

ADVANCE SOCIAL SCIENCE ARCHIVE JOURNAL

Available Online: <https://assajournal.com>

Vol. 04 No. 02. October-December 2025. Page# 434-445

Print ISSN: [3006-2497](#) Online ISSN: [3006-2500](#)

Platform & Workflow by: [Open Journal Systems](#)

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17339587>



Comparative Study of Mediation Models Eastern vs. Western Approaches to Conflict Resolution

Hafiz Haseeb Ullah

PHD Scholar

Gillani Law College

Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan, Pakistan

asporac2013@gmail.com

Dr. Khurram Baig

(Corresponding Author)

Professor of Law

HOD, School of Law

Multan University of Science and Technology, Multan, Pakistan

mkb5729@gmail.com

Mian Muhammad Irfan

MSc, L.L.B, PGDCLP, LL.M

Advocate at Lahore High Court, Pakistan

mianmuhammadirfan55@gmail.com

Abstract

Mediation has become a central approach of conflict resolution in a wide variety of cultures and legal systems. The paper discusses the characteristics that describe the comparative aspects of Eastern and Western mediation models, including how culture, social and legal elements interfere in defining the practice of mediation. Whereas Western models regard formal processes, personal rights and neutral facilitation, the eastern models lay more emphasis in the relationship harmony, community engagement and moral persuasion. Based on a qualitative comparative analysis, using case studies, academic literature and mediation manuals, the study reveals the strengths and limitations of each of the models. The study also examines the relevance of cross-cultural and international mediation in cross-cultural and international conflicts. The results highlight the role of cultural awareness in mediators and the possibilities that may be explored to adapt the best practices in each tradition to make mediation more effective and legitimate in the international setting.

Keywords: *Mediation, Conflict Resolution, Eastern vs. Western Models, Cultural Context, Harmony and Individualism, Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR), Cross-Cultural Negotiation, Comparative Legal Analysis*

1. Introduction

Alternative dispute resolution mechanism such as mediation provides an orderly but open process of settling disputes without necessarily going to court. Its applicability all over the world has grown tremendously both in the domestic disputes and in the international disputes when the legal norms meet the cultural norms and are likely to have an impact. Overall, mediation is aimed at the development of mutually acceptable agreements, because it requires the facilitation of communication, negotiation and compromise of disputing parties (Moore, 2014). The difference between the Eastern and the Western paradigms of mediation is philosophical and procedural. The western culture based on the liberal tradition focuses on the rights of an individual, unbiasedness and the strictness of a pre-design process. Mediators are more of neutral facilitators who lead parties into legally enforceable settlements and procedural fairness (Bates, 2024). Conversely, Eastern models especially in the countries of China, Japan and India focus on social harmony, relational balance and community participation. A mediator frequently takes on an authoritative role of respect and uses methods of moral persuasion and cultural sensitivity to reestablish balance and maintain a long-run relationship (K.-K. Hwang, 2023).

The cultural context is an important factor that defines the mediation strategies and outcomes. Collectivist cultures are characterized by indirect communication, respect in a hierarchical structure and preserving relationships and low-context cultures are characterized by direct negotiation, equality and codified agreement (Avruch, 2022a). The increasing globalization of commerce and diplomacy underscores the need to understand these divergent models, particularly as cross-cultural disputes become more frequent and complex.

This research paper will discuss and contrast the Eastern and the Western models of mediation, including the theoretical background, the nature of the procedures, the role of the mediators and the efficacy of the models in ensuring sustainable conflict management. The research question that will be used to answer this research question will be as follows: *How do Eastern and Western mediation models differ and what do each one of them learn about the other to improve practices in the global field of dispute resolution?* Through a comparative evaluation of the two traditions, this paper aims to contribute to the emerging body of research on culturally informed mediation and provide some insights on how to create hybrid methods by utilizing the strengths of both models (de Coning, 2022a) (Manuwa, 2021).

2. Conceptual and Theoretical Framework

Mediation is commonly considered to be a voluntary, organized and neutral procedure aimed at helping to resolve a conflict by negotiating, having a conversation and compromising (Phillips, 2021a). The essence of mediation is to give the parties a platform where they can freely communicate, find common ground in the underlying interests and jointly formulate solutions that both parties agree on. As compared to litigation or arbitration, the emphasis of mediation is on flexibility, confidentiality and relationship preservation, which is why it is especially applicable to the culturally sensitive and relational conflict (Chitashvili & Burduli, 2024).

Mediation in different cultures has very different theoretical foundations, which has given rise to the elaboration of different models in the Eastern and Western cultures. Western mediation relies mostly on facilitative, evaluative and transformative models. Facilitative mediation

involves a mediator concentrating on helping parties to have discussions in a structured manner, to solve problems and to get to know each other without making any personal recommendations (Ali, 2023). Evaluative mediation is when the mediator analyses the merits and flaws of either side and can make suggestions or predictions about what the court is likely to do with the case. Transformative mediation, conversely, focuses on empowering the parties and the ability to recognize each other to understand one another in order to result in personal and relational change (Warters, 2023).

On the contrary, the Eastern models of mediation are entrenched in cultural and social values. Confucian, Buddhist and Hindu philosophies, which place more emphasis on harmony, hierarchy and the interests of the whole rather than on the rights of the individual, are also found to shape mediation in most Asian societies (Yee, 2024). The mediator tends to take an authoritative or esteemed role and leads the parties to reconciliation in a moral persuasion and face-saving strategies and consensus building. It is less formalized and more relationally focused and is based on the long-term social cohesion and stability of the community over its strictly enforceable agreements (Avruch, 2022c).

The mediation practice is largely determined by cultural context. Collectivist cultures, which have high context, prefer indirect communicating, respecting the authority and taking care of group harmony. Individualist societies that follow low-context and value direct communication, procedural fairness and contractual adherence (Sherefetdinova, 2024a). Such cultural differences not only affect the role and strategies of the mediator, also have effects on the expectations of the parties, their engagement and satisfaction with the mediation process. Being aware of these theoretical differences is critical towards establishing culturally knowledgeable practices especially on international or cross border conflicts where conflicting expectations can otherwise interfere with the resolution of conflicts.

Conceptual and theoretical framework emphasizes on mediation as a culturally contingent process. This section provides the basis of the comparative analysis that follows by analyzing the facilitative, evaluative and transformative approaches used in the West and the relational and harmony-based models used in the east. These theoretical differences are crucial in giving understanding on how mediation can be successfully forged in different cultures and legal settings.

3. Historical and Philosophical Background

Mediation has deep historical roots in both Eastern and Western traditions, with its practices shaped by distinct philosophical, social and legal contexts. Understanding this historical evolution provides insight into the conceptual differences that characterize contemporary mediation models (Matkomilovich, 2024)

In Eastern societies, mediation is often intertwined with moral, religious and community principles. In China, for instance, Confucian philosophy has significantly influenced dispute resolution practices, emphasizing harmony, respect for hierarchy and the importance of maintaining social relationships (L. L. Li et al., 2025). Confucian ideals promote reconciliation over confrontation, viewing disputes as threats to collective well-being rather than solely individual interests. Similarly, in India, mediation has historically been guided by Hindu and Islamic

principles, where community elders or religious leaders mediate disputes to restore social equilibrium and maintain familial or societal cohesion (Garg & Adwani, 2024). Japan has long employed wa-based approaches, centered on harmony and consensus, where mediators guide disputants toward mutually acceptable solutions while preserving social respect and avoiding public embarrassment (Hayashi et al., 2022).

In contrast, Western mediation emerged from legal, philosophical and institutional developments rooted in liberal and contractualist traditions. Early Western practices focused on arbitration and negotiation within legal frameworks, where the mediator acted as a neutral facilitator ensuring fairness and compliance with codified laws. The 20th century saw the rise of formal Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) mechanisms in the United States and Europe, emphasizing structured facilitation, evaluative input and enforceable agreements. The Western approach is characterized by its procedural rigor, emphasis on individual rights and reliance on legal and institutional support to legitimize outcomes (de Coning, 2022b).

Philosophically, the divergence between Eastern and Western models reflects broader cultural orientations. Eastern approaches prioritize collective harmony, moral guidance and relational stability, reflecting high-context and collectivist social norms (Avruch, 2022b). Western approaches, however, emphasize individual autonomy, procedural fairness and contractual compliance, reflecting low-context, individualist cultures (Ogbonna & Makak, 2021). These philosophical foundations shape not only mediator roles and techniques but also parties' expectations regarding the goals and legitimacy of mediation.

Understanding the historical and philosophical background is critical for appreciating the structural and procedural differences between Eastern and Western mediation. While Western mediation emphasizes enforceable outcomes within formalized processes, Eastern mediation prioritizes long-term relational maintenance and social cohesion. Recognizing these historical influences allows scholars and practitioners to identify culturally compatible strategies and anticipate challenges in cross-cultural mediation contexts (Phillips, 2021b).

4. Comparative Analysis

The comparative analysis of Eastern and Western mediation models highlights significant differences in philosophy, process, mediator roles and cultural orientation. Understanding these differences is essential for applying mediation effectively in both domestic and cross-cultural contexts.

4.1 Mediator Role

In Western mediation, the mediator functions primarily as a neutral facilitator whose role is to guide parties through structured negotiations without imposing solutions (BENAMARA, n.d.). The mediator encourages communication, helps clarify interests and assists parties in generating options for resolution, but refrains from offering moral or authoritative guidance (Borulkar, 2021).

By contrast, Eastern mediation often assigns the mediator a position of authority or moral guidance (D. K.-K. Hwang & Miller, 2024). In countries like China, mediators may be respected elders, community leaders, or officials who actively steer the negotiation process, using moral persuasion to encourage reconciliation. The mediator's authority is culturally recognized and

parties are expected to respect their recommendations to maintain social harmony (Sherefetdinova, 2024b).

4.2 Process and Techniques

Western mediation processes are typically formalized, following structured steps such as pre-mediation meetings, joint sessions, caucuses and legally recognized agreements. Techniques such as interest-based negotiation, evaluative feedback and reality testing are widely employed to facilitate resolution (Grosu, 2021).

Eastern mediation, in contrast, emphasizes flexibility, dialogue and relationship preservation. Negotiations are often informal, may occur in private or community settings and employ techniques such as face-saving, consensus-building and indirect communication (Avruch, 2022d). The process focuses less on rigid procedures and more on relational outcomes, preserving social cohesion and minimizing conflict escalation (Ukolova & Bohdanets, n.d.-a).

4.3 Focus of Resolution

Western mediation prioritizes legally enforceable outcomes, ensuring that agreements can be codified and upheld under contract or arbitration laws (Panov et al., 2024). The emphasis is on fairness, equality and protection of individual rights.

Eastern mediation focuses on relational harmony, community balance and long-term cooperation. Agreements may be socially or morally binding rather than strictly legal and maintaining trust and avoiding shame are central objectives (Ayush, 2021).

4.4 Power Dynamics and Cultural Influence

High-context, collectivist societies in the East rely on hierarchical structures, where seniority and social status influence negotiation dynamics (Wang et al., 2022). Mediators leverage these hierarchies to facilitate resolution.

Western cultures, generally low-context and individualistic, emphasize egalitarianism, where each party has an equal voice in the process and outcomes are evaluated based on fairness and procedural rigor (von Feigenblatt, 2021a).

4.5 Legal Framework and Enforcement

Western mediation is often closely integrated with ADR laws, courts and contractual enforcement mechanisms, providing legitimacy and enforceability to settlements (Rainey et al., 2021). Eastern mediation frequently operates outside formal legal systems, relying on social norms, moral authority and community oversight to ensure compliance (Avruch, 2022e).

4.6 Comparative Table

Feature	Eastern Mediation	Western Mediation
Philosophical Basis	Harmony, collective well-being, relational balance	Individual rights, autonomy, fairness
Mediator Role	Authority, moral guidance, conciliator	Neutral facilitator, process manager
Process	Informal, flexible, community/family-based	Structured, rule-based, legally informed

Feature	Eastern Mediation	Western Mediation
Techniques	Moral persuasion, face-saving, consensus-building	Interest-based negotiation, evaluative feedback, caucus
Focus of Resolution	Preservation of relationships, social harmony	Legally enforceable settlement, individual rights
Power Dynamics	Hierarchical, social status matters	Egalitarian, equal voice
Legal Framework	Social/moral compliance	Codified law, ADR integration
Outcome Orientation	Consensus, restoration of social order	Settlement of dispute, legal enforceability
Cultural Influence	High-context, collectivism, authority	Low-context, individualism, procedural fairness
Examples	China, Japan, India	USA, UK, Australia

5. Discussion

The comparative analysis highlights that Eastern and Western mediation models differ not only in process and procedure but also in underlying philosophy, mediator roles and cultural orientation. These differences have significant implications for the practice of mediation, particularly in cross-cultural and international contexts (Golbert, 2022).

One of the key insights from the comparison is the influence of culture on mediator roles and strategies. In Eastern contexts, the mediator's authority and moral guidance are critical in steering negotiations toward relational harmony (H. Li et al., 2024). This approach fosters long-term social cohesion and ensures that resolutions are culturally acceptable, but it may limit party autonomy and the formal enforceability of outcomes. In Western contexts, neutral facilitation and procedural rigor protect individual rights and provide legal certainty (Folger et al., 2024a). However, an overemphasis on formal rules may sometimes neglect relational and contextual nuances that are critical in collectivist societies (von Feigenblatt, 2021b).

Power dynamics and communication styles further underscore the need for cultural sensitivity. High-context, hierarchical Eastern societies rely on indirect communication and respect for authority, which can conflict with the low-context, egalitarian communication style prevalent in the West (Sherefetdinova, 2024c). Misunderstandings arising from these cultural differences can hinder negotiation and reduce satisfaction with the mediation process. Consequently, mediators engaged in cross-cultural disputes must be adept at interpreting both verbal and non-verbal cues and adjusting their strategies accordingly (Ukolova & Bohdanets, n.d.-b).

Another significant observation is the potential for hybrid mediation models. By combining the structured, enforceable processes of Western mediation with the relational, harmony-focused techniques of Eastern mediation, practitioners can develop culturally adaptive approaches suitable for international disputes (Schachter, 2024a). For example, in business negotiations involving multinational parties, a mediator might employ facilitative techniques to guide negotiations while also considering the relational sensitivities and face-saving practices valued

by Eastern parties. Such integration enhances legitimacy, satisfaction and compliance with mediated agreements (Kline, 2023).

Finally, the discussion emphasizes the broader implications for international dispute resolution and policy-making. Legal frameworks and institutional support are essential for formal enforcement, but understanding cultural expectations is equally important for achieving durable, mutually acceptable outcomes. Training programs for mediators, particularly in international contexts, should include cultural competency, awareness of Eastern and Western philosophies and adaptive techniques that reconcile procedural rigor with relational harmony (Boehle, 2021). In conclusion, the discussion illustrates that effective mediation cannot be divorced from cultural context. Recognizing and integrating the strengths of both Eastern and Western approaches not only improves outcomes but also contributes to the development of globally relevant mediation practices.

6. Recommendations

Building on the comparative analysis and discussion, several recommendations emerge for enhancing the effectiveness of mediation in both domestic and cross-cultural contexts. These recommendations aim to integrate the strengths of Eastern and Western approaches while addressing their respective limitations (Folger et al., 2024b).

6.1 Develop Hybrid Mediation Models

Hybrid mediation models that combine the relational, harmony-focused techniques of Eastern mediation with the structured, rights-based processes of Western mediation can improve outcomes in cross-cultural disputes (Gautam, 2023). For instance, mediators can adopt facilitative techniques to guide negotiations while simultaneously employing culturally appropriate face-saving strategies and moral persuasion where relevant (Xue, 2025). Such models preserve the legal enforceability of agreements while maintaining social harmony, particularly in disputes involving parties from different cultural backgrounds (Schachter, 2024b).

6.2 Enhance Cultural Competency of Mediators

Mediators should receive training in cultural awareness and sensitivity, including understanding hierarchical norms, communication styles and relational values prevalent in different societies (Trujillo et al., 2022). Cross-cultural competency allows mediators to anticipate potential misunderstandings, adapt their techniques appropriately and increase the likelihood of durable and mutually satisfactory outcomes (Sherefetdinova, 2024d).

6.3 Promote Institutional and Legal Support

While relational strategies are effective, integrating mediation with formal legal frameworks ensures enforceability and legitimacy (Sherman & Momani, 2025). Policy-makers and dispute resolution institutions should create legal provisions that recognize mediated agreements and facilitate the hybridization of mediation approaches. This integration provides parties with both procedural protection and culturally appropriate resolution methods (Avi-Guy, 2021).

6.4 Encourage Knowledge Sharing and Comparative Research

Academic and professional forums should promote the exchange of best practices across cultures, emphasizing the comparative study of mediation models (Velmurugan et al., 2025). Workshops, seminars and publications that highlight successful cross-cultural mediation

experiences can inform practitioners and guide the development of contextually adaptive strategies.

6.5 Foster Relationship Preservation Alongside Legal Compliance

Mediators should balance the focus on enforceable settlements with attention to relational and social outcomes, particularly in collectivist societies (Mackie, 2025). Maintaining trust, saving face and reinforcing social cohesion can enhance compliance and satisfaction with mediation, even when formal legal enforcement mechanisms are in place.

These recommendations collectively underscore the need for a flexible, culturally sensitive and legally supported approach to mediation. By adopting hybrid models, enhancing mediator competencies and integrating legal and relational considerations, dispute resolution practices can achieve higher legitimacy, effectiveness and sustainability across diverse cultural contexts.

7. Conclusion

The paper has discussed the comparative characteristics of Eastern and Western mediation model in terms of their philosophical basis, procedural attributes and the culture underlining the models. Eastern mediation is concerned with harmony, maintenance of relationships and moral direction, as it represents collectivistic, high context cultures in which social cohesion is of the primary importance. Western mediation, on the other hand, emphasizes neutrality, procedural form, individual rights and legally binding results, which are in line with low-context, individualism cultures.

The discussion shows that the two models have their own advantages and disadvantages. Eastern ways are good at sustaining long-term relationships and upholding social harmony, yet can not be enforced formally. The western methods are fair, procedural and legal and might miss on the relational and cultural details. It is important to be aware of these differences especially when dealing with cross-cultural and international dispute resolution, where there might be differences in expectations and styles of communication that might influence the effectiveness of mediation.

The discussion and suggestions highlight the possibilities of hybrid mediation models that combine the strengths of relational and procedural in both traditions. Mediators can ensure effective, legitimate and sustainable dispute resolution by improving their cultural competency, enhancing the legal and institutional support and balancing the relational outcomes with enforceable outcomes.

Finally, culturally informed mediation is going to not only help resolve the direct conflict but also work towards the overarching objectives of developing trust, creating social stability and international collaboration. A combination of the knowledge of both the Eastern and Western traditions will provide an opportunity to have a direction on mediation practices that are flexible, situational and universal.

References:

- Ali, A. A. (2023). *Mediation and conflict resolution*.
- Avi-Guy, O. (2021). Transformation—Overcoming the Limits of Liberal Peace and Transitional Justice in Deeply Divided Societies: Reconciliation in Liberal Peace Theory. *Israel Law Review*, 54(3), 289–304.
- Avruch, K. (2022a). Culture and conflict resolution. In *The Palgrave encyclopedia of peace and conflict studies* (pp. 254–259). Springer.
- Avruch, K. (2022b). Culture and conflict resolution. In *The Palgrave encyclopedia of peace and conflict studies* (pp. 254–259). Springer.
- Avruch, K. (2022c). Tertius gaudens aut tertium numen? Third-party roles in conflict and conflict resolution. In *The anthropology of power, agency and morality* (pp. 214–232). Manchester University Press.
- Avruch, K. (2022d). Tertius gaudens aut tertium numen? Third-party roles in conflict and conflict resolution. In *The anthropology of power, agency and morality* (pp. 214–232). Manchester University Press.
- Avruch, K. (2022e). Tertius gaudens aut tertium numen? Third-party roles in conflict and conflict resolution. In *The anthropology of power, agency and morality* (pp. 214–232). Manchester University Press.
- Ayush. (2021). Mediation: Its Future Perspective in India. *Indian JL & Legal Rsch.*, 2, 1.
- Bates, C. F. (2024). The effect of empathic mediation in conflict resolution. In *Accessing the Public Sphere: Mediation Practices in a Global World* (pp. 113–134). Springer.
- BENAMARA, C. (n.d.). *The Evolving Role of International Mediators in Complex Peace Processes: A Multidimensional Analysis of Strategies, Challenges and Outcomes in the 21st Century*.
- Boehle, J. (2021). Forgiveness, restorative justice and reconciliation in sustainable peacebuilding: Contemporary debates and future possibilities. *Global Change, Peace & Security*, 33(2), 103–123.
- Borulkar, I. A. (2021). Mediation: Ethics and Role of Mediator. *Indian JL & Legal Rsch.*, 1, 1.
- Chitashvili, N., & Burduli, I. (2024). Paradigmatic Models of Mediation, Mandatory Eclectics or a Direct Decision. *PRAWO i WIEŻ*, 3.
- de Coning, C. (2022a). Adaptive mediation. In *Adaptive Mediation and Conflict Resolution: Peace-making in Colombia, Mozambique, the Philippines and Syria* (pp. 19–56). Springer International Publishing Cham.
- de Coning, C. (2022b). Adaptive mediation. In *Adaptive Mediation and Conflict Resolution: Peace-making in Colombia, Mozambique, the Philippines and Syria* (pp. 19–56). Springer International Publishing Cham.
- Folger, J. P., Poole, M. S., & Stutman, R. K. (2024a). *Working through conflict: Strategies for relationships, groups and organizations*. Routledge.
- Folger, J. P., Poole, M. S., & Stutman, R. K. (2024b). *Working through conflict: Strategies for relationships, groups and organizations*. Routledge.

- Garg, R., & Adwani, N. (2024). The Road to Mediation. *LawFoyer Int'l J. Doctrinal Legal Rsch.*, 2, 242.
- Gautam, R. (2023). Mediation approaches in family dispute resolution matters: Cases and commentaries. *CPJ LAW JOURNAL*, 21.
- Golbert, R. (2022). Anthropological approaches to culture in conflict mediation. In *The Routledge Handbook of Intercultural Mediation* (pp. 275–283). Routledge.
- Grosu, M. R. (2021). A mediáció és a választottbíráskodás kombinációja kereskedelmi jogviták megoldása során—Választottbíró, mediátor, jogi képviselő és ügyfél szemszögéből.
- Hayashi, A., Liew, J., Aguilar, S. D., Nyanamba, J. M., & Zhao, Y. (2022). Embodied and social-emotional learning (SEL) in early childhood: Situating culturally relevant SEL in Asian, African and North American contexts. *Early Education and Development*, 33(5), 746–763.
- Hwang, D. K.-K., & Miller, L. (2024). The Confucian Influence on the Relational Turn in the Social Sciences: Dialogue in Multi-Level Social Theorizing. *The Role of Pedagogy in Shaping the Socio-Political Reality of Society*.
- Hwang, K.-K. (2023). An epistemological strategy for initiating scientific revolution against WEIRD psychology. *Integrative Psychological and Behavioral Science*, 57(2), 361–380.
- Kline, R. B. (2023). *Principles and practice of structural equation modeling*. Guilford publications.
- Li, H., Ding, X., & Li, M. (2024). A cross-cultural comparison of Chinese and Western philosophical practice: Exploring new paths for philosophical healing and social harmony. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 11(1), 1–21.
- Li, L. L., Abbas, S. T., Yuqi, D., & Ekanayaka, H. E. (2025). The Impact of Traditional Chinese Philosophy on Global Political Culture: Confucianism, Taoism and Legalism in China's Diplomacy and Governance. *Indonesian Journal of Interdisciplinary Research in Science and Technology*, 3(5), 561–580.
- Mackie, C. (2025). The illusory promise of mediation-based governance. *Legal Studies*, 1–25.
- Manuwa, T. (2021). The Factors and Strategies of Conflict Resolution and Peace Building in Nigeria. *Journal Of Multidisciplinary*, 1(1), 23–31.
- Matkomilovich, M. M. (2024). Cultural adaptation and symbolism in translating heroic figures. *IMRAS*, 7(5), 541–550.
- Moore, C. W. (2014). *The mediation process: Practical strategies for resolving conflict*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Ogbonna, C., & Makak, J. M. (2021). Civil Society Organizations in South Sudan Journey to State Building and Sustainable Peace. *Journal of Humanities Insights*, 5(4).
- Panov, A., Volkova, N., Panova, L., Sichko, D., & Petrenko, N. (2024). *Alternative ways of resolving disputes in the field of contract law*.
- Phillips, I. A. (2021a). Writing a Field into Existence: The Divergence of Conflict Resolution and Alternative Dispute Resolution (Mediation). *Arbitration: The International Journal of Arbitration, Mediation and Dispute Management*, 87(3).

- Phillips, I. A. (2021b). Writing a Field into Existence: The Divergence of Conflict Resolution and Alternative Dispute Resolution (Mediation). *Arbitration: The International Journal of Arbitration, Mediation and Dispute Management*, 87(3).
- Rainey, D., Abdel Wahab, M. S. A., & Katsh, E. (2021). *Online Dispute Resolution-Theory and Practice: A Treatise on Technology and Dispute Resolution*.
- Schachter, O. (2024a). *International law in theory and practice* (Vol. 13). Martinus Nijhoff Publishers.
- Schachter, O. (2024b). *International law in theory and practice* (Vol. 13). Martinus Nijhoff Publishers.
- Sherefetdinova, E. R. (2024a). In intercultural contexts, social norms, beliefs and values play a significant role in shaping interpretations and interactions. *University Research Base*, 112–123.
- Sherefetdinova, E. R. (2024b). In intercultural contexts, social norms, beliefs and values play a significant role in shaping interpretations and interactions. *University Research Base*, 112–123.
- Sherefetdinova, E. R. (2024c). In intercultural contexts, social norms, beliefs and values play a significant role in shaping interpretations and interactions. *University Research Base*, 112–123.
- Sherefetdinova, E. R. (2024d). In intercultural contexts, social norms, beliefs and values play a significant role in shaping interpretations and interactions. *University Research Base*, 112–123.
- Sherman, N., & Momani, B. T. (2025). Alternative dispute resolution: Mediation as a model. *F1000Research*, 13, 778.
- Trujillo, M. A., Bowland, S. Y., Myers, L. J., Richards, P. M., & Roy, B. (2022). *Re-centering culture and knowledge in conflict resolution practice*. Syracuse University Press.
- Ukolova, M., & Bohdanets, O. (n.d.-a). CULTURAL STRATEGIES IN CRISIS: CASE STUDY OF JAPAN'S CONFLICT RESOLUTION APPROACH. *СТРАТЕГІЇ МІЖКУЛЬТУРНОЇ КОМУНІКАЦІЇ В МОБІЙНІЙ ОСВІТІ СУЧАСНИХ УНІВЕРСИТЕТІВ*, 266.
- Ukolova, M., & Bohdanets, O. (n.d.-b). CULTURAL STRATEGIES IN CRISIS: CASE STUDY OF JAPAN'S CONFLICT RESOLUTION APPROACH. *СТРАТЕГІЇ МІЖКУЛЬТУРНОЇ КОМУНІКАЦІЇ В МОБІЙНІЙ ОСВІТІ СУЧАСНИХ УНІВЕРСИТЕТІВ*, 266.
- Velmurugan, P. R., Catherine, S., Vettriselvan, R., EP, J., & Rajesh, D. (2025). Innovative Intercultural Communication Training in Translator Education: Cultivating Cultural Competence. In *Cutting-Edge Approaches in Translator Education and Pedagogy* (pp. 217–244). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.
- von Feigenblatt, O. (2021a). Mediation for management: Dealing with Conflict in the Workplace. *Innovaciones de Negocios*, 18(35), 113–119.
- von Feigenblatt, O. (2021b). Mediation for management: Dealing with Conflict in the Workplace. *Innovaciones de Negocios*, 18(35), 113–119.
- Wang, Z.-D., Wang, Y.-M., Guo, H., & Zhang, Q. (2022). Unity of heaven and humanity: Mediating role of the relational-interdependent self in the relationship between Confucian values and holistic thinking. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 958088.
- Warters, W. (2023). Models of mediation practice. In *Reframing Campus Conflict* (pp. 189–208). Routledge.

Xue, Y. (2025). The psychosocial foundation of China's state-led nationalism. *Contemporary Politics*, 31(2), 193–212.

Yee, J. (2024). Development, Culture and Diverse Mechanisms of Social Well-Being in Asia. In *Social Well-Being, Development and Multiple Modernities in Asia* (pp. 3–19). Springer.