GSR Detection Kits

Laboratory equipment, including scanning electron microscopy, is traditionally used in GSR analysis. Recent technologies have now developed portable detection kits using ambient ionization mass spectrometry and nano-sensor technology. The devices allow for the rapid on-site determination of GSR particles, providing law enforcers with real-time investigative leads (85). These kits provide increased working flexibility and are particularly useful in off-site or time-sensitive situations. Nano-based sensors, which can measure widespread elements such as lead, barium, and antimony at trace concentrations, have also undergone increased sensitivity and specificity (86).

C. Integration with Digital Crime Scene Management Systems

Digital integration has improved forensic practice through document systems for scenes that are centralized. By combining GSR data and bullet trajectory modeling within a single digital platform, forensic units can enable shared analysis without compromising evidentiary integrity. Photogrammetry and LIDAR information is employed to create detailed digital reconstructions of the shootings, which can be overlaid with GSR results for integrated interpretation (87). These systems not only maintain tighter chain-custody documentation but also enable investigators to re-visit and re-examine crime scenes virtually as new evidence is received.

D. Virtual and Augmented Reality for Jury Demonstration

Most revolutionary of all is the application of immersive technology to court presentation. Virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR) are becoming more widely used to develop interactive presentations of ballistic evidence, such as bullet flight paths and GSR distribution patterns.

These techniques span the gulf between technical expertise and lay understanding, strongly bolstering juror interest and effectiveness (88). Research has shown that VR-enriched trials lead to better comprehension of spatial and sequential aspects of shootings, thus making convictions more informed (89). In general, these technological and methodological advancements are driving forensic ballistics into a new age of precision, portability, and simplicity, and amplifying both investigative accuracy and judicial understanding.

VI. Challenges and Limitations

There has been substantial development in forensic ballistics, but there are various challenges that limit the reproducibility and accuracy of bullet trajectory and gunshot residue (GSR) analysis. They include temporal and environmental degradation of GSR, constrained bullet trajectory modeling at long ranges, and non-standardization between laboratories, all of which compromise evidentiary value in forensic analysis.

A. Environmental and Temporal Degradation of GSR

GSR consists of combustible particles with trace elements including lead, barium, and antimony. These residues can be degraded or lost with ease when they are exposed to the environment, contact, or time. Noted is the fact that GSR particles can reduce within hours of discharge, mainly due to hand washing, sweating, or accidental contact with a surface (90). Secondly, patterns of weather like rain and wind can transfer residues from bodies or surfaces of crime scenes, thus adding a further layer of complexity to detection and interpretation (91). Weather calls for speedy and controlled sample acquisition to ensure evidentiary integrity.

B. Limitations of Long-Range Trajectory Modeling

Bullet trajectory at distant ranges is severely computationally and empirically challenging. Long-range shots are subjected to sophisticated variables such as gravity, drag, deflection by wind, yaw, and bullet stability. While improved computer software for reconstructing trajectories is available, precision wanes with increased distance through the nonlinear motion of a projectile and cumulative margins for angle calculation errors (92). Additionally, bullet deformation on impact or ricochet adds variables hard to measure without verification of physical evidence (93). With such modeling limitations, long-range shootings are challenging to reconstruct with high forensic confidence.

C. Standardization and Inter-Lab Reproducibility

The prevalent problem in forensic ballistics is the absence of method standardization in laboratories. Analytical technique variation, particularly detection of GSR by SEM-EDX, mass spectrometry, or colorimetric analysis—results in variable results among laboratories (94). A 2023 review noted variability in sample preparation, positive identification threshold levels, and procedure in interpretation across forensic labs, resulting in lower inter-lab reproducibility (95). The lack of standard protocols that are universally accepted does not only impair the validity of the results but also undermines their admissibility within legal principles like the Daubert criteria.

VII. Case Studies

Forensic ballistics case studies demonstrate the application of bullet trajectory reconstruction and gunshot residue (GSR) analysis in authentic criminal investigation disputes. Case studies describe how scientific practice is being combined with legal strategy and typically disclose the way that trajectory angles, GSR particle presence, and wound profiling are employed to direct the direction of justice. A single well-publicized case examined the application of three-dimensional multi-slice computed tomography (3D-MSCT) to reconstruct the path of a bullet through the victim's body. The reconstruction was conclusive evidence of the entry point and path within the body of the bullet, enabling investigators to definitively identify the location of the shooter (96). This is merely one example of the benefits of combining imaging with external ballistic traces for court use. In another instance, fragmentation of the bullets prior to a "contour shot" (i.e., the bullet gliding on the surface of the skull) was tested under experimental simulation. The study involved trajectory analysis through GSR detection on simulations and illustrated how non-lethal trajectories of bullets still provide extensive trace evidence for analysis (97). Forensic pathologist B. Karger described instances where intracranial bullet pathways and localized GSR patterns helped to confirm whether the victim had moved following an impact critical factor in determining homicide vs. suicide in borderline fatalities (98). Experimental studies by Stevenson et al. utilized 3D visualization and ballistic gelatin to replicate patterns of gunshot wounds. Their study demonstrated fluctuation in the bullet's behavior when penetrating tissues and emphasized the significance of assumptions of trajectory to be tested against wound morphology and GSR evidence (99). In court cases, these samples corroborate expert testimony by illustrating how physical and chemical examination confirms or refutes investigative hypotheses. DiMaio's research has provided further illustration of the dynamic synergy of trajectory, wound ballistics, and GSR in real forensic cases (100).

As a body, such case histories illustrate the central role of trajectory and residue analysis in forensic ballistics, necessitating continuous interaction among technology, pathology, and chemistry.

VIII. Future Research Directions in Bullet Trajectory and Gunshot Residue Analysis

Future forensic issues in the utilization of firearms and ammunition variety call for continued improvement in bullet trajectory and gunshot residue (GSR) research. The most significant future directions are the following areas of research and development in contemporary forensic ballistics.

1.Advanced Trajectory Reconstruction Technologies

Upcoming studies must spend on contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CT) and high-resolution photogrammetry to achieve three-dimensional trajectory reconstruction. These two technologies enable improved modeling of bullet trajectories in biological tissue and synthetic targets and are background needed for wound interpretation and crime scene evaluation [101].

2.Portable and Lead-Free GSR Detection Devices

The prevalent use of lead-free and non-toxic ammunition has rendered traditional GSR detection methods, e.g., scanning electron microscopy with energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (SEM-EDX)—less reliable. Contemporary research should focus on the development of better portable, ultra-sensitive equipment like ion mobility spectrometers (IMS) and time-of-flight mass spectrometers with the ability to identify both inorganic and organic GSR compounds in the field [102,103].

3.Persistence, Transfer, and Environmental Behavior of GSR

Additional research is required in determining the impact of environmental conditions (i.e., humidity, wind, surface) on transfer, persistence, and degradations of GSR particles. Such a dynamic is particularly pertinent in the case of outdoor environments or where there is large temporal distancing between time of shooting and forensic sample taking. Knowledge of such variables can enhance the interpretive utility of GSR analysis [104].

4.Modeling Bullet Interaction with Intermediate Barriers

Experimental and computational studies must persist in studying bullet performance penetrating clothing, glass, or man-made obstacles. Ballistic gelatin, simulated bone, and animal surrogates were used in initial studies for simulating these interactions, but even sophisticated models can improve the understanding of bullet yaw, deflection, and energy loss [105].

5.Standardization and Interdisciplinary Integration

A deficiency of widely accepted protocols of GSR analysis and trajectory modeling in courts is a forensic reproducibility and legal admissibility challenge. Standardization of methodologies and incorporation of forensic ballistics in computer-aided crime scene reconstruction programs and biomechanical modeling codes is a necessity in future studies [106].

IX. Conclusion

Bullet path analysis and gunshot residue (GSR) analysis are both the heart of forensic ballistics, and they provide important information about the conditions under which shooting events occurred. If used in a consistent fashion, these tests can ascertain the shooter's location, the trajectory of the projectile, and whether a person discharged or was near a gun. Technological developments in trajectory analysis, including 3D modeling, high-speed photography, and computer-based reconstructions, have greatly improved bullet path calculation accuracy. They are especially useful in difficult cases of ricochet, intermediate targets, or body-tissue interaction. Used in combination with experimental models such as ballistic gel or simulation bone, they enable forensic analysts to reconstruct actual scenarios more realistically and consistently. In the meantime, GSR analysis science has moved beyond the traditional elemental identification. Since there are changing ammunition compositions, most notably the introduction of lead-free primers, newer analyses like ion mobility spectrometry and mass spectrometry must be employed. These allow determination of the inorganic and organic portions of the GSR, which ease the constraints of the traditional methods. But interpretation of the GSR is still a task that needs cautious regard for environmental exposure, secondary transfer, and time since discharge. Both GSR and trajectory analysis offer complementary types of evidence. Combined, they enable a complete reconstruction of the shooting incident, adding to the strength of forensic inferences. Yet threats remain in the form of the necessity for standardized procedure, cross-jurisdictional agreement, and greater training. Briefly stated, bullet path and GSR analysis are still important but developing areas of forensic science. Continued innovation, interdisciplinary collaboration, and testing validation must be accomplished to allow these techniques to provide the evidentiary support that contemporary investigative and legal systems require.

X. References

1. Wallace JS. *Chemical Analysis of Firearms, Ammunition, and Gunshot Residue*. CRC Press; 2018. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781315153254
2. Krishna S, Ahuja P. Trajectory—the unseen realm in a firing event. *Forensic Sci Med Pathol*. 2025. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12024-025-00980-2
3. Stevenson T, Carr DJ, Critchley R, Harrison K. Visualizing gunshot wounding patterns. *Int J Legal Med*. 2020;134(5):1483–1495. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00414-020-02265-5
4. Matthiessen EJAT, Kerkhoff W. Bullet trajectory reconstruction: methods, accuracy and precision. *Forensic Sci Int*. 2016;262:204–212. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2016.03.026
5. Romolo FS, Margot P. Identification of gunshot residue: a critical review. *Forensic Sci Int*. 2001;119(2):195–211. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0379-0738(00)00428-X

6. Gallidabino M, Romolo FS, Weyermann C. A forensic perspective on GSR analysis. *Forensic Sci Int*. 2014;233(1–3):1–17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2013.09.005
7. Chang KH, Jayaprakash PT, Yew CH. GSR analysis and evidential value: a review. *Aust J Forensic Sci*. 2013;45(1):3–22. https://doi.org/10.1080/00450618.2012.691546
8. Newland A, Banks E, Halámek J. Enhancing ballistic evidence via projectile composition. *ACS Omega*. 2024;9(10):1045–1055. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.3c06316
9. Rathore L, Sood A. The role of nanotechnology in forensic ballistics. *Curr Mater Sci*. 2025. https://doi.org/10.2174/0126661454358335250320084914
10. Carlucci DE, Jacobson SS. *Ballistics: Theory and Design of Guns and Ammunition*. 2nd ed. CRC Press; 2013. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781315375168
11. Halliday D, Resnick R, Walker J. *Fundamentals of Physics*. 10th ed. Wiley; 2013. https://www.wiley.com/en-us/Fundamentals+of+Physics%2C+10th+Edition-p-9781118230718
12. Carlucci DE, Jacobson SS. *Ballistics: Theory and Design of Guns and Ammunition*. 2nd ed. CRC Press; 2013. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781315375168
13. Mattijssen EJAT, Kerkhoff W. Bullet trajectory reconstruction: Methods, accuracy and precision. *Forensic Sci Int*. 2016;262:204–212. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2016.03.026
14. Sharma M. Fundamental Physics used in Forensics. *Forensic Sci Add Res*. 2019. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/340527307_Fundamental_Physics_used_in_Forensics
15. Heard BJ. *Handbook of Firearms and Ballistics*. 2nd ed. Wiley; 2008. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/book/10.1002/9780470694601
16. Mattijssen EJAT, Kerkhoff W. Bullet trajectory reconstruction: Methods, accuracy, and precision. *Forensic Sci Int*. 2016;262:204–212. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2016.03.026
17. Sharma M. Fundamental Physics used in Forensics. *Forensic Sci Add Res*. 2019. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/340527307_Fundamental_Physics_used_in_Forensics
18. Nandi K, Sen DJ, Mahanti B. *Ballistics: the modern day forensic weapon*. 2021. https://wjpr.s3.apsouth1.amazonaws.com/article_issue/b3dcd4a2efc8e8dc21dffd1de24ca979.pdf
19. Karger B. *Forensic ballistics: injuries from gunshots, explosives and arrows*. 2014. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/9781118570654.ch20
20. Stevenson T, Carr DJ, Harrison K, Critchley R. *Ballistic research techniques: visualizing gunshot wounding patterns*. 2020. https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/s00414-020-02265-5.pdf
21. Minzière VR, Gassner AL, Gallidabino M. *The relevance of gunshot residues in forensic science*. 2023. https://wires.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdfdirect/10.1002/wfs2.1472
22. Krishna S, Ahuja P. *Trajectory—the unseen realm in a firing event*. 2025. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s12024-025-00980-2

23. Karger B. *Forensic ballistics: injuries from gunshots, explosives and arrows*. 2014. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/9781118570654.ch20
24. Stevenson T, Carr DJ, Harrison K, Critchley R. *Ballistic research techniques: visualizing gunshot wounding patterns*. 2020. https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/s00414-020-02265-5.pdf
25. DiMaio VJM. *Gunshot wounds: practical aspects of firearms, ballistics, and forensic techniques*. 2015. https://books.google.com/books?id=8eCYCgAAQBAJ
26. Stevenson T, Carr DJ, Harrison K, Critchley R. *Ballistic research techniques: visualizing gunshot wounding patterns*. 2020. https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/s00414-020-02265-5.pdf
27. Pinto A, Russo A, Reginelli A, Iacobellis F. *Gunshot wounds: ballistics and imaging findings*. 2019. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S088721711830101X
28. DiMaio VJM. *Gunshot wounds: practical aspects of firearms, ballistics, and forensic techniques*. 2015. https://books.google.com/books?id=8eCYCgAAQBAJ
29. Karger B. *Forensic ballistics: injuries from gunshots, explosives and arrows*. 2014. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/9781118570654.ch20
30. Pinto A, Russo A, Reginelli A, Iacobellis F. *Gunshot wounds: ballistics and imaging findings*. 2019. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S088721711830101X
31. Krishna S, Ahuja P. *Trajectory—the unseen realm in a firing event*. 2025. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s12024-025-00980-2
32. Stevenson T, Carr DJ, Harrison K, Critchley R. *Ballistic research techniques: visualizing gunshot wounding patterns*. 2020. https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/s00414-020-02265-5.pdf
33. Pinto A, Russo A, Reginelli A, Iacobellis F. *Gunshot wounds: ballistics and imaging findings*. 2019. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S088721711830101X
34. Minzière VR, Gassner AL, Gallidabino M. *The relevance of gunshot residues in forensic science*. 2023. https://wires.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdfdirect/10.1002/wfs2.1472
35. DiMaio VJM. *Gunshot wounds: practical aspects of firearms, ballistics, and forensic techniques*. 2015. https://books.google.com/books?id=8eCYCgAAQBAJ
36. Stevenson T, Carr DJ, Harrison K, Critchley R. *Ballistic research techniques: visualizing gunshot wounding patterns*. 2020. https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/s00414-020-02265-5.pdf
37. Pinto A, Russo A, Reginelli A, Iacobellis F. *Gunshot wounds: ballistics and imaging findings*. 2019. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S088721711830101X
38. DiMaio VJM. *Gunshot wounds: practical aspects of firearms, ballistics, and forensic techniques*. 2015. https://books.google.com/books?id=8eCYCgAAQBAJ
39. Minzière VR, Gassner AL, Gallidabino M. *The relevance of gunshot residues in forensic science*. 2023. https://wires.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdfdirect/10.1002/wfs2.1472
40. Karger B. *Forensic ballistics: injuries from gunshots, explosives and arrows*. 2014. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/9781118570654.ch20
41. DiMaio VJM. *Gunshot wounds: practical aspects of firearms, ballistics, and forensic techniques*. 2015. https://books.google.com/books?id=8eCYCgAAQBAJ

42. Stevenson T, Carr DJ, Harrison K, Critchley R. *Ballistic research techniques: visualizing gunshot wounding patterns*. 2020. https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/s00414-020-02265-5.pdf
43. Krishna S, Ahuja P. *Trajectory—the unseen realm in a firing event*. 2025. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s12024-025-00980-2
44. Lubarda MV, Lubarda VA. *Wind-influenced projectile motion in the presence of linear and nonlinear drag force*. 2022. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00419-022-02173-7
45. Karger B. *Forensic ballistics*. 2014. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/9781118570654.ch20
46. Minzière VR et al. *Gunshot residues in forensic science*. 2023. https://wires.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdfdirect/10.1002/wfs2.1472
47. DiMaio VJM. *Gunshot wounds*. 2015. https://books.google.com/books?id=8eCYCgAAQBAJ
48. Stevenson T et al. *Visualizing gunshot wounding patterns*. 2020. https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/s00414-020-02265-5.pdf
49. Karger B. *Forensic ballistics*. 2014. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/9781118570654.ch20
50. Minzière VR et al. *Gunshot residues in forensic science*. 2023. https://wires.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdfdirect/10.1002/wfs2.1472
51. Romolo FS, Margot P. *Identification of gunshot residue: a critical review*. 2001. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S037907380000428X
52. Minzière VR et al. *The relevance of gunshot residues in forensic science*. 2023. https://wires.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdfdirect/10.1002/wfs2.1472
53. Gallidabino M et al. *Recent trends in GSR analysis*. 2022. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2021.116560
54. Zeichner A, Glattstein B. *A review of gunshot residue analysis*. 2002. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12051331/
55. Karger B. *Forensic ballistics*. 2014. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/9781118570654.ch20
56. Zadora G, Brozek-Mucha Z. *Chemical analysis of GSR in contemporary ammunition*. 2010. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scijus.2010.03.001
57. Romolo FS, Margot P. *Identification of gunshot residue: a critical review*. 2001. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S037907380000428X
58. Minzière VR et al. *The relevance of gunshot residues in forensic science*. 2023. https://wires.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdfdirect/10.1002/wfs2.1472
59. Zeichner A, Glattstein B. *A review of gunshot residue analysis*. 2002. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12051331/
60. Dalby O, Butler D, Birkett JW. *Analysis of gunshot residue and associated materials*. 2010. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2010.01.004
61. Gallidabino M et al. *Recent trends in GSR analysis*. 2022. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2021.116560
62. Zeichner A. *False positives in GSR testing*. 2003. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0379-0738(03)00048-0

63. ENFSI. *Best Practice Manual for the Detection of GSR by SEM/EDX*. 2021. https://enfsi.eu/documents/gunshot-residue-analysis/
64. Romolo FS, Margot P. *Identification of gunshot residue: a critical review*. 2001. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S037907380000428X
65. Minzière VR, Gassner AL, Gallidabino M. *The relevance of gunshot residues in forensic science*. 2023. https://wires.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdfdirect/10.1002/wfs2.1472
66. Gallidabino M et al. *Recent trends in gunshot residue analysis*. 2022. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2021.116560
67. Brozek-Mucha Z. *Chemical studies of gunshot residues for forensic purposes*. 2009. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scijus.2009.05.001
68. Zeichner A, Glattstein B. *A review of gunshot residue analysis*. 2002. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12051331/
69. Dalby O, Butler D, Birkett JW. *Analysis of gunshot residue and associated materials*. 2010. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2010.01.004
70. Mattijssen EJAT, Kerkhoff W. Bullet trajectory reconstruction–methods, accuracy and precision. *Sci Justice* [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2025 Jun 3];56(1):1–8. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0379073816301268
71. Brożek-Mucha Z. Trends in analysis of gunshot residue for forensic purposes. *Anal Bioanal Chem* [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2025 Jun 3];409(26):5803–5810. Available from: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00216-017-0460-1
72. Schwoeble AJ, Exline DL. *Current methods in forensic gunshot residue analysis* [Internet]. Boca Raton: CRC Press; 2000 [cited 2025 Jun 3]. Available from: https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/mono/10.1201/9781420042573/current-methods-forensic-gunshot-residue-analysis-schwoeble-david-exline
73. Romolo FS, Margot P. Identification of gunshot residue: a critical review. *Forensic Sci Int* [Internet]. 2001 [cited 2025 Jun 3];119(2):195–211. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S037907380000428X
74. Mattijssen EJAT, Kerkhoff W. Bullet trajectory reconstruction–methods, accuracy and precision. *Sci Justice* [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2025 Jun 3];56(1):1–8. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0379073816301268
75. Brożek-Mucha Z. Trends in analysis of gunshot residue for forensic purposes. *Anal Bioanal Chem* [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2025 Jun 3];409(26):5803–5810. Available from: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00216-017-0460-1
76. DiMaio VJM. *Gunshot wounds: practical aspects of firearms, ballistics, and forensic techniques* [Internet]. 3rd ed. Boca Raton: CRC Press; 2015 [cited 2025 Jun 3]. Available from: https://books.google.com/books?id=8eCYCgAAQBAJ
77. Schwoeble AJ, Exline DL. *Current methods in forensic gunshot residue analysis* [Internet]. Boca Raton: CRC Press; 2000 [cited 2025 Jun 3]. Available from: https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/mono/10.1201/9781420042573/current-methods-forensic-gunshot-residue-analysis-schwoeble-david-exline
78. Gaur KS, Kumar S. Forensic Science of Ballistic Weapons. *Int J Law Manag Humanit* [Internet]. 2024 [cited 2025 Jun 3];27:891–900. Available from: https://heinonline.org/hol-cgi-bin/get_pdf.cgi?handle=hein.journals/ijlmhs27&section=112

79. Mattijssen EJAT, Kerkhoff W. Bullet trajectory reconstruction–methods, accuracy and precision. *Sci Justice* [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2025 Jun 3];56(1):1–8. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0379073816301268
80. Brożek-Mucha Z. Trends in analysis of gunshot residue for forensic purposes. *Anal Bioanal Chem* [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2025 Jun 3];409(26):5803–5810. Available from: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00216-017-0460-1
81. Wallace JS. *Chemical analysis of firearms, ammunition, and gunshot residue* [Internet]. CRC Press; 2018 [cited 2025 Jun 3]. Available from: https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/mono/10.4324/9781315153254/chemical-analysis-firearms-ammunition-gunshot-residue-james-smyth-wallace
82. DiMaio VJM. *Gunshot wounds: practical aspects of firearms, ballistics, and forensic techniques* [Internet]. 3rd ed. Boca Raton: CRC Press; 2015 [cited 2025 Jun 3]. Available from: https://books.google.com/books?id=8eCYCgAAQBAJ
83. Patsiouras E, Vasileiou SK. Integrating AI and computer vision for ballistic and bloodstain analysis in 3D digital forensics [Internet]. IEEE Xplore; 2024 [cited 2025 Jun 3]. Available from: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/10796512/
84. Chango X, Flor-Unda O, Gil-Jiménez P. Technology in forensic sciences: Innovation and precision. *Technologies* [Internet]. 2024 [cited 2025 Jun 3];12(8):120. Available from: https://www.mdpi.com/2227-7080/12/8/120
85. Ledergerber TD. Development of fast and comprehensive approaches for gunshot residue interpretation using ambient ionization, mass spectrometry, and microparticle sampling [Internet]. West Virginia University; 2025 [cited 2025 Jun 3]. Available from: https://researchrepository.wvu.edu/etd/12901/
86. Zareef I, Riaz A, Salahuddin, Fatima M, Anwar A. Unveiling the power of nanomaterials in the area of forensics. In: *Nanomaterials in Forensics*. Springer; 2024 [cited 2025 Jun 3]. Available from: https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-031-57843-4_2
87. Guarnera L, Giudice O, Livatino S, Paratore AB. Assessing forensic ballistics three-dimensionally through graphical reconstruction and immersive VR observation. *Multimed Tools Appl* [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2025 Jun 3];82:11345–11361. Available from: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11042-022-14037-x
88. Reichherzer C, Cunningham A, Coleman T. Bringing the jury to the scene of the crime: Memory and decision-making in a simulated crime scene. *ACM Trans Appl Percept* [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2025 Jun 3];18(2):1–20. Available from: https://dl.acm.org/doi/abs/10.1145/3411764.3445464
89. Bozkir E, Özdel S, Wang M, David-John B. Eye-tracked virtual reality: A comprehensive survey on methods and privacy challenges. *arXiv* [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2025 Jun 3]. Available from: https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.14080
90. Romolo FS, Margot P. Identification of gunshot residue: a critical review. *Forensic Sci Int* [Internet]. 2001 [cited 2025 Jun 3];119(2):195–211. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S037907380000428X
91. Brożek-Mucha Z. Trends in analysis of gunshot residue for forensic purposes. *Anal Bioanal Chem* [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2025 Jun 3];409(26):5803–5810. Available from: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00216-017-0460-1

92. Mattijssen EJAT, Kerkhoff W. Bullet trajectory reconstruction–methods, accuracy and precision. *Sci Justice* [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2025 Jun 3];56(1):1–8. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0379073816301268
93. DiMaio VJM. *Gunshot wounds: practical aspects of firearms, ballistics, and forensic techniques* [Internet]. CRC Press; 2015 [cited 2025 Jun 3]. Available from: https://books.google.com/books?id=8eCYCgAAQBAJ
94. Schwoeble AJ, Exline DL. *Current methods in forensic gunshot residue analysis* [Internet]. CRC Press; 2000 [cited 2025 Jun 3]. Available from: https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/mono/10.1201/9781420042573/current-methods-forensic-gunshot-residue-analysis-schwoeble-david-exline
95. Miranda MD, Niceberg CH, Roux C. Is it time for a unified forensic science taxonomy? *Forensic Sci Int* [Internet]. 2024 [cited 2025 Jun 3];351:111487. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0379073824003347
96. Puentes K, Taveira F, Madureira AJ, Santos A. Three-dimensional reconstitution of bullet trajectory in gunshot wounds: a case report. *J Forensic Radiol Imaging* [Internet]. 2009 [cited 2025 Jun 3];1(1):36–39. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1752928X09000535
97. Sterzik V, Kneubuehl BP, Bohnert M, Riva F. Bullet fragmentation preceding a contour shot: case study and experimental simulation. *Int J Legal Med* [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2025 Jun 3];131(2):459–463. Available from: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00414-016-1462-9
98. Karger B. Forensic ballistics. In: Madea B, editor. *Handbook of Forensic Medicine* [Internet]. 2nd ed. Springer; 2008 [cited 2025 Jun 3]. p. 143–154. Available from: https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-1-59745-110-9_9
99. Stevenson T, Carr DJ, Harrison K, Critchley R. Ballistic research techniques: visualizing gunshot wounding patterns. *Int J Legal Med* [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2025 Jun 3];134(6):2051–2058. Available from: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00414-020-02265-5
100. DiMaio VJM. *Gunshot wounds: practical aspects of firearms, ballistics, and forensic techniques* [Internet]. 3rd ed. Boca Raton: CRC Press; 2015 [cited 2025 Jun 3]. Available from: https://books.google.com/books?id=8eCYCgAAQBAJ
101. Stevenson T, Carr DJ, Harrison K, Critchley R. Ballistic research techniques: visualizing gunshot wounding patterns. *Int J Legal Med*. 2020. Available from: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00414-020-02265-5
102. Maitre M, Kirkbride KP, Horder M, Roux C. Current perspectives in the interpretation of gunshot residues in forensic science: a review. *Forensic Sci Int*. 2017. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0379073816304091
103. Romolo FS, Margot P. Identification of gunshot residue: a critical review. *Forensic Sci Int*. 2001. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S037907380000428X
104. Blakey LS, Sharples GP, Chana K. Fate and behavior of gunshot residue—a review. *J Forensic Sci*. 2018. Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/1556-4029.13555

105. Kaur G, Mukherjee D, Moza B. A comprehensive review of wound ballistics: Mechanisms, effects, and advancements. *Indian J Med Toxicol Leg Med*. 2023. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/377412617
106. Minzière VR, Gassner AL, Gallidabino M. The relevance of gunshot residues in forensic science. *WIREs Forensic Sci*. 2023. Available from: https://wires.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/wfs2.1472